

5.22.65.

From the Library of

Professor Samuel Miller

in Memory of

Judge Samuel Miller Breckinridge

Presented by

Samuel Miller Breckinridge Long

to the Library of

Princeton Theological Seminary

5cc 1348





Hodgking.

\$125 1818.

T H E Sant quiller.

HISTORY

OF THE

Apostles Creed:

With CRITICAL

OBSERVATIONS

On its feveral

ARTICLES.

Sir P King

The FOURTH EDITION.

LONDON:

Printed by W. B. for John Wyat at the Rose, and R. Robinson at the Golden Lion in St. Paul's Church-Yard. MDCCXIX.



THE

PREFACE

TOTHE

READER.

I

T is well known, that feveral Learned Comments, and other Tracts, have been already writ

on the Creed, commonly called the Apostles: The Names of the Great Men, who have exercised their Wits and Pens on this Subject, are so obvious, that the men-

A 2 tioning

tioning of them will be needless; so that at first View, the following Essay will run the risk of being centured for superfluous and unnecessary: But whoever will consider what hath been written of this kind, will find, that most part of the Writers who have gone before, have only handled the Creed in a Theological manner, explaining and confirming its feveral Articles according to the various Senses and Interpretations deducible from Holy Writ; which is in no fort the Defign of the Author in the ensuing Treatise. The Reader is not to expect here a perfect and complete Explication of the Creed, or of its several Articles in their Latitude and Extent, according as they may be inferred, or proved from the Holy Scriptures; this hath been already done with great Judgment and Copiousness by others, to whose En-

deavours the Author doth not pretend to add any thing: But the Author's only intent in the following Treatife, is to make an Essay towards an Historical and Critical account of the Creed, to find out, if possible, the Authors and Framers of the Creed, the Time when the feveral Articles were introduced, the Occasion and Intent of their first introducing, and what the Sense, Meaning and Defign of the first Introducers was; intermixing here and there some Critical Observations, which may be both useful and delightful to the Reader.

To this End, the Author hath not contented himself with reading of Modern Books or Collections made by later Writers, but hath himself had immediate recourse to the remaining Monuments of the Primitive Ages of A 2

the Church, from whence only all Learning of this Kind can be fetched and derived.

Whether the Author hath succeeded in this Attempt, must be left to others to judge; he can only say in his own Excuse, that he hath done his best; and that it will be very acceptable to him to see it better done by others of more Leisure, and greater Abilities and Learning, especially if any thing hit upon by him, may be of use to advance and improve this Design.

If the Author hath not explained every thing alledged by him to that Degree of Clearness and Certainty, as may be expected by an inquisitive Reader, or hath in any Point been mistaken, it is excusable in him, not only on the account of the general

neral Uncertainty of ancient and distant Matters, but also on the account of the affected and studied Obscurity of the primitive Writers in relation to this Particular; so that it is not the Author's Fault, if at any time his Proofs are not so copious or direct as might be wished for and desired: If he had found any thing in the Primitive Writers to have made any such Point clearer and stronger, he would not have omitted it.

If the Explication given by the Author, of any Article or part of the Creed, should happen to be disliked or not approved by any one, the Reader must remember, that the Author only acts the part of an Historian; his Design being only to collect and discover the Sense and Meaning of the first Makers and Composers of the Creed, what it was that the In-

A 4 troducers

troducers of the several Articles purposed and intended thereby; and, if in any place he seems to speak his own Sense, that is only for the better carrying on the Thread of his Discourse, and in the Quality and Person of an Historian, as having collected the Sense or Explication in such place mentioned, to be the intended meaning of the Framers of that Part or Clause of the Creed; the only intent of the Author being to shew the Sense and intended Meaning of the Composers of the Creed, and not at all to enter into an Examination of the Justness or Truth of such Sense and Meaning; the Author leaving that to every Man's private Judgment, to be try'd and determined by the Holy Scriptures, the only perfect and infallible Rule of Faith; by which even this Creed its self, and every Explication thereof, must be try'd

try'd and judged, and is no farther-to be received or believed, than as it is consonant and agreeable thereunto; which is according to the Sixth Article of the Church of England, That whatsoever is not read in the Holy Scripture, nor may be proved thereby, is not to be required of any Man, that it should be believed as an Article of the Faith, or be thought requisite or necessary to Salvation.





AN

ACCOUNT

OFTHE

EDITIONS

OF THE

WORKS

Of the ANCIENT

Christian Authors,

Cited and made use of in the following

TREATISE.

S. Barnabæ Epist. Catholic. Græco-Latin. Edit. Octav. Oxonii 1685. S. Clementis Romani Epistolæ Græco-Latin, Quarto. Oxonii 1633. S. Ignatii S. Ignatii Epistolæ Græco-Latin. Quarto. Edit. Isaaci Vossii Amstelodam. 1646.

S. Justini Martyris Opera Græco-Latin.

Folio. Coloniæ 1686.

Athenagoræ Opera Græco-Lat. Edit. ad calcem Justin. Martyr. Folio. Coloniæ 1686.

Theophili Antiocheni Opera Græco-Lat. Edit. ad calcem Justin. Martyr. Fol.

Coloniæ 1686.

Irenæi Opera, Folio. Genevæ 1580. Tertulliani Opera, Folio. Parif. 1580. Clementis Alexandrini Opera, Græco-Lat. Folio. Lugduni Batav. 1616.

Minucii Felicis Opera, Octavo. Lugd.

Batav. 1672.

Origenis Commentar, Graco-Lat. 2 Vol. Folio. Edit. Huetii Rothomag. 1668.

Origen contra Celsum Graco-Lat. Quar-

to. Cantabrig. 1677.

Origenis Dialogi contra Marcionitas, &c. Graco-Lat. Quarto. Bafil. 1674.

Origen week Exns seu de Oratione, Gra-

co-Lat. Octav. Oxon. 1685.

Cypriani Opera, Folio, Edit. Sim. Goulart. apud Johan. le Preux. 1593.

Novatiani Opera inter Opera Tertullian. Edit. Paris. Folio. 1580.

Archelai

Archelai Disputatio advers. Manichaum, Latin. Edit. ad Calcem Socratis & Sozomen. Histor. Ecclesiast. Edit. Fol. Mogunt. 1677.

Arnobii Opera, Quarto. Ludg. Bat.

1651.

Lactantii Opera, Octavo. Lugd. Ba-

tav. 1660.

Eusebii Pamphili Ecclesiastic. Historia Græco-Latin. Folio, Edit. Henric. Vales. Paris. 1659.

Athanasii Opera, Graco-Lat. 2 Vol. Fol.

Coloniæ 1686.

Julii Firmici Materni Opera, Edit. ad calcem Minucii Felicis, Octavo. Lugdun. Batav. 1672.

Hilarii Pictaviensis Opera, Folio. Ba-

sil. 1550.

Ambrosii Opera, 5 Tom. Folio. Basil. 1567.

Cyrilli Hierosolomytani Opera, Graco-

Lat. Folio. Paris. 1631.

Epiphanii Opera Græcè, Folio. Basil.

Hieronymi Opera, 9 Tom. Fol. Antuerp.

Ruffini Expositio in Symbolum Apostolor. Edit. inter Opera Cypriani per Sim. Goulart. apud Johan. le Preux, Folio. 1593.

Augustini

Augustini Opera, 10 Tom. Octavo.

Lugduni. 1563.

Augustini de Hæresibus, Edit. cum Notis Danai, Octavo. Geneva, 1595.

Isidori Peleusiotæ Opera, Græco Lat. Folio, Edit. à Conrad. Ritterbusio.

1605.

Maximi Taurinensis Opera, Folio. Lug-

dun. 1633.

Johannis Cassiani Opera, Edit. und cum Damascen. Folio. Basil. 1575.

Vincentii Lirinensis Commonitor. 12°.

Cantabrig. 1687.

Theodoret. Dialog. & Hæref. Epitom. Grace, Quarto. Roma 1547.

Petri Chrysologi Opera, Folio. Lugd. 1633.

Leonis Magni Opera, Folio. Lugdun. 1633.

Fulgentii Opera, Folio. Lugd. 1633. Salviani Opera, 12°. Oxon. 1629.

Gennadii Massiliensis Liber, Edit. inter Opera Augustini, Octavo. Lugd.

1563.

Andreæ Cæsariensis Comment. in Apocal. ad calcem Secundi Tomi Com. Johan. Chrysostom. in Nov. Testam. Edit. à Frontone Ducao, Paris, Folio. 1636.

Theodor.

Theodor. Lector. Fragment. ad calcem Evagrii Eccles. Histor. Græco-Lat. Folio. Mogunt. 1679. Damasceni Opera, Græco-Lat. Folio. Basil. 1575.







THE

HISTORY

OFTHE

Apostles Creed:

WITH

CRITICAL OBSERVATIONS

On its feveral

ARTICLES.

CHAP. I.

The Introduction; containing several things relating to the Creed in general: The Encomiums given thereto by the Primitive Writers: The several Names by which it hath been called: Principally termed a Symbol: Two Reasons commonly alledged for that Appellation; the one taken from the manner of common Suppers amongst the Ancients, which is shewn

to be weak and uncertain; the other taken from Military Affairs, where it denotes the Watch-words and Signs by which Soldiers knew each other; which is affirmed not to be the full and proper signification of the Word, but that it is rather to be derived from the Marks and Tokens used by the Idolatrous Pagans in their facred Rites, called by them Symbola, which were twofold, either Mute or Vocal: Instances of both: Proved to have been secret Marks or Words, revealed only to those who were initiated in their Mysteries, by means of which they were known to each other, and had free admission wheresoever they came, to the Services of those Deities whose Symbols they had received; and that from the same reasons, and in allusion thereunto, the Creed was called a Symbol by the Primitive Authors. A Transition from the Titles, to the Authors of the Creed: Some ascribe it to the - Apostles; which by several reasons is demonstrated to be impossible: That nevertheless it is Apostolical and Ancient: Exceeding difficult to find out the precise Framers of it: The Authors thereof were many, and the Composure it self a work of time: One

One part of the Creed was used by the Apostles, and left by them to their Successors: The Creed was always demanded at Baptism both by the Apostles and by those who came after them: The other part of the Creed was afterwards added by the Rulers of the Church, in opposition to Heresies, as they appeared and sprang up: In what sense the Apostles are faid to be the Authors of one part, and the succeeding Governours of the Church Authors of the other: The Meaning of the Creed to be fetched from the Writings of the Fathers: The Creed first constantly read in the Eastern Church about five hundred Tears after Christ; and in the Western near six hundred: The Creed then read was the Nicene; into whose room afterwards came the Apostles; which is repeated.

HE great Respect and Veneration that hath in all Ages been paid to the Apostles Creed, as it is usually call'd, may in some measure apologize for the writing this Essay, or any other Discourse about it. It would be not only tedious, but also infinite, to transcribe the Encomiums that every where abound in

the ancient Writings of this short Synopsis and Compendium of the Christian

2 Doctrina Symboli est illuminatio animæ, plenitudo credentium-hoc nexus infidelitatis absolvitur, hoc vitæ janua panditur, hoc gloria confessionis ostenditur: Symbolum breve est verbis, sed magnum est Sacramentis-exiguum est ut memoriam non obruat, sed diffusum ut intelligentiam supersedeat. - Dignè ergo attentiores -ad audiendum Symbolum convenistis: Quicquid enim præfiguratum est in Patriarchis, quicquid nuntiatum est in Scripturis, quicquid prædictum est in Prophetis, vel de Deo ingenito, vel ex Deo Dei unigenito, vel de Spiritu San-Ao, -vel de suscipiendi hominis Sacramento--totum hoc breviter--Symbolum in se continet confitendo. Tom. 10. Serm. de Temp. Serm. 131. p. 406.

Faith. Saint Augustin calls it, a the Illumination of the Soul, the Perfection of Believers, by which the Bond of Infidelity is dissolved, the Gate of Life is opened, and the Glory of Faith is shewn; little indeed in Words, but great in Mysteries; Short so as not to oppress the Memory, yet comprehensive so as to exceed the Understanding: Worthily therefore is this Creed to be atten-

ded unto, since what soever is prefigured in the Patriarchs, declared in the Scriptures, or foretold in the Prophets, concerning the bleffed Trinity, and the Mystery of our Saviour's Incarnation, Death and Crucifixion, is contained in it. Not

much unlike to which 7. Cassian writes, b that b Quicquid per universorum divinorum voluminum corthe Creed comprehends pus immensa funditur copia, toin it self in few words tum in Symboli colligitur brevitate, &c. De Incarn. Dom. lib. 5. the Faith of both Testaments, and the Sense

of the whole Scripture. And Petrus Chrylo-

p. 1272.

Chrysologus, That a it is the Entrance into Life, the Gate of Salvation, a peculiar, innocent, and pure Confession, b the Covenant of Life, the Plea of Salvation, and the indisolvable Sacrament of Faith between God and us. This, saith Maximus Taurinensis, is the Symbol, by the Sign of which the Faithful are separated from the Un-

fingularis, innocens, & pura Confessio. In Symb. Apost. Serm. 56.

a Ingressus vitæ, janua salutis,

b Pactum vitæ, falutis placitum, & inter vos & Deum fidei infolubile Sacramentum. In Symb. Apoft. Serm. 58. p. 52.

c Hoc est Symbolum cujus signaculo sideles ab insidelibus secernuntur—cujus veritas unumquemque credentem essicit Christianum—quod & viventes sanctisicat, & mortuos reducit ad vitam. Homil. in Symbol. p. 240.

Believer of it a Christian, sanctifies the Living, and reduceth the Dead to Life; and many other such like noble and majestick Expressions, were made use of by the Primitive Writers and Panegyrists, to declare their Esteem of this Creed, which through the Divine Assistance I shall endeavour a little to explain.

Where, in the first place: Since the Nature of Things is frequently signified unto us by the Names thereof, it may not be altogether unnecessary to take notice of the several Titles and Appellations, which have been formerly given to this Creed; by Ruffinus it is

B 3 called,

a Normam prædicationis. Expof. in Symb. Apost. §. 2. p. 565. b Munus Salutis. De gubern. Dei,

lib. 6. p. 199.

c Catholici Sacramenti fides. De Incarn. Domin. lib. 6. p. 1276.

d Nostri Signaculum cordis-Militiæ Sacramentum. Tom. 1. de Virgin. lib. 3. p. 86.

e Regulam Veritatis. Lib. I.

cap. 19. p. 74.

f Regula fidei. De Virginib. Veland. p. 385.

g De Trinitat. p. 493. h Tom. 2. ad Marcell. Ep. 54.

p. 193.

called, a The Rule of Preaching; by Salvian, b The Gift of Salvation; by Cassian, c The Faith of the Catholick Sacrament; by Ambrose, d The Seal of our Heart, and a Military Sacrament; by e Irenaus, f Tertullian, g Novatian and h Jerom, The Rule of Faith and Truth.

But that Name which hath generally prevailed, and by which it is usually known, is Symbolum, or Symbol; for which Title there are two Reasons commonly given: The one is, that it is an Allusion to the Custom of several Persons meeting together to eat of one common Supper; whither every one brings fomething for his Share to make up that common Meal, which from hence was called Symbolum, from the Greek Verb Συμβάλλαν, which fignifies, to throw, or cast together: Even so, fay some, the Apostles met together, and each one put or threw in his Article to compose this Symbol; which explication of the Word is, I think, first mentioned by Ruffinus, who after he has related the manner of the framing

of the Creed by the A-posses, adds, That a for many and just Causes they would have it to be called a Symbol; for a Symbol in Greek signifies a Collation, that is, that which many bring into one; for so

bring into one; for so the Apostles in this Creed did bring into one, or comprehend in one thing what every Per-

fon thought. And after him, by b Cassian, and several Others, who affirm the same thing, That the Creed was called a Symbol, because that what soever is in an immense

b Symbolum ex collatione nomen accepit,——Collatio autem ideo, quía in unum ab Apostolis domini,— Quicquid per univerforum divinorum voluminum corpus immensa funditur Copia, totum in Symboli colligitur previtate. De Incarn. Dom. leb. 5. p. 1272.

mail mornister.

a Symbolum autem hoc multis

& justissimis ex causis appellare

voluerunt. Symbolum enim Græcè
—dici potest & collatio, hoc est,

quod plures in unum conferunt,

id enim fecerunt Apostoli in his

Sermonibus in unum conferendo quod unusquisque, sensit. Expos.

in Symb. Apost. S. 2. p. 565.

Copiousness contained in the Body of the Divine Volumes, is by the Apostles collated, or reduced into this brief Compendium. But what is in general spoken by Ruffinus, Cassian, and others, concerning the mutual framing of the Creed by the Apostles, is more particularly related by one who passes under the Name of St. Austin, and probably lived not long after him, who besides what was affirmed by the foregoing Authors, shews also the particular Articles, that were thrown or put into this

B 4

common Confession by each individual Apostle, which I shall not here enumerate, since I shall have occasion to mention them elsewhere in this Chapter.

But now as to the truth of this fense of the Word; in my opinion it is very much to be questioned: to evidence the Weakness whereof, I shall not insist on that Criticism, that it is not Symbolum, but Symbola, which hath the forementioned Signification; but leaving that Nicety to the Grammarians, I shall only observe, that this Interpretation of the Word hath its entire Foundation on that Opinion, that the Apostles were the real Authors of the Creed, and that they assembled together by their mutual consent to compose and frame it: Now that the Apostles did not so, neither could the Creed in any manner or way be formed by them, I shall in the ensuing part of this Chapter demonstrate, craving the Reader's leave to take it for granted till I come thither, and his permission to dismiss this Exposition of the Word upon that account, as groundless and unfatisfactory.

The fecond Signification of this word Symbolum, is fetched from Military Affairs, where it is used to denote those Marks, Signs, Watch-words, and the

like,

like, whereby the Soldiers of an Army distinguished and knew each other: In like manner, say some, by this Creed the true Soldiers of Jesus Christ were differenced from all others, and discerned from them, who were only salse and hyocritical Pretenders; unto which Opinion Maximus Taurinensis seems

to incline, who terms a the Symbol, the Sign by which Believers are separated from Unbelievers: and Ruffinus more largely writes, b That the Greek word Σύμ-BODOV may be rendred in Latin by Indicium; which Word signifies a Sign, or a mark of Distinction, and was applied to the Creed, because at that time, as it is related by St. Paul in the Acts of the Apostles, many of the circumcised Jews feigned themselves to be the Apostles of Christ, and

^a Symbolum cujus Signaculo fideles ab infidelibus fecernuntur. Hom:l. in Symb. p. 240.

b Symbolum Græce indicium dici potest, - indicium autem vel fignum iccirco dicitur: quia illo tempore ficut & Paulus Apostolus dicit, & in Actis Apostolorum refertur, multi ex circumcifis Judæis fimulabant se esse Apostolos Christi, & lucri alicujus vel ventris gratia ad prædicandum proficifcebantur, nominantes quidem Christum sed non integris traditionum lineis nuntiantes. Iccircò ergo istud indicium posuere, per quod agnosceretur is, qui Christum verè secundum Apostolicas Regulas prædicaret: denique & in bellis civilibus hoc observari ferunt, quoniam & armorum 'habitus par, & sonus vocis idem, & mos unus est, atque eadem instituta bellandi, ne qua doli subreptio fiat. Symbola discreta u-

nusquisque dux suis militaribus tradit, quæ Latinè vel indicia nominantur, ut si fortè occurrerit quis de quo dubitetur, interrogatus Symbolum, prodat si sit hostis an socius. Expos. in Symb. Apost.

§. 2. p. 565.

for the sake of their Purse or Belly went forth to preach, naming indeed the Name of Christ, but not according to the perfect Lines of Tradition: to remedy which Mischief, the Apostles appointed this Sign or Token, by which he might be known, who should truly preach Christ according to the Apostolick Rules, as the cultom is reported to be in civil Wars, where their Arms, Language, Methods, and manner of fighting being the same, to prevent any Deceit, every General gives the Word to his Soldiers, which is called Symbolum, that if one should meet another concerning whom he doubts, by declaring the Word, or the Symbol, he might shew whether he was a Friend or a Foe. So that the Creed in this respect was called Symbolum, in allusion to a Military Custom; that as Soldiers were known by Signs, Tokens, Words, and the like, fo true and real Christians were evidenced and distinguished from all others by this Mark or Symbol of the Creed.

Now, though this may be in part the fense of the Word, yet I do not apprehend, that it comes up to the full intent thereof; neither do I think, that it is to be derived from a Military Custom, but rather from some thing,

which

which in its own Nature is more correspondent and agreeable to the Worship and Service of God, wherein the Creed is used: Wherefore, in my opinion, the fignification of the-Word is more naturally to be fetched from the Sacra, or religious Services of the Heathens, (if Idolatry, Impurity, and Inhumanity, may be permitted to pass under that Name) where those, who were initiated in their Mysteries, and admitted to the knowledge of their peculiar Services, which were hidden and concealed from the greatest part of the idolatrous Multitude, had certain Signs or Marks, called Symbola, delivered unto them, by which they mutually knew each other, and upon the declaring of them, were without scruple admitted in any Temple to the secret Worship and Rites of that God, whose Symbols they had received.

These Symbols were of two forts; either Mute, or Vocal: Of the Mute there are the Names of several in Cle-

mens Alexandrinus, as an a Origanum, (a Mufical Instrument so called) a Candle, a Sword,

α Της Θέμιδ 🕒 τὰ Σπόρη α Σύμδολα, δείδανον, λύχνω, ξίφωμόριον γωναικείον. Protreptic. ad gentes, p. 11.

and fomething else, not fit to be tranflated, were the Symbols of Themis; and the faid Father mentions in the

fame

Χόνδορί τε άλῶν — κὰ δομκῶν,
 ἔς Γιον Διονύσε Βαστάρε ' ἐχὶ ἢ ρ΄οιαλ
 — Ͼ κιτλοί — κὰ μήκωνες τουτ' ἔς τν
 αὐτῶν τὰ ἄγια; Ibid. p. 11.

fame place, an handful of Salt, Ivy, Poppy, &c. to have been the Symbols of Bac-

chus, and of others of their brutish Deities.

These Symbols were carefully preferved, and kept from all publick View by the Receivers of them, who, upon the producing of them to the Priests of those Gods, whose Signs they were, had free admission to their most hidden and abominable Rites.

Apuleius, the famous Platonick Philosopher of Madaura, made use of these Mute Symbols, to defend himself from

b Habuit Apuleius quæpiam linteolo involuta apud Lareis Pontiani: hæc quoniam ignoro, quæ fuerint, idcirco magica fuisse contendo. Apolog. p. 295. Edit. Amsterdam, 1628.

c Sacrorum pleraque initia in Græcia participavi, eorum quædam Signa & Monumenta tradita mihi à Sacerdotibus fedulò confervo. Nihil infolitum, nihil incognitum dico, vel unius Liberi parris Symmistæ, qui adestis, scitis, quid domi conditum celetis, & absque omnibus profanis tacitè veneremini. Ibid. p. 297.

the Imputation of Magick; for being b suspected of that infernal Practice, because he kept some unknown things wrapt up in a Napkin, or fine Linen Cloth, he replies thereunto, That he had been initiated in most of the Grecian Mysteries, that he diligently preserved those Signs, and Monuments, which were

delivered him by the Priests, that this was no unusual or unknown thing,

that some who were present, and had been initiated with him in the Rites of Bacchus, did well know what they kept hidden at home, and did in secret, without the company of the Pro-

fane, particularly revere: *That it could not feem strange to any, who had the least fense of Religion, that a Man who had been privy to so many My-

steries of the Gods, should keep some Tokens thereof at home, and wrap them up in Linen, which is the purest

Covering for Divine Things: b That yet, he did profess with a loud Voice, that if by chance any one was present, who had been partaker with him in the same

b Cæteris autem clarissimå voce prositeor, siquis forte adest eorundem Solennium mihi particeps,
signum dato, & audiat licet, quæ
ego adservem. Nam equidem
nullo unquam periculo compellar,
quæ reticenda accepi, hæc ad profanos enuntiare. 1bid. p. 298.

a Etiamne cuiquam mirum vi-

deri potest, cui sit ulla memoria

Religionis, hominem tot mysteriis deûm conscium, quædam sacro-

rum crepundia domi adservare,

atque ea lineo texto involvere, quod purissimum est rebus divinis

velamentum. Ibid. p. 297.

Solemnities; if he would give the Sign, he should hear what those things were, which he so carefully preserved, but that otherwise he would not be compelled by any Danger what soever, to divulge those things to the Profane, which he received to keep secret and conceal.

But, besides these Mute Symbols, there were others also which were Vocal, that is, a certain Form of obscure and mysterious Words, for the most part, if not always, agreeable to the Worship, Actions, or Nature of that God, whose Signs they were; delivered by the Priests to those who were initiated in their Mysteries, by which those who were thus consecrated, mutually knew each other, and were freely admitted to the most referved and fecret Parts of their facred Rites and Ceremonies: Such a Vocal Symbol, Apuleius seems to hint at in the precedent Quotation, where he requires, That if any were present, who had participated with him in the same Solemnities, they should give the Sign; that is, they should pronounce and ex-press those words, which were the Mark and Token of their Initiation and Consecration. But, there is no need to look any farther into the Writings of the Heathens for the proof of this Point, feeing there is a full and large Evidence thereof in Arnobius, Clemens Alexandrinus, and Julius Firmicus Maternus, who have recorded feveral of their filthy, fenfeless, and inhumane Symbols; as for example, Arnobius relates this to be the Symbol of Ceres, a and

and to have been repeated at the Initiation in her Rites, I have fasted, and I have drunk a Caudle, (as I chuse to translate the word Cyceon, which sig-

a Ipsa denique Symbola, quæ rogata sacrorum in acceptionibus respondetis. Jejunavi, atque ebibi Cyceonem, ex cista sumpsi, & in calathum misi: accepi rursus, in cistulam transtuli. Lib. 5. p. 175.

nifies a mixed and confused Potion of Liquors) I have taken out of the Cheft, and put into the Basket, I have taken it out again, and put it into the little Cheft; which is thus mentioned by Clemens Alexandrinus, with

fome small variation, a I have fasted, I have drunk a Caudle; having done that, I put it into the Basket, and

^b Τὸ ζωύρμα Ἑλουσινίων μυςηείων ἀνης ούρα, ἔπιον ἢ κυκεῶνα, ἔλαδον ἀκ κίσης ἐξιασάψηΘ→ ἀπεθέμίω εἰς κάλαθον, κὰ ἀκ καλάθε εἰς κίσίω. Protreptic ad gentes, p. 10.

from the Basket into the Chest. To explain which Symbol at large, would perhaps be too tedious; I am almost consident, that it would be too obscene and filthy, and that the cleanest words by which it can be expressed in our English Dialect, will found too harsh in chaste and modest Ears: Wherefore I shall refer those who would exactly know the Mystery thereof, to the fisth Book of Arnobius, from Pag. 170 to Pag. 176, and to the tenth Page of Clemens Alexandrinus's Protrepticon ad Gentes. I shall only say this in general,

neral, as being pertinent to my prefent Undertaking, That these Symbolical Words had a dark and enigmatical Reserence to the secret and hidden Rises of Ceres, and did in obscure Expressions, shadow forth her impudent, bestial, and abominable Actions, which in those detestable Mysteries were solemnized and commemorated; and, that whosoever declared or pronounced these Words, had an immediate admission to those secret and reserved Villanies, as they justly deserve to be termed.

There are yet feveral other Symbols mentioned both by Arnobius, and Clemens Alexandrinus; which to prevent too great a length, I shall omit, and confine my felf to fome mentioned by Julius Firmicus Maternus, who, in his most excellent Discourse concerning the Error of prophane Religions, after he hath thewn the brutishness, stupidity, and folly of the Heathens, in worthipping fuch filthy, villanous, and barbarous Deities, and in rendring to them fuch cruel, unclean, and abominable Services, as they did, proceeds to speak particularly to the Symbols, or Marks used amongst the more devout and zealous Adorers of those black and

murtherous Gods; the first whereof is

a One

One used in a certain Temple, that when a dying Man was desirous to be admitted into the innermost Parts thereof, he was to repeat this obscure and dark Sentence, I have

a In quodam Templo, ut in interiores partes homo moriturus possit admitti, dicit, de Tympano manducavi, de Cymbalo bibi, & religionis fecreta perdidici; quod Græco Sermone dicitur, en τυμπάνε βέδεωκα, οπ κυμδάλε ωέπωκα, γέδονα μύτικ. De Error. Prof. Relig. p. 36.

eaten of a Taber, I have drunk of a Cymbal, I have learned the Secrets of Religion; which Symbol is also mentioned by Clemens Alexandrinus, and with a small Difference thus recorded

by him, b I have eaten of a Taber, I have have danced with a pag. 8. Cup in mine Hand, I

b Έκ τυμπάνε εραίον, οπ κυμdrunk of a Cymbal, Ι βάλε έπιον, επερνοφόρησα, υπό τ παιών υπέδυον. Protrept. ad gent.

have entred into the Marriage Bed. Which said Father sufficiently intimates to us, that this Symbol was used in the famous Services of the Phrygians to Cybele the Mother of the Gods; the Words and Expressions whereof have a Relation to the Taber and Cymbal which she invented, to the mad and brutish way of her Worshippers adoring of her, and to those abominable and horrid Deeds, which in those diabolical Rites they celebrated and admired.

a Alterius profani Sacramenti fignum est. Oeds En wéreus, Jul. Firmic. Matern, de Errer: Prof. Relig. p. 40. The Symbol used by the more devout and secret Votaries of Mithras, the great and rethe Persians, was Good

nowned God of the Persians, was Θεδς κατερος, God of a Rock, which was taken from the manner of the Generation, or Production of the laid God;

b (1 ta & Did & worken a the civinges, he serve on to treas ye diving a wire. D. al cutil divyb. p. 296.

c Narrant genthium fabu a Mithram vel in lapide vel in terra de folo æstu libidinis este generatuir. Tom. 2. advers. Jovinian. lib. 1. p. 142.

which as b fustin Martyr, and c Jerom do both assure us, the Pagan Mythologists sancied to have been by the alone Heat of Lust from a Stone or Rock.

The Symbol employed in the Orgia,

d Julius Fir- or Revels of Bacchus, was d ελιλίκερες,
mic. A atern. διμος Φεί, or rather, ελιζόκερως, δίμος Φε΄,
Relig. p. 43. having crooked Horns, double-faced;
because, under such Representations,
that drunken God was worshipped and
adored.

Lastly, That I may not mention any more, the said Julius Firmicus Maternus acquaints us with this follow-

o Nocte quaiam Simulacrum in lectica supinum ponitur, & per numeros digestis sletibus plangitu; deinde cum se sicila saucusatore saiaverint, lumen infertur; tunc à sacerdote omnium, qui slebant, sauces unguntur, qui us peranctis sicerdos hoc lento murmure susurrat: Outliere, oc. 16, p. 45.

ing Symbol of some Idolaters, That on a certain Night they placed an Image upright in a Bed, and then wept round about it; which when they had sufficiently ently done, a Light was brought in: And then the Priest anointed the Cheeks of all those who had lamented, pronouncing with a soft Murmur these words;

Θαρράτε μύςαι τε Θεε σεσωσμβύε, "Εςαι β ημίν όκ σύνων σωληρία.

Be confident, ye initiated Ones of the saved God, for there shall be Salvation

unto us from our Labours.

I might yet produce several other. Examples of the same kind; but those already alledged, do sufficiently declare the nature of the Pagan Symbols, that they were fecret Marks, Words, or Tokens, communicated at the time of Initiation, or a little before, unto those who were confecrated, or entred in their referved or hidden Rites, and to none else; by the Declaration, Manifestation, or Pronuntiation whereof, those more devout Idolaters knew each other, and were with all freedom and liberty of Access, admitted to their nocturnal and more intimate Mysteries and Villanies, from whence all others as prophane and unworthy, were kept out, and excluded; which faid Symbols, those who had received them, were obliged carefully to conceal, and not on any account whatsoever to divulge or reveal.

Now, for all these Reasons, the Apostles Creed was by our Ancestors very fitly termed a Symbol, because it was studiously concealed from the Pagan World, and not revealed to the Catechumens themselves, till just before their Baptism, or Initiation in the Christian Mysteries, when it was delivered unto them, as that fecret Note, Mark, or Token, by which the Faithful in all parts of the World should interchangeably know and be known.

That the Creed was carefully preserved from the Knowledge of the Prophane, is a thing abundantly afferted by the Primitive Writers; St. Cyprian

affures us hereof, That atheSacrament of Faith, · Sacramentum fidei non esse profanandum, apud Salomonem that is, the Creed, was in Paræmiis, in aures imprudentis not to be prophaned, noli quidquam dicere, ne quando audierit, irrideat fensatos Sermoor divulged: For which nes tuos. Item in Evangelio & he cites two Texts of Matthæum, ne dederitis sanctum Scripture; the one, Procanibus, neque miseritis margaritas vestras ante porcos, ne fortè verbs xxiii. 9. Speak conculcent ea pedibus fuis, & connot in the Ears of a versi elidant vos. Testim. lib. 3. ad Fool, for he will despise the Wisdom of thy

> Words; and the other, Matthew vii. 6. Give not that which is holy unto the Dogs, neither cast ye your Pearls before

Quirin, Testim. 50. p. 429.

fore Swine, lest they trample them under their Feet, and turn again, and rent you. St. Ambrose most pathetically exhorts to the utmost Vigilancy to conceal the Christian Mysteries, and in

particular, to be very a careful not by incautelousness to reveal the Secrets of the Creed or the Lord's Prayer; and in several of the b Sermons of Petrus Chry-

^a Cave ne incautè Symboli vel Domincæ Orationis divulges myfleria. Tom. 4. de Cain & Abel, lib. 1. c. 9. p. 125.

b Serm. 58. p. 52. Serm. 59. p. 54. Serm. 60. p. 55, &c.

Exhortations to preserve and hide the Creed from publick Knowledge and Observation, that the unworthy and prophane might not have this Secret of God with them: nay, so exact and punctual were they in this regard, that the Creed was not declared to the Catechumens themselves, till they were advanced to the higher Form of that Order; and being ripe and sit for Baptism, were speedily by that Ordinance to commence perfect Members of the Visible Church: Of which Custom St.

Ambrose speaks, where he writes, That con a Lord's Day, the Lessons and Sermons being ended, and the Catechumens of the lower

c Erat autem dominica, post lectiones atque tractatum, dimiffis Catechumenis, Symbolum aliquibus competentibus in Baptisteriis tradebam Basilicæ. Lib. 5. E-pist. 35. p. 129.

Rank dismissed, that then in the Baptistery of the Church, he delivered the Symbol to some of the Competentes, who were the superior Rank of the Catechumens: Consonant unto which, it is related by Ferrandus Diaconus con-

^a Ex more Catechumenus, post aliquantum temporis propinquante solennitate Paschali inter competentes offertur, scribitur, eruditur, —auditurus Symbolum prositetur. Inter. oper. Fulgent. ep. ad Fulgent. p. 204.

cerning a converted Negro, That a first of all, according to custom, he was a Catechumen; and then after some time, as the Feast of Easter drew nigh, (which was

their folemn time of Baptism) he was advanced to the Rank of the Competentes; where, amongst the other Actions peculiar thereunto, this was one, That he heard, and assented to the Symbol; so that the Catechumens knew not the Creed till just before their Baptism, when it was delivered unto them as that private Mark or Sign by which the Christians mutually knew each other: Unto which, it is not improbable, Cacilius the Heathen Disputant, in Minucius Felix, did blindly refer, when he

² Occultis fe notis & infignibus nofcunt, & amant mutuò penè antequam noverint. Octav. p. 81.

faid, That be the Chriftians knew each other by hidden Notes or Marks, and loved al-

most before they were acquainted with one another; sceing Maximus Taurinensis

nensis affirms, EThat the Apostles appointed the Mystery of the Creed, to be a Mark of Distinction between Believers and Unbelievers, to discover both

d Apostoli — mysterium Symboli tradiderunt, u — signaculum Symboli inter sideles persidosque scerneret, & alienus à side atque hossis appareret Ecclesiæ, qui aut tanquam haptizatus neicisset, aut tanquam haptizatus corrupisset. H mil. in Symb. p. 239.

the Strangers and Enemies to the Faith of the Church, who either knews it not, or had corrupted it. So that from the whole, it feems to me most evident, That the Title of Symbol attributed to the Creed, is to be derived from the Symbols used in the Sacra, or religious Rites of the Heathens; in allusion whereunto, the Creed is so termed, because it was delivered unto Persons at their initiation and admission by Baptism into the Visible Church, as that secret Mark and Sign, by which they should be known from all others, and mutually know each other.

But, from the Names of the Creed, let us pass unto the Creed it self; and, in the next place, enquire after the Authors and Composers thereof, who have for many Ages successively been esteemed to have been the Apostles themselves: from whence it is called the Apostles Creed. Now, that stom the Days of the Apostles, there hath been used in the Church a certain Rule and

C 4 Form

Form of Faith, not much unlike to our present Creed; I am so far from denying, that I shall endeavour to prove it in the ensuing Discourse, from Tertullian and others of the most Primitive Writers: But that the Apostles themselves should be the immediate Authors of the Creed in the present Form that now it is, and that from their Days it hath without any variation been inviolably transmitted down to us by Tradition; This is that which is justly questionable, and I doubt not but to evince the contrary.

The first, I think, who hath given us this smooth Account concerning the Composition of the Creed, is St.

Duodecim Apostoli velut periti artifices in unum convenientes, clavem suo consilio conslaverunt, clavem enim quandam ipsum Symbolum dixerim, per quod reserantur diaboli tenebræ, ut lux Christi adveniat. Tom. 3. Serm. 38. p. 265.

Ambrose; who writes, That * the twelve Apostles, as skilful Artificers, assembled together, and made a Key by their common Advice, that is, the Creed;

by which the Darkness of the Devil is disclosed, that the Light of Christ may appear: Or, if the Sermon, in which this Passage is, be not the genuine Off-spring of so great a Man, it is not long after his time that Russians gives the same Account of it, where he relates, relates, That a they had received by Tradition from their Fathers, that after the Ascension of our Saviour, and the Effusion of the Holy Ghost, before the Apostles separated from each other to go into the several Parts of the habitable World, to preach the Gospel to them; they settled among st themselves the

a Tradunt majores nostri, quod post ascensionem Domini - Apostolos-ad fingulas quemque proficisci nationes. Discessuri itaque ab invicem normam prius futuræ prædicationis in commune constituunt, ne forte alii ab aliis abducti diversum aliquid his qui ad fidem Christi invitabantur, exponerent. Omnes ergo in uno positi & Spiritu Sancto repleti, breve istud futuræ sibi prædicationis indicium, conferendo in unum quod fentiebat unusquisque, componunt, atque hanc credentibus dandam esse regulam statuunt. Exposit. in Symb. Apost. S. 2. p. 565.

Rule of their future preaching, to prevent their teaching different Doctrines, during their Separation, unto those whom they should invite to the Christian Faith: wherefore they assembled all together, and being full of the Holy Ghost, they composed the Creed; each one inserting what he thought convenient; and ordained it to be a Test of their future Sermons, and a Rule to be given unto the Faithful. The like is

also afferted by b Leo Magnus, Ferom, d John Cassian, and an innumerable number of o-

b Epist. 13. p. 109. c Tom. 2. advers. Error. Johan. Hierosol. Ep. 61. cap. 9. p. 219. d De Incarn. Dom. l.b. 5. p. 1272.

ther Authors; fince from the Days of Ruffinus, it hath for feveral hundreds of Years been generally believed, that

the

forth from Jerusalem to preach the Gospel, agreed on this formulary of Faith to be the Rule and Standard of all their Sermons, which without any Variation should be delivered to their Pro-

felytes and Converts.

But besides this Opinion, that the Apostles were in general the Authors of the Creed; some have advanced one step farther, and affirmed, that every Apostle inserted his particular Article; by which, according to the number of the Apostles, they have divided the Creed into twelve Articles, allowing one Article for each Apostle: A full Account whereof is in a Sermon falsy attributed to St. Austin; where the Author thereof gives us this following relation, concerning each particular Apostle. *Peter, faith he, faid. I believe in God the Fa-

* Petrus put in by each particular Apostle. * Peter, dixit, Credo saith he, said, I believe in God the Fatrem omnither Almighty; John, maker of Heaven

Johannes dixit, Creatorem cœli & terræ; Jacobus dixit, credo & Jesum Christum, filium ejus unicum, Dominum nostrum; Andreas dixit, qui conceptus est de Spiritu Sancto, natus ex Mariâ Virgine; Philippus ait, passus sub Pontio Pilato, crucifixus, mortuus & sepultus; Thomas ait, descendit ad inferna, tertia die resurrexit à mortuus; Bartholomæus dixit, ascendit ad cœlos, sedet ad dexeram Dei Patris omnipotentis; Matthæus dixit, inde venturus judicare vivos & mortuos; Jacobus Alphæi, credo & in Spiritum Sanctum, Sanctam Ecclesiam Catholicam; Simon Zelotes, Sanctorum communionem, remissionem peccatorum; Judas Jacobi, carnis resurrectionem; Matthias complevit, vitam æternam Amen. T.m. 10. Serm. de Temp. 115. p. 359.

and

and Earth; James, and in Jesus Christ his only Son, our Lord; Andrew, who was conceived by the Holy Ghoft, born of the Virgin Mary; Philip, suffered under Pontius Pilate, was erucified, dead and buried; Thomas, be descended into Hell, the third Day he rose again from the Dead; Bartholomew, be ascended into Heaven, sitteth at the right Hand of God the Father Almighty; Matthew, from thence shall be come to judge the Quick and the Dead; James the Son of Alpheus, I believe in the Holy Ghost, the Holy Catholick Church; Simon Zelotes, the Communion of Saints, the Forgiveness of Sins; Jude the Brother of James, the Refurrection of the Body; Matthias, Life everlasting. Amen.

Now, as to the Truth of this Tradition, I think it is altogether to be denied, and that for feveral Reasons, as that it was nigh four hundred Years after Christ, before the framing of the Creed by the Apostles-was ever heard of; and even Ruffinus himself, one of the first Reporters thereof, though in the beginning of his Commentary he doth roundly affert it, yet in the a midst thereof he speaks of it doubtfully, as qui Symbo-tradideif its Authors were uncertain, and un-runt, co. Ex-

known.

pof. in Symb.

Moreover, \$. 20. p. 570.

Moreover, had the Apostles been the real formers of the Creed before their dispersion from Jerusalem, it cannot be imagined, that St. Luke in his History of their Acts, would have wholly omitted fo confiderable a Fact as this: Neither can it be conceived, but that the innumerable Councils and Synods amongst the Primitive Christians, or at least some of them, would in their Decisions and Determinations of Faith and Doctrine, have had some Reference or other to this Apostolical System, as their Standard and Basis, if any fuch there had been: whereas no fuch thing appears, but the contrary thereunto; for, as they never mentioned any fuch Creed, so as occasion offered, they composed new Creeds, and even performed one of the highest Parts of the Christian Religion, I mean Baptism, by them; at least, so they did by the Nicene Creed, as in the Encyclical Epistle of the Emperor Basili-

⁴ Το Σύμβολον τ τι αγίων σατέρων, τ όν Νικαία,— είς δι ήμες τε κζ σάνηες οι πορ ημών σιεσύσανηες εδαπηιώ ημθρ. Ευαgr. Eccles. Histor. lib. 3. c. 4. p. 335. cus, the faid Emperor declares, That He and all Believers before him, were baptized into the Creed of the three hun-

dred and eighteen Fathers assembled at Nice; and the Emperor Zeno, in his Henoticon, or Edict of Union, which he published after the Expulsion of Ba-

filifeus, strictly commanded, That all should be baptized by the Nicene Creed alone,

Πάνγες 3 οἱ λαοἱ Ε ζωγηριώθες άξικρθροι φωγίσμασθ, αὐτὸ € μόνον το 3μλαμοάνονγες βαπγίζον 3. Id. Ib.
 C. 14. p. 345.

and that no Person or Church should make use of any other Symbol, or Definition of Faith; assuring us withal, that this same method was observed by the third General Council held at Ephefus, where Nestorius was condemned. I might for the farther confirmation of this Point, urge several Arguments more; but it being so clear and evident, I shall only farther add, that if the Apostles had really framed, and delivered to their Successors this Creed, every Church would have agreed therein; and there would not have been so many different and diverse Creeds, as we find there were; scarce two Churches, I think I may fafely venture to fay, that not two, had exactly the fame Symbol without any Variation or Difference: The Descent

into Hell, as Ruffinus informs us, b was neither in the Roman, nor Oriental Creeds: The Communion of Saints

b In Ecclesiæ Romanæ Symbolo non habetur additum, descendit ad Inserna, sed neque in Orientis Ecclesiis habetur hic sermo. Expos. in Symb. §. 20. p. 570.

was not in any Creed till above four hundred Years after Christ, and then not immediately received in all: The Clause

of Life Everlasting was omitted in several, whilst in others it was inferted. But not to exemplify in any more Particulars, who foever shall compare the Grecian, or as some may think it more fitly termed, the French Creed, recorded by Irenaus; those of Carthage, cited by Tertullian; that of Aquileia, commented on by Ruffin; that of Hippo, repeated by St. Austin; that of Ravenna, extant in Petrus Chrysologus; that of Turin, explained by Maximus Bishop of that Church; and several other Creeds here and there interspersed in the Primitive Writings: I fay, whofoever shall compare these Creeds together, shall find them vastly different; and that there are very few Articles, in the Order and Expression whereof, they do all without Exception, agree: Which consideration, with those forementioned, fufficiently evidence, that the Apostles neither were, nor could be the Authors or Composers of our present Creed, that passes under their Name.

But though this Creed be not of the Apostles immediate framing, yet it may be truly styled Apostolical, not only because it contains the Sum of the Apostles Doctrine, but also, because the Age thereof is so great, that its Birth must be setched from the very Aposto-

lick

lick Times: It is true, the exact Form of the present Creed cannot pretend to be to ancient by four hundred Years; but a Form not much different from it, was used long before. Irenaus, the Scholar of Polycarp, the Disciple of St. John, where he repeats a Creed not much un-

like to ours; he affures a 'H w S chednoia rainee ras us, that "the Church dif-อีกกรุ ร์ อโทยเปล่ารุ - อิเลอบ และเปล่า อาวิส perfed throughout the ไว้ ซี อราร์ง ผม 6 ซี อนย์งผง แลยกาลง क्रियमेष्टिश्वय में लंड हेंग्य प्रहोंग कांडांग. whole-World had re-

Lib. I., c. 2. p. 35, ceived this Faith from

the Apostles and their Disciples; which is also affirmed by Tertullian of one of his Creeds, That b that Rule of Faith had been current in the Church gulam ab inifrom the beginning of the Gospel: And decucurisse. which is very observable, although there Advers. was fo great a diversity of Creeds, as that scarce two Churches did exactly agree therein, yet the Form and Subflance of every Creed, was in a great measure the same; so that, except there had been from the very Plantation of Christianity, a Form of found Words, or a System of Faith delivered by the first Planters thereof, it is not easy to conceive, how all Churches should harmonize, not only in the Articles themfelves, into which they were baptized, but in a great measure also, in the Method and Order of them.

tio Evangelii Praxean, p.

10 30.

But

But now the Creed, or at least a great part of it, being so very ancient, and the Records of those Times being withal fo few, it will be a difficult Task to give an exact and punctual Account of its certain Authors, and of the precife intended meaning of every Article and Clause thereof; which Difficulty is yet farther increased by the extraordinary care and affected studiousness of the Primitive Fathers, to conceal the Creed; which was fo great and unaccountable, as that they not only kept it from the knowledge of the Heathens and Catechumens, as hath been already demonstrated, but they even scrupled to commit it to Writing, and rather chose to transmit it down to their Posterity by Tradition; for which rea-

• Traditio fidei. Expos. in Symb. \$. 37. P- 575.

b In Symbolo fidei & fpei noftræ quod ab Apostolis traditum, non scribitur in chartâ & atramento, sed in tabulis cordis carnalibus. Tom. 2. advers. Error. Johan. Hieros. Epist. 61. cap. 9. p. 219. fon Ruffinus calls it the Tradition of Faith; and Jerom informs us, That b this Symbol of our Faith and Hope delivered by the Apostles, was not written in Paper and Ink, but in the fleshly Tables of the Heart; in an a-

greeableness whereunto, Petrus Chryfologus frequently exhorts his Hearers, to preserve this Gift in the most inward Recesses of their Hearts, not to permit vile Paper to depreciate this precious Gift, or black

^a Hoc vitæ Symbolum — mens teneat — ne divinitatis pretiofum munus depreciet charta vilis, ne mysterium lucis atrum tenebret atramentum. *In Symb*, Apost, Serm. 59. P. 54.

Ink to darken this Mystery of Light: And many other such like Passages might be easily produced, to evidence their strange Fancy, to keep the Creed secret, concealed, and hidden; which together with the forementioned Considerations, do clearly shew, That it is no easy matter to give a satisfactory Account of the Authors and intended Sense of every Article thereof, and may justly excuse or lessen a Mistake or Desect herein.

Wherefore, having premifed this Obfervation, I shall now endeavour to give the best account I can, of the Authors and Framers of the Creed, and of the designed Meaning of the several Articles thereof.

As for the Authors thereof, it cannot be denied, but that they were feveral and many; the Creed was neither the work of one Man, nor of one Day, but, during a long Tract of Time, paffed successively through several Hands, e'er it arrived to its present Persection; the Composure of it was gradual, and

not instantaneous; the Manner whereof, I apprehend to have been these two ways: First, Some of the Articles therein were derived from the very Days of the Appostles: Secondly, The others were asterwards added by the primitive Doctors and Bishops, in opposition to gross Heresies and Errors that sprung up in the Church.

As for the first of these, that some of the Articles were of the very inferting of the Apostles; this will appear, if we consider, that the Apostles and Evangelists, who were the first fent forth to preach the Gospel, and to convert the World both Tewish and Pagan; when they formally received any one a Member into the Christian Church by Baptism, they did then particularly demand his Assent to the Christian Faith. and a Declaration of his Belief thereof: An Example whereof we have in the eighth of the Acts; where, after the Conference between the Eunuch and Philip the Evangelist, when the Eunuch testified his Desire to be baptized, Philip told him, That if he did believe with all his Heart, he might; unto which, when the Eunuch replied, That he did believe Jesus Christ to be the Son of God, then Philip baptized him, but not before. From which fingle in**f**tance

stance it is manifest, that the Apostles and first Preachers of the Gospel required the Assent of all Persons at Baptism to some of the particular Articles of the Christian Faith, which were the Platform and Model of the Creed, fince that time, always used at Baptism. Which of the particular Articles of our present Creed were inserted therein by them, I shall more largely shew in their particular Order, wherein they are dispofed in the Rule of Faith; it is sufficient in this place to fay, That they were the Articles of the Existence of God; the Trinity; that Jesus was Christ, or the Saviour of the World; the Remission of Sins; and the Resurrection.

Now as for these Apostolick Demands, they might not always be exactly the fame, nor still confined to the forementioned Particulars, in every Church, and at every Season, they might not mention the very fame Particulars without either Addition or Diminution, but allow themselves a liberty of Expression according as they faw occasion, and fo in this respect they might sometimes vary and alter; although, as to the Fundamentals of Christianity, that 7efus of Nazareth was Christ the Messias, and the like, they never omitted them: D 2

them: and the form of their Expression, and delivery of their Confession of Faith, was generally the same, near the Method and Order of our present Creed; for had it not been so, it is almost impossible to conceive, how all Churches thould so harmoniously agree in the general Form and Order of their respective Creeds, when yet they all disagree in the Words and Expressions used therein.

This Apostolical System professed at Baptisin, was not committed to Writing, but observed by, or left with the Governors of every Church to use it on the like Occasion, whose Duty it was to preserve it undefiled and incorrupt, and to make it the terms of Christianity and admission to their Communion; unto which, some think St. Paul might have an eye, when he exhorted Timo-

form of found Words: But whether he had or no, I shall not here enquire. This is certain, that not only Timothy, but the Apostolical Churches, and all others who received it from them, were follicitous and careful to preserve those

Articles and that Form of Faith, which was delivered unto them by the Apostles; and as the Apostles, so they in

imi-

imitation of their Example, did always at Baptism require the Assent of the Perfon to be baptized, unto the Creed, or Articles of the Christian Faith; which is a thing fo univerfally known, as that it may feem almost needless to produce any Quotations for the proof of it:

Thus Ruffinus relates, that in his Days, a the ancient Custom was retained at Rome, for Persons to be baptized, publickly to recite the Creed: And Salvian, That b at Baptism the Christians profest their Faith in God the Fa-

eos qui gratiam baptismi suscepturi sunt, publice Symbolum reddere. Expos. in Symb. S. 3. P. 565. b Quæ est in Bartismo salutari

a Mos ibi servatur antiquus,

Christianorum confessio? - Credo inquis in Deum Patrem omnipotentem, & in Jesum Christum filium ejus. De Gubern. Dei, 1.6. p. 197.

ther Almighty, and in Jesus Christ his Son; according unto which, Vigilius Tapsensis, or whosoever else was the Author of those Books concerning the Trinity to Theophilus, extant amongst

the Works of Athanasus, writes, That those who came to the sacred Laver of Regeneration, confessed, saying, I believe in God the Father Almighty, and in Jesus Christ his only

c Ad facrum Lavacrum Regenerationis venientes, confessi fic, Credo in Deum Patrem omnipotentem, & in Jesum Christum Filium ejus unigenitum, & Spiritum Sanctum. Inter oper. Athanas. Tom. 2. lib. II. ad Theophil. p. 591.

Son, and in the Holy Ghost; and long before any of these Justin Martyr at-

2 Oroi ส่ง ซะเชิ๊อา; E สเรชั่นรเง ผักงดี รณีรัส รสิ บุ๋จ๋ กุ่นลัง ฮิเฮิลรห่อ-เมินผ—ส่งจา ป๋ จ๋ กุ่นลัง รั้งขือ บ๋ฮิลรุ รัรโ. Apol. 2. p. 93. fures us, That a none were baptized, unless they did first declare their Assent to the Do-

Etrine and Faith of the Gospel.

Not long after the Apostles Days, and even in the Apostolick Age it self, several Heresies sprung up in the Church, subversive of the Fundamentals of Christianity; to prevent the malignant Effects whereof, and to hinder fuch Hereticks from an undiscernible mixing themselves with the orthodox Christians, as also to establish and strengthen the true Believers in the necessary Truths of the Christian Religion, the Christian Verities opposite to those Herefies, were inferted in the Creed; and together with those other Articles, which had without any intermission been constantly used from the time of the Apostles, were proposed to the assent and belief of all Persons who came to be baptized: The Governours of the Church judging this a prudent and an effectual course, to preserve the Fundamentals of Religion from being undermined and overthrown by cunning and fubtle Hereticks; which is the second way by which the Creed was composed, viz. The Doctors and Governors of the Church did add unto those of the

the Apostles, other Articles, in opposition to gross and fundamental Heresies and Errors, as they appeared and grew up, as Russins informs us; that whereas it was in the beginning of the Roman Creed, I believe in God the Father

Almighty, a there were some other Clauses added thereto in the Creeds of several Churches, occasioned by certain

a In cæteris locis propter nonnullos Hæreticos addita quædam videntur, per quæ novellæ doctrinæ sensus crederetur excludi. Expof. in Symb. Apoft. §. 3. p. 565.

Hereticks, to exclude the Sense of their new Doctrine; as particularly in the

Aquileian Creed, to the word Almighty was Subjoined invisible, impassible, in contradiction to the Heresy of the Sabellians, or Patripassians, that the Father was born of the Virgin, and so became

b His additur invisibilem & impassibilem : sciendum, quod duo isti Sermones in Ecclesiæ Romanæ Symbolo non habentur, conflat autem apud nos additos, Hæresios causa Sabellii,—quæ Patrem ipsum, vel ex Virgine natum dicit & visibilem factum, vel paffum affirmat in carne. 1bid. S. 7. p. 567.

both visible and passible. But, there is no need of any farther Testimonies to prove this Point at present, seeing a great part of the enfuing Treatise will be an abundant confirmation thereof.

I would not be here mistaken, as if I did mean, that none of those Articles which were introduced into the Creed, and settled there in opposition to Heresies, were ever used before, or de-

manded

manded at Baptism by the Administrer thereof; but my meaning is, that every Church being at liberty to express the Fundamental Articles of the Christian Faith in that way and manner, which the faw fit pro re nata, or as occasion offered; it is not improbable, but that before damnable Heresies sprung up, they might not always to a tittle follow the fame Form of Words, nor exactly ask the same Questions. As for Example, the Doctrines of the Trinity, of Jesus of Nazareth's being Christ, or the Messias, of Remission of Sins through his Name, and of the Refurrection, were at the first Preaching of the Gospel sufficient, without a particular Explication of the Actions of the Father, and the State of the Son; as Philip only required the Eunuch to believe, that Jesus Christ was the Son of God: And if at some Seasons they might mention the Humiliation and Exaltation of Christ, and the several Acts thereof, at other Seasons they might omit them, and not constantly express the Particulars in that order in which they are now found in the Creed, till the appearance of contrary Herefies gave them occasion so to do; so that when any Article was added to the Creed, it was not the introduction of a new

new Point, but the vindicating and fettling of an old one, and a constant perpetual Profession and Declaration of that Truth, which before was only arbitrarily and uncertainly expressed, seeing every one, before those contrary Errors arose, was supposed firmly to believe and own it. In which respect, I may say of the Creed as Vincentius Lirinensis doth of the Canons and Determinations of Councils, that the design

of the Church thereby is, * That what was before more simply, should now more diligently be believed; that what was more feldom, should now more frequently be preached; and that what was more

a Quid unquam aliud conciliorum decretis enisa est, nisi ut quod antea simpliciter credebatur, hoc idem postea diligentius crederetur; quod antea lentius prædicabatur, hoc idem postea instantius prædicaretur; quod antea securius colebatur, hoc idem postea sollicitius excoleretur? Commonit. advers. Hares. cap. 32. p. 104.

unconcernedly, Should for the future

be more carefully worshipped.

By these two ways then, was the present Creed framed; part thereof was transmitted down from the Apostles, and the other part thereof was afterwards added by the Governours of the Church, to prevent Heresies from corrupting her Doctrine, and Hereticks from insecting her Members: By the which of these two Ways each particular Article was inserted in the Creed, I shall shew

in the following part of this Treatife, and shall not here anticipate or forestall it.

This being then the Method whereby the Creed was framed; although nothing that is contained therein, must be believed any farther, than it agrees with the holy Scriptures; yet the intended sense of the greatest part thereof, is not to be fetched from thence, but from the Writings of the Fathers, and from those Heresies against whom it was defigned; which Expression may at the first hearing be perhaps, esteemed by some too hasty and inconsiderate, but the nature of the thing well reflected on, makes it evident and beyond contradiction; and if the Authority of others before me, will be more valued and better received, it will be no difficult Task to produce several, who have affirmed the same thing; but at present, I shall content my felf with the Testimony of Monsieur Jurieu, a French Divine now living, who writes in express terms, That

Je suis persuadé qu'il faut chercher le sens des Articles du Symbole des Apôtres, non dans l'ecriture, mais dans l'intention de ceux qui ont composé ce Symbole. Prejug. Legit. contre le Papisme, Part. 1. C. 1. p. 26. for his part a he is perfuaded, that we must not seek the sense of the Articles of the Apostles Creed in the Scriptures, but in the intention of those who composed it.

This

This is that which I design to do, to fearch into the intended meaning of this Compendium of Faith, which hath been received in all Ages with the greatest Veneration and Esteem: The respect and reverence that the Ancients paid unto it, hath been in part already related; and in these latter times, throughout feveral Centuries of Years, fo great a Deference hath been rendred thereunto, as that it hath not been only used at Baptism, but in every publick Affembly it hath been usually, if not always read, as the Standard and Bafis of the Christian Faith, unto which the whole Congregation hath been wont to testify their unanimous Assent, by saying, Amen.

It is true, that the Primitive Christians affecting an unaccountable Secresy for this and their other Mysteries, as it hath been already shewn, did not in their Assemblies publickly recite the Creed, except at the times of Baptism, which besides Cases of Necessity, were only at Easter and Whitsontide; from whence it comes to pass, that the constant repeating of the Creed in the Church was not introduced till a long time after our

Saviour's Incarnation.

The repetition of the Creed at every Assembly, was appointed in the Eastern Church

2 Τιμόθε Φ το τ τολακοσίων δέκα κ οκίω σαίερων ο σίσεως ζύμδολον και εκάελω (ύναξιν λέδεω) παesonduarev. Theodor. Lector. Eccles. Hist. 1. 2. p. 563.

Church by ' Timothy, Archbishop of Constantinople, in the Reign of the Emperor Anastasus, who after having

governed the Empire twenty feven Years, died Anno 521. About which time, Petrus Gnapheus Bishop of Antioch,

(waits no prescribed also b the perpetual recital Cougodo Né- of the Creed, at the publick Adminiread. 1d. ib. stration of Divine Service; which bep. 566. fore that time, as the Historian observes,

C "Απαζ Σ "έτες λεγόρθμον πεότερον ον τη άγία ωξασκούη Σ θάν πάθες, τό καιξό τ γιορθμον τωδ \$ έπισκόπε καθηχήσεων. Id. Ibid. p. 563.

c was only repeated on the Day immediately preceding Good Friday, when the Catechisms were more solemnly per-

formed in order to the Celebration of Baptism, the Easter or the Easter-Eve ensuing: Which Repetition on that Day was first appointed by the Council of Laodicea; the 46th Canon whereof is

d Baptizandos oportet fidei Symbolum discere, & quinta feria ultimæ Septimanæ vel Episcopo vel Presbytero reddere. Caranza Summ. Concil. p. 98. Edit. Genev. 1600.

That d those who are to be baptized, must learn the Creed, and repeat it to the Bishop or a Presbyter the Thursday before Easter.

In the Western Churches, at a Synod of thirty five Bishops, held under Alaricus at Agatha or Agde, in the Lower Languedoc, the ninth Canon thereof ordains, dains, That a on the Lord's Day before Eafler, the Creed should be publickly preached in the Church to the Competentes, or to those

Symbolum etiam placuit ab omnibus Ecclefiis una die, id est ante octo dies Dominicæ Resurrectionis, publicè in Ecclesia Competentibus prædicari. Id. ibid. p. 161.

of the Catechumens, who being ripe for Baptism, were speedily to be admitted thereunto: But the general and constant reading thereof, seems not to have prevailed in the West, till almost five hundred and ninety Years after Christ; when, in imitation of the Eastern Churches, the

third Council of Toledo, by the b Petition of K. Recared, ordered, That throughout all the Churches both of Spain and Gallicia, the Creed should be repeated with a loud Voice every

b Petitione Recaredi Regis, conflituit Synodus ut per omnes Ecclefias Hispaniæ & Galliciæ,—
Symbolum fidei recitetur,—quo fides vera manifesta sit, & testimonium habeat, & ad Christi Corpus, & sanguinem prælibandum pectora populorum side purificata accedant. Id. 1b. Can. 2, p. 254.

Lord's Day, that so the true Faith might be manifested and assented to, and the Hearts of the People being purified by Faith, they might be prepared to partake of the Body and Blood of Christ.

It must indeed be owned, That the Creed appointed to be read in the Church, both by Timothy Archbishop of Constantinople, and the third Council of Toledo, was the Nicene or Constantinopolitan

stantinopolitan Creed; which, for that time, through some Reasons peculiar to that Age, did in some measure eclipse the Apostles Creed, although this latter did in a little time recover its former Esteem and Value, and for several Ages hath, next to the holy Scriptures, been always reputed the most venerable and divine Piece extant; and whilst all other Creeds, excepting the Nicene, and that commonly ascribed to Athanasius, have perished as to their use, with their very and Framing, this hath outlived them all, and for leveral hundred Years hath been uninterruptedly used, and even before those two other Creeds, it hath been perpetually and constantly preferred

Such a peculiar Honour hath Almighty God been pleafed in his most wife Providence, to put upon this particular Creed: To the Explication of the several Articles whereof, I shall forthwith proceed; first repeating the Creed it self, which is to be the subject of the ensuing Discourse, and is as follows.

I believe in Sod the Father Almighty, maker of Peaven and Earth; and in Jesus Christ his only Son, our Lord, who was conceived by the Poly Shost, born of the Airgin Mary, suffered un-

der Pontius Pilate, was Crucified, Dead, and Buried; he descended into Hell; the third Day he rose again from the Dead, he ascended into Heaven, and sitteth at the right hand of God the Father Almighty; from thence he shall come to judge the Duick and the Dead. I believe in the holy Ghost, the Holy Catholick Church, the Communion of Saints, the Fozgiveness of Sins, the Resurration of the Body, and the Life everlassing.

CHAP. II.

The Reason for which it is said in the Singular Number, I believe; the meaning of the word Believe: by believing in God, we assent to his Existence, and Unity; the Greek and ancient Latin Creeds read, I believe in ONE God, which was designed against some blasphemous Deniers of the Divine Unity, who negatively were not the Jews, since they owned it; nor the Heathens principally, seeing the greater, or at least the wifer part of them acknowledged it: But positively, they were certain ancient Hereticks; in opposition unto whom, this Clause of ONE God

is to be considered, either absolutely or relatively; absolutely it signifies, That there is but ONE God; the Valentinians, Cerdonians, Marcionites, and others, introduc'd several Gods; the reason for which they did it; the Authors of this Heresy lived in the Apostles time; against it the Creed declares, That we must believe in ONE God; which being understood relatively, or as it hath reference to what immediately follows in the Creed, signifies, that ONE and the same God is the Father Almighty, Maker of Heaven and Earth, in contradiction to several Hereticks, who maintained Opinions contrary thereunto; the Title FA-THER, denotes God to be the Origine of all Beings, in contradiction to the Gnosticks, and others, who, being worse than the Heathens, disown'd him to be such, and refused to give him the Appellation of FA-THER, which is here briefly explained, but attributed it to another Being, different from him: Or, it denotes the peculiar Relation of the Father unto the Son, in which sense it hath been also understood in the Creed, which is the primary sense thereof, wherein it hath been in the Creed

Creed from the Foundation of Chri-Stianity. The proper Notion of the word ALMIGHTY; it bath a threefold fignification in the Creed: First, It denotes God's infinite Power, which shews the Reason why it is placed before the making of Heaven and Earth; in this sense it was intended against the Valentinians, Simonians, Menandrians, &c. whose Heresies are explained: Secondly, It implies God's providential Government of the World, in opposition to the Denial thereof by the Gnosticks, and Marcionites; the former of whom at least, ascribed this word ALMIGHTY, thus understood, to another Being, diverse from the supreme and only God; the reafon of their Blasphemies against the Divine Providence: Thirdly, It includes God's Immensity and Omnipresence, in contradiction to the Error of the Gnosticks, which confined God within a certain limited Space. What is to be understood by MA-KER, and what by HEAVEN and EARTH; the blasphemous Tenets of several Hereticks about the Creation of the World; Simon Magus, and several others, attributed the making thereof to Angels; the portentous portentous System of the Valentinians, concerning the Origine of Beings, and the Creation of the Universe; the Cerdonians and Marcionites maintained two eternal Principles, God and the Devil, the latter of whom they affirmed to have been the Former and Maker of the World: Against all these Hereticks it was inserted in the Creed, that the supreme God, the Father Almighty, is maker of of Heaven and Earth.

HE first words at the Head of the Creed, which must be suppoled to be of like force with respect to every Article and Clause therein, are I believe; wherein are two things observable: The first whereof is, the Number, wherein the word Believe is expressed; which is not the Plural, We believe, but the Singular, I believe; which came from the manner of the Catechumens repeating the Creed, or at least yielding their assent unto it at Baptism, which they did severally and apart; or, if for convenience fake, many might recite or affent unto the Creed togerher, yet each one to declare his proper personal belief thereof, said I believe;

lieve; so writes Salvian, At Baptismthou didst not only renounce the Devil and all his Works, but thou saidst, I believe in God the

Abrenuncio, inquis, Diabolo, &c. & quid pottea? Credo, inquis, in Deum Patrem omnipotentem, & in Jesum Christum filium ejus. De Gubern. Dei, lib. 6. p. 197, 198.

Father Almighty, and in Jesus Christ his Son: And to the same purpose it is

related by Vigilius Tapfensis, That ball those who came to the sacred Laver of Regeneration confessed thus, I believe in God the Father Almighty, and in Jesus

b Ad facrum Lavacrum Regenerationis venientes, contessi sic, Credo in Deum Patrem omnipotentem, & in Jesum Christum Filium ejus unigenitum, & Spiritum Sanctum. Inter Oper. Athanas. Tom. 2. lib. 11. ad Theoph, p. 591.

Christ his only Son, and in the Holy Ghost; it being most sit and proper, that every Person at his admission into the Christian Church, should make a particular and personal Declaration of his Faith and Belies.

But there is farther observable in these two words, the Act mentioned or specified therein, viz. Believing; which, that I may avoid all needless and impertinent Criticisms, I do in brief apprehend to signify in this place no other, than the full and undoubted assent of our Mind and Understanding to the truth and verity of every particular Clause and Article contained in this Creed or Symbol.

E 2

The

The first whereof is, that we believe in God, which worthily deserves to be placed in the beginning of the Creed; fince, according to the observation of

> tues: In which Expression there are contained these two things, the Existence

σαν Θεώ δόξαν τε & wisu. Dia- God is the Basis and log. 1. p. 1.

Bάσιν 28 οίμαι κς εδεαίωνα Origen, a a fitting Ap-είναι σατών τ ἀρείων τ ἀρμόζε- prehension and Faith of Foundation of all Vir-

of God, and the Unity of the Godhead. That the Existence and Being of God is . I To all J here first of all professed, is no wonder, since on it our whole Religion depends; this is the Foundation of every rhing that, is facred; without it Religion would be a mere fancy and conceit, the most foolish and unreasonable Heb. xi. 6. thing in the World: 16 He therefore, faith the Apostle, that cometh unto God, must believe that he is; that is, must be fully perfuaded in his mind, that there is a God; and not only yield a naked Affent to the certainty of his Being and Entity, but apprehend him under due and congruous Notions to his Nature

c Prima caufu; fundamentum cunctorum quæcunque funt, infinitus, ingenitus, immortalis, perpetuus, folus, quem nulla delineat forma corporalis, nulla determinat circumscriptio, qualitatis expers, quantitatis, fine situ, motu, & habitu. Arnob. lib. I. p. 17.

first Cause and Foundation of all things, infinite, unbegotten, immortal, perpetual, only, whom no bodily Shape

and Essence, cas the

can

can describe, or Circumscription determine, without Quantity or Quality, Disposition, Motion or Habit; as Ruffinus writes in his Exposition of this

Article, a When thou hearest, faith he, the derstand a Substance without mixture, invi- \$. 4. p. 566.

2 Deum cum audis, substantiam intellige fine initio, fine fine, Word GOD, un- simplicem, fine ulla admixtione: invisibilem, incorpoream; in quâ nihil adjunctum, nihil creatum fit, without beginning, and fine auctore, est enim ille qui Auwithout end; simple, cor est omnium. Extos. in Symb.

sible, incorporeal; to whom nothing is adjoined, in whom nothing is created; without Author, for he himself is the Author of all.

But, the Existence of God having been in all Ages univerfally acknowledged, without any confiderable Oppofition thereunto, the Unity of the Godhead hath been more generally inculcated as the chiefest and more principal sense of this Article; for the better understanding of which, it will be convenient to take notice of the observation of Ruffinus, That b in all the Eastern Creeds, it is, I believe in ONE God Ecclefiæ omthe Father; where, if by the Eastern dunt, Credo he means the Nicene, or Constantinopo- in unum Delitan, it is certainly true; or, if he um Patrem. means the ancient Creeds used before \$.4. p. 566. either of those, it is true not only of the Eastern, but of the Western also;

b Orientis nes ita trafor in all the most Primitive Creeds, whether Latin or Greek, this Article runs, I believe in one God, or, in the only God; as in the two Creeds of Irenæus, and three of Origen's, "Eva Ocov, One God: and in three of Tertullian's, Unum, or, Unicum Deum, One, or; the only God: And whosoever shall with any Observation consider the Writings of the most ancient Fathers, and especially of Irenaus, shall find, that there was a peculiar Force and Energy couched in this Expression of One God, in contradiction to the wretched Notions and Tenets of some Men, whereby they opposed and blasphemed this fundamental Point of the Christian Religion, the Unity of the Divine Essence.

As for the Persons who were condemned by this Clause, it will be readily granted, that they were not the fews, seeing the Unity of the Godhead is every where inculcated in the Mosaical Law, and the Body of that People have been so unmovably fixed and confirmed in the Belief thereof, that now throughout their sixteen hundred Years Captivity and Dispersion, they have never quitted or deserted this Principle, that God is One, as is evident from their thirteen Articles of Faith, composed

composed by Maimonides, the second rhereof is the Unity of the blessed God; which is there explained to be in Edit. Sebast. fuch a peculiar and transcendent manner, Munster. as that nothing like it can be found: 1529. And in their Liturgy, according to the use of the Sepharadim, or the Spaniards, which is read in these Parts of the World in their Synagogues; in the very first Hymn, according to the Edition of David Di Krasto Tartas, printed at Amsterdam, Anno 422, of their little Computation, which falls in with Anno Christi 1662; or, as it is in a larger Edition by Emanuel Benvenisti at Amsterdam, Anno Christi 1642, in the second Hymn, which is an admiring Declaration of the Excellencies of the Divine Nature; the repeated Chorus of that

Hymn is, b All Creatures both above and יגירון כלם כאחר יי אחר ושמי below, testify and witness all of them as one, dam 1662. P. I.

ness all of them as one, dam 1662. p. 1. that the Lord is One.

and his Name One.

And as this Affertion of the Divine Unity was not intended against the Jews, so neither is it probable that it was principally designed against the Pagans: I do not deny, but that the Apostles and first Preachers of the Gospel did carefully instruct and warn their E 4 Heathen

Heathen Converts against Polytheism, or a multiplicity of Gods, and directed them to the solitary Worship of the true and only God; as St. Paul and Barnabas preached unto the Lycaonians, to turn from the idolatrous Services of AAs xiv. 15. Jupiter and Mercury a unto the living God, who made Heaven and Earth, and the Sea, and all things that are therein; and the more firmly to establish them in the true and necessary Notion of the Unity of the divine Eifence, it is very likely, that frequently they might mention this with the other Christian Verities, which they demanded at Baptism: But that which I fay, is this, that the constant repetition of this Clause, in the order wherein it now stands in the Creed, was chiefly designed against some Persons different from the Pagans; for to do the Heathens justice, and not to make them worse than really they were, I do not think that it can be proved, that the generality, or at least the wisest and most thinking part of them, did ever own a Plurality of Gods; but on the contrary, a large Volume of Testimonies might be produced both from Heathens and Christians, to evidence, that they believed but only one eternal, fupreme, unbegotten, and independent Be-

ing;

ing; from whom all their other inferior Divinities, vulgarly also called Gods, de-

rived their Original and Essence.

As for the Heathen Writers, an infinity of Testimonies might be cited from Plutarch, Seneca, Maximus of Tyre, Plato, Virgil, Hecataus Abderita, Xenophanes Colophoniensis, Orpheus, Cicero, and a multitude of others, who have all afferted, that the Pagans received but one supreme, infinite, and felf-existent God; unto whom the Title of Optimus, Maximus, the Greatest, and the Best, was alone ascribed; and wing. that for those other innumerable Divinities, called also Gods, they were only so termed in an inferiour and secondary sense, as they had some Resemblance in their Natures and Virtues to the supreme God, from whom they were derived and generated, and whose Children and Off-spring they were, and as they were Intercessors and Mediators between him and the Sons of Men.

But there will be no need to cite any particular Passages from the Pagan Authors to confirm this Point, feeing the Christian Writers, and even those who have professedly writ and disputed against the Idolatry and Superstition of the Heathens, have at the same time acknowledged, that they believed but one fu-

Eis Ocos a-

preme

preme and eternal God. St. Austin informs us, that although the Pagans worshipped several Deities, yet their Doctors declared these to be but so many different Names of their great God Jupiter, who was called in the Air Juno, in the Sea Neptune, in the Earth Pluto, in Hell Proserpina, in War Mars, in Vineyards Bacchus, in the Woods Diana; yea, all those other inferiour Gods and Goddesses, as Opis, Lucina, Cunina, Fortuna, Rumina, and the rest of that numberless Company,

Hì omnes dii deæque sit unus Jupiter, — Hæc si ita sint — quid perderent, si unum Deum colerent prudentiore compendio? Quid enim ejus contemneretur, cum ipse coleretur? De Civit. Dei. lib. 4. 6. 11. p. 232.

were all of them but one and the same Jupiter, who, according to the diverse and various Benefits that he bestowed upon Mankind, was worshipped under diffe-

rent Names and Appellations suitable thereunto; which being so, as the said Father continues there to write, what would they lose, if in a more prudent Compendium they did worship but one God? For, what part of him would be despised, when he himself is worshipped? Homer and Hesiod were the first, as Athenagoras relates from Herodotus,

as Athenagoras relates from Herodotus, who invented the Names, Generations,

νίαν Ελλησι, & τοΐσι θεοΐσι τὰς ἐπωνυμίας δόνθες, κζ τιμάς τε & τέχνας διελόνθες, κζ είδεα αὐτῶν (ημένανθες, Legat, pro Christian, p. 16.

Titles,

i line

Titles, Honours, Arts, and Shapes of the Grecian Gods; and yet Justin Martyr affirms, that not only Pythagoras and Plato, with the rest of the wise Philosophers, but that even this blind, su-

perstitious, and idolatrous Homer, in the Golden Chain of his Gods and Goddesses, doth at length place all the Power and Dominion in one supreme God, declaring the o-

² Τῷ γς ἐνὶ τὸ πρώτῷ θεῷ τὰ ἐξετίων ὁ τὸ κράτῷν ἀπάνηων ὁμηρος διὰ τὰ χευτῆς ἀκείνης ἀναίφες Τὰς ἐς ἐς λοιπὰς θεὰς τοτετον ἀπέχειν ἔψη τὰ ἀκείνει θεὸτης ἀνείνει ἀκείνει κὰ μῷ ἀνθρώπων ὁνομάζειν ἀξιῶν. Ad Grac. Paranefo p. 22.

ther Gods to be so far distant from the Deity, that they were to be reckon-

ed amongst Men.

Lactantius in the First Book of his Institutions, both learnedly and largely proves from the Heathen Poets and Philosophers, that they believed but one supreme and self-existent God; the like he doth again, in his Book of the Anger of God, where he shews, that although Plato, Pythagoras, Hermes,

Antisthenes, and several others, b who were too many to be all mentioned, made use of several Names, yet they all agreed, that there was

b Longum est singulorum sententias exequi, qui licèt diversis nominibus sint abusi, ad unam tamen potestatem, quæ mundum regeret, concurrunt. De irâ Dei, cap. 11. p. 796.

but one Power which governed the World.

There

There is a remarkable Passage in Arnobius to this purpose, wherein an Heathen is represented, complaining,

Frustra nos falso & calumnioso incessitis & appetitis crimine, tanquam eamus inficias esse Deum majorem; cum à nobis & Jupiter nominatur, & optimus habeatur & maximus: cumque illi augustissimas sedes, & capitolia constituerimus immania. Lib. 1. p. 19. That the Christians wrong fully accused those of his Religion, to deny a supreme God; whereas, saith he, he is by us called Jupiter, and is esteemed the

greatest Being, spacious Temples and august Capitols being built and dedicated unto him. Unto which Arnobius amongst

b Sint, ut vultis unum—ecquid ergo injustis persequimini nos odis? Quid, ut ominis pessimi, nostri nominis inhorrescitis mentione, si, quem Deum colitis, eum & nos? Aut quid in eadem causa vobis esse contenditis familiares Deos, inimicos atque infestissimos nobis? Id. ibid.

other things, pertinently replies, b Let it be fo as you fay, that your Jupiter and the Omnipotent God, are one and the same: But, why then do you unjustly persecute us? Why do

you dread the very mentioning of our Name, as the worst Omen, if you worship the same God with us? Or, why in the same Cause should the inferior Gods be kind to you, and Enemies unto us?

e Sed non idcirco Dii vobis infesti sunt, quod omnipotentem colatis Deum; sed quod hominem natum, & quod personis infame est, vilibus crucis supplicio interemptum, & Deum suisse contenditis, — & quotidianis supplicationibus adoratis. Id. ibid.

But unto this the Heathen answers, The Gods are not Enemies to you, because you adore the Omnipotent God, but because you deify, and with

with your daily Prayers worship a Man that was born, and which is most insamous, one that was put to death with vile Persons on a Cross. So that from these few Quotations, it is most evident, that the generality of the Heathens, or at least the wisest and best part of them, believed but one infinite, supreme, and eternal God; from whence it follows by a natural consequence, that the Unity of the Godhead professed in the Creed, could not prin-

cipally be intended against them.

It remains therefore, that we fearch elsewhere; and that, fince the occasion: of this Clause was chiefly taken neither from Jews nor Gentiles, we fix it on fome falle and heretical Christians; which we have the greater reason to do, feeing not long after the Apostles Days, and even in those Days themselves, there were feveral Sects and Divisions of Hereticks who embraced Tenets contrary hereunto, as also to what immediately follows concerning God, that he is the Father Almighty, maker of Heaven and Earth; and to several other Articles, which were all inferted in the Creed, on purpose to oppose those Herefies: from the confideration of the nature and particular Points whereof, it necessarily follows, that the designed meaning

meaning of those Articles is only to be fetch'd and brought: The Books of Irenæus will be of great use to us herein, and afford us the greatest Light into those Parts of the Creed of any Book that I know, fince therein we have the amplest and clearest Relation of the ancient Herefies of the Gnofticks, Marcionites, and others; against which, a great part of the Creed was levelled and intended; and in particular, this Clause of One God, which was inferted to require our Belief, that there is but one infinite, supreme, beginningless, and e-ternal God; and that this One God, and none other, was the Father of our Lord Jesus Christ, and of all other Beings whatfoever, Almighty, maker of Heaven and Earth: So that this Expression of One God is to be understood, either absolutely, without regard to any other Article in the Creed, and fo it denotes our Faith, that there is but one eternal, independent, self existent God; or relatively, as it hath reference to what immediately follows, and so itfignifies, that one and the same God, and not a different or diverse Being from him, is the Father Almighty, maker of Heaven and Earth.

As for the first of these, that hereby it is professed, that there is but one infi-

15 1 2 3 4

D Lossi

nite, omnipotent, eternal, and felf-existent God, the very Clause it self, I believe in God; but especially, as it is in all the most primitive Creeds, whether Greek or Latin, I believe in One God, puts it beyond doubt or question. That which is farther incumbent on me, is, to shew the Heresies that gave occasion for this Profession in the Creed, and to prove that they were the real Causes thereof.

As for the Herefies, I suppose them to have been those of the Valentinians, Cerdonians, Marcionites, and others who, as Irenaus affures us, were all the Disciples and Successors of that first Simonis Samaritani Magrand Heretick Simon Magus: As for gi Discipuli the Valentinians, the most considera- & Successores ble Branch of the Gnosticks, there was funt. Lib. 1. c. 30. p. 83. an exact agreement betwixt them in the fame Principles; but their Opinions were various and inconstant, for which they are b frequently reflected b Lib. 1. c. Si on by Irenaus. Some of them af p. 38. Lib. 4. ferted two Coeval and Coexistent Prin- 6.69. p. 300. ciples, God and Matter, as Droserius a Disputant for this Sect, in the Dialogues of Origen, affirmed & Matter to be Coeternal with God; and Her- n who to Ocal mogenes, who was living when Tertullian wrote against him, maintained,

· Sumpfit à Stoicis Materiam cum Domino ponere, quæ & ipfa semper fuerit, neque nata, neque facta, nec initium habens omnino, nec finem. Advers. Hermog. p. 264.

That a Matter was Coeternal with the Lord, that it was neither born nor made, but was without both be-

ginning and end; which, as Tertulb Ita Hermo- lian there very solidly proves, was genes duos really and effectually to b make two Deos infert. Gods. Ibid. p. 265.

The reason which induced him to embrace this Opinion, as is eafily to be gathered from Tertullian's Treatife against him, was that puzzling and vexatious Question so famous in the Primitive Church, Hober to nanov; or, Whence was Evil? For, not being willing to make God the Author of Evil, and withal imagining it to be a substantial Nature, and to have an original Caufe fuitable thereunto, that he might therefore throw the Source and Origine of c Materiam it upon another, he affirmed c Matter

naturam malam. Ibid. p. 279.

to be a natural evil Principle, coeternal with God, who was contrary thereunto; from whence all other Evil had its spring and rise: and from the same

d Duos Ponticus Deos affert,passus infelix hujus præsumptionis instinctum, de simplici capitulo Dominicæ pronunciationis, - difponentis exempla illa bonæ & ma-Îæ arboris, quod neque bona malos, neque mala bonos proferat fructus, &c. Advers. Marcion. lib. I. p. 129.

reason also, as d Tertullian affures us, Marcion, who faw Polycarp the Disciple of St. Fohn, roundly declared in words at length,

That there were two coeternal independent Beings, the one a good God, the Father of our Lord Jefus Christ, Author of the Gospel, and the Fountain, Source, and Origine of all Good; the other an evil God, the Creator of the World, the Giver of the Law, and the Cause, Root, and Author of all Evil.

It must indeed be owned, that the Marcionites disagreed amongst themselves;

for, although Marcion himself, with several of his Followers, introduced but two Principles, yet, according to the relation of Rhodon, who

α "Ετερρι ή καθώς κ) ο ναύτης Μαρκίων δύο άρχὰς ώτηγεν ή άλος ή πάλιν ἀπ' κύτῶν ἐπὶ τὸ χῶρος ἐξοκείλαν]ες, ἐ μόνον δύο, ἀλλὰ κὶ τρῶς ὑπο]ίθεν η Φύσεις, ὧν ἔτιν ἀρχηγός € προςάτης Σιώερως, Αριιι Ευίρε. Εοὸί. Ηἰβί. l. 5. c. 13. p. 177.

lived at that time, some of them advanced farther, and asserted three eternal Principles; the Chief and Head of whom, was one called Synerus: Of which Faction also was Megethius in the Dialogues of

Origen, who there afaffirms, That b there were three Principles; the first, the good God,

b Έγω Φημὶ εἶνωι τρεῖς ἀρχὰς,
 Θεὸν Τ΄ Πα[έρω Ε΄ Χριςς ἀ[αθὸν, κ]
 ἀπον Τ΄ δημικργὸν, κ] ἔτερον Τ΄ πονηρέν. Dial. 1. p. 3.

who was the Father of our Lord Jesus Christ; the second, the Creator of the World; and the third, the Devil.

But the Body of the Marcionites, and their Mafter himself, maintained only two Gods; the one a good God, Father of our Lord Jesus Christ, and

God of the Christians; the other an evil God, maker of Heaven and Earth, and God of the Jews. So Irenaus, who lived in those Days, assures us

a Hi qui à Marcione duos naturaliter dicentes Deos, distantes invicem, alterum quidem bonum, alterum autem malum. Lib. 3. 6. 12. p. 194.

in feveral places, That.

the Followers of Marcion held but two Gods,
who were naturally so,
being distant from one

another, the one a good, and the other an evil God: And Marcus, the Champion for this Sect of the Marcionites, in the Dialogues of Origen, affirms, that

for his part, b he believed not three Principles, but only two, who were equally Selfexistent, without be-

Το Έγω δολζομαι & τεείς άςχὰς εἶνα, άλλὰ δύο, πονηςὰν, κὰ ἀΓαθίω, — αὐτοφυείς, κὰ ἀναςχοι ἔσαι ἀπέρανζοι, — πάνζη ἐςίν. Dialog. 2. p. 43.

ginning, infinite, and in every place.

But though this impious and abominable Tenet was in those Days generally known under the Name of Marcionitism, as it was afterwards under that of Manicheism, yet it was both hatched and vented before Marcion, and much more before Manes; for, as for Marcion, he is by none allowed to have been the first inventes thereof, but all affirm him to have received it from his Master Cerdon, a noted Heretick in the early Days of Christianity, of whom Tertullian writes,

* That be introduced two Beginnings, that is, two Gods, a good God, and a fierce God; the good One being the Superiour God, and the Creator of the World: that be maintained, that there were two Gods; the one a good God, the Father of our Lord Jesus Christ; the other a just God, the Creator of all things: And even before Cerdon,

a Cerdon introducit initia duo, id est, duos Deos, unum bonum, & alterum fævum, bonum fuperiorem, fævum hunc, mundi Creatorem. De prascript. advers. Har.

fierce One the And Theodoret,

b "Eon a Mov eivas Ocor & malepge ซึ่ หบอร์ช ทุ่นผ้า 'ไทธซึ Xessซึ,—ผักภอง ने में डि कवारांद्र कामीक, - में में eras dinasov, + 5 alabor. Fpit. Haret. Fabul. in Har. Cerdon. p. 93.

as well as before his Scholar Marcion, was this blasphemous Heresy broached in the Church; for Theodoret informs Simon Magus: And Epiphanius post- 6220 Onuias two eternal Principles, bad One, d went to Jerusalem about the Days of the Apostles, and there disputed with the

Elders about the Uni-

ty of the Godhead, and

the Creation of the

World.

us, that both Cerdon and Marcion cook c'Ex & Elthe occasion of their Blasphemy from 1908 Examitively assures us, that the Author of this apogua's. a good and a

d Στέλλεας τ πορείαν έπι τα Ιερογολυμα σει τες χρόνες το λου-รองผมง, พร อัลปะง อันสิธย ของสัมผิน วิง ริ भेर्विक किं रहेड रहे कीं मार्थ प्राया นทอบราโองโลร, หรู ร 8 อเชี นาเกนล์των : ό ή τάλας άνελθών, άνλιδα κεν भृद्धी व चिठ्ठ प्रदेश देशसीयह चर्हिण ६gas, &c. Adverf. Haref. Manic's. p. 267.

This blasphemous Heresy then being so early espoused from the very Apostolical Age, the belief of One God was inferted in the Creed in opposition thereunto, as it may be gathered from Irenews; who, after he hath recited the Heresies of the Valentinians, and others, informs us in contradiction there-

3 'Η μ εμκλησία τος τ λους ελων ης τ επείνων μαθημών τος κλατόςσα τ είς ένα Θεον πατέρω παν μοκορίταρω πιείν. Lib. 1. c. 2. P. 35. unto, That the Church had received from the Apostles and their Disciples, to believe in one God, the Father

Almighty, &c. And in various places, throughout his whole five Books, he confutes the Marcionites Dotage of two Gods, shewing it to be not only inconsistent with Reason, and opposite unto the Scripture, but also contradictory to the Faith and Belief of the Church; whence Epiphanius in his large Exposition of the Creed, in opposition to the foresaid Hereticks, tells us, that by this Clause we are obliged to believe,

δ 'Ότι Θεὸς εἶς ἡμῖν ον νόμω κỳ ον προφήταις, κỳ ον δίας [ελίοις κỳ ον δίας [ελίοις κὰ ον παλαιά κὰ καινή δίαβήκη κενης υκ.]. Adverf. Har. lib. 3. Compend. Fid. Cath. p. 464.

Fhat there is but one God, who was the God both of the Law and the Gospel, both of the Old and New Testament.

And Tertullian in his Prescriptions a
Regula est gainst the Valentinians, Marcionites, and autem fidei,—

quâ creditur,—

unum omRule of Faith, wherein it is professed, nino Deum that there is but one God: And, that I esse.

may

may add yet one instance more, it is very observable in the Dialogues of Origen, that when Adamantius, who fustained the part of an orthodox Christian against the Marcionites, was by agreement first of all to recite the Catholick Faith, which he would defend in contradiction to the forementioned Hereticks; he begins his Creed with, I believe there is but one God; and VEVA Octorwhen one of the Marcionites laboured eivas werisdshard to prove his three, and the other p. 3. his two Gods, Adamantius fo invincibly evidenced the Unity of the Godhead, that Eutropius the Judge of the Disputation, declared the Victory to be on his fide; and when in the end of the last Dialogue he repeats the Articles of the true Christian Faith, he begins it with the Belief of b One and on- b"Eva x usly God; which makes it very probable, vov Ozòv. Dialog. 5. God, was in part defigned to contradict the blasphemous and impious conceit of those Hereticks, who introduced more Gods than one. But, Secondly, This Clause may be also considered in relation to what immediately follows in the Creed, viz. the Father Almighty, maker of Heaven and Earth; in which regard it is a Declaration, that we believe this One God, and not a Being different

different from him, to be the Father, and Almighty Creator of all things; for though some Hereticks owned one supreme and original God, yet they denied him the Creation of the World, and his Paternity and Almightiness in that Catholick Sense, wherein it is to be understood in the Creed; and those other Hereticks, who blasphemed the Unity of the Godhead, contented not themselves with that, but dared to devest our true and only God, whom they called their kind and merciful One, of one of the greatest Acts of his Power, Wisdom, and Goodness, even the making of Heaven and Earth; whereby they totally destroyed one of the most obliging Relations we stand in to God, which is that of a Creature to his Creator.

Who those Hereticks were, with the particulars of their several Heresies, shall be considered under those respective Terms predicated concerning God in the Creed, as they present themselves to our observation in their several order: There is nothing farther necessary in this place, than to shew, that this was in part the intended sense of this Clause of the Creed; for the Proof whereof, innumerable Passages might be produced

produced from the Writings of Irenaus: as in both his Creeds, which he opposes to all these kinds of Hereticks, he

expresses this Article, by believing a in one God, the Father Almighty, maker of Heaven and Earth; and contrary to the delirious Fancies of those Atheistical Wits, affirms, that the whole Church of God throughout the World, b received one and the same God the Father; and that 'the universal Church received this by Tradition from the Apostles, that there was but one God, the maker of Heaven and

Eig Eva Deor Halege warloκράτερος Τ΄ σεποιημότα Τ΄ έρουνου κ T' ylui. Lib. 1. c. 2. p. 35. In unum Deum fabricatorem cœli ac terræ. Lib. 3. c. 4. p. 172.

b Unum & eundem Deum Patrem recipientibus. Lib. 5. c. 17. p. 341.

c Unum Deum fabricatorem cœli ac terræ, - Ecclesia omnis - hanc accepit ab Apostolis Traditionem. Lib. 2. c. 9. p. 107.

This Clause is likewise so expressed in two of Tertullian's Creeds, as that the condemnation of these various Hereticks appears most evidently to have been designed thereby; in one of them

it is said, that d by the Rule of Faith we must believe, that there is but One only God, and that there is no other

d Regula est autem sidei, quâ creditur unum omnino Deum esse, nec alium præter mundi Creatorem. De Prascript. adv. Haret. p. 73.

besides the Creator of the World; and in the other, that we must thereby be-

Earth.

a In unicum Deum omnipotentem, Mundi conditorem. De Virgin. Veland. p. 385.

lieve a in the only God Almighty, the framer of the World. The fame also may be ob-

ferved concerning the feveral Creeds of Origen; in one of which, our Faith is

b "Ori es esiv o Deos, o rà waira rlivas no xalaglivas, & woinvas ट्रेस हैं µn ovi कि संद को संशवत करें कर्या व. Vol. 2. Com. in Johan. Tom. 32. p. 397.

declared to be in b One God, who created and disposed all things, and made those things that are, out of things that

were not: And in that Creed, wherein Adamantius professed the Catholick Faith in opposition to the erroneous Tenets of the Marcionites, he begins it E"Eva Ocov with, c I believe in one God, the very Creator and Maker of all things: And andrian eiras so Cyril of Jerusalem d explains the

อีกนเธยของ 20 επ 15 δυκα. Dialog. I. p.3. p. 53, 00.

Ri x/15lui 6

Unity of the Godhead, in contradiction d Catech. 6. to the Herely of the Simonians, Carpocratians, Marcionites, and others, who made two Gods, one a good God, and the other a just God; and in another place, where he repeats and explains the Creed, he thus explains the Unity of

c 'Or autos ésiv alabos 2 diκαι 🕒 ίνα έὰν σολέ ἀκθοης λέζον-ร⊕ aieelikė akov eiva T-dikasov, ε άλλον είναι τ ά Γαθον, δύθυς τωσμνηροθείς γνώς το έ αιξέσεως ιοδολον 10λμησαν] Ο άσεδως τ ένα Θεον διαxwestas the role. Catech. 4. p. 24.

God, 'That he is both good and just; so that if we should hear any heretical Person say, that the just God is one, and the good God another, we should remember member it to be a venemous Heresy, daring wickedly to divide the one God.

From all which it is most apparent, that the intended design of this Clause of One God, was in part to declare, that whatfoever is immediately predicated concerning God, in the subsequent part of the Creed, related to one and the same God; that one and the same divine Being, was the Father Almighty, maker of Heaven and Earth; that all things had their Spring and Original, Form and Shape, from one and the same divine Essence, who was the One and only God, fo One as that there is none other besides him, and like unto whose Unity there is nothing to be found in the whole World, whereby it may be represented or expressed.

After the Existence and Unity of God, there follows next in the Creed, that relation wherein he stands to us as our Father, as he is the Author, Cause, and Origine of all Beings. The reason whereof is most probably to be setched from the Gnosticks, and the other Hereticks of the sirst Ages, who denied God's Paternity in this respect, by disowning him to have been the Creator and Producer of the World, and of the various Creatures therein; and in an agreeableness thereunto did avowedly results.

Title or Appellation of Father, acting therein far worse than the Heathens, who by the glimmering Light of Nature had conceived of God under this Notion, that he was the Pater omnipotens, Maline and ador'd him; for the Proof whereof, the single Testimony of Lastantius shall suffice, who writes,

a Omnem Deum, — necesse est inter solennes ritus & precationes Patrem nuncupari, non tantum honoris gratia, verum etiam rationis; quod & antiquior est homine; & quod vitam, salutem, vistum præstat, ut Pater. Itaque ut Jupiter à precantibus Pater vocatur, & Saturnus, & Janus, & Liber, & cæteri deinceps; quòd Lucilius in deorum concilio irridet. Ut nemo sit nostrum, &c. Institut. 56.4. c. 3. p. 352.

That every God in the Worship and Prayers directed to him, was of necessity called Father, not only for Honour's sake, but for Reason's also, because he is ancienter than Man, and as a Father, gave him his Life, Health, and Food; and that there-

fore, Jupiter, and Saturn, and Janus, and Bacchus, with the rest of the Gods, were each of them called Father. For which he cites these Verses of Lucilius:

Ut nemo sit nostrum, quin Pater optimus divûm.

Vt Neptunus Pater, Liber, Saturnus Pater, Mars,

Janus, Quirinus, Pater nomen dicatur ad unum.

The notion or fignification of a Father is so well known, as that it may be needless to fay, that in its proper and restrained sense, it denotes such an one as communicates Life and Being to another, Generation being the Foundation of Paternity; and that more largely and comprehensively, it fignifies such an one as confers Kindnesses, Favours, and Benefits upon another; every one knows, according to the forementioned Citation from Lactantius, that he is a Father who gives Life, Health and Food, to another: But now, such an one the Gnosticks had the wickedness to deny God to be. How in this respect they blasphemed the divine Majesty, I shall more particularly relate and prove, when I come to that Article of Maker of Heaven and Earth, unto which this word thus considered. hath a nearness and affinity; in this place it shall be sufficient to remark in general, that the Gnosticks and Valentinians imagined the supreme and omnipotent God to live within circumscribed Limits, in an unactive, and idle Rest and Ease, whilst they seigned an inferiour Deity to be the Creator of the World, the Author of every Being thereof, and of every Gift necossary and fuitable thereunto, unto whom therefore they gave this Title of Father; which, in this Acceptation, is alone communicable to the supreme and infinite Majesty, calling this fancied Maker

· Τον Παζέρα, βασιλέα πάνζων, - Παγέρα & Θεον λέγεσιν αυτίν. Iren. lib. 1. c. 1. p. 16.

b Δημικεγον αυτον κ) walega κα-Ason. Id. ibid.

of the World, a Father and King of all, Father and God, b Creator of the World, and Father; thereby making them-

felves guilty of the last and greatest Blasphemy. Wherefore, to declare the true Christian's abhorrence of such an odious Crime, Irenaus in his opposition thereunto, doth generally understand by God's being the Father, his being the Fountain, Source, Producer, and Creator of all other Beings whatfoever; and therefore, after he hath revealed at large this Mystery of Iniquity, this portentous and horrid Imagination of the Gnosticks, he immediately repeats as a proper Antidote, against the Infection thereof, the Apostles Creed, by which we are obliged to believe in God the Father; and having in his whole first Book, related the extravagant Fancies of these wild and distracted Brains, and their blasphemous Denials of God to have been the Author and Creator of the World, and of all things therein, he informs us in the beginning of his fecond Book, he intended to prove therein,

င Παθέρα. Lib. I. c. 2. P. 35.

therein, that the true and supreme God ² Solus Conwas the ² alone Creator, and the alone ditor, & solus Pater. Lib. 2.

But, there may be also another fort of Paternity included in the Creed, viz. the peculiar relation wherein God stands to his Son, that he is his Father, that he hath begotten him; the manner whereof is peculiar, eminent and ineffable, and is not only impossible to be explicated by us, but fuch an Attempt would be both perillous and arrogant: For, Who can search out God to perfection? Secret things belong unto God, but revealed things unto us and our Children. Wherefore, waving all Searches or Inquiries into the manner or nature thereof, and referving what I have farther to fay on this Point, till I come to the Generation of the Son, contained in that Article, His only Son, I shall only in this place endeavour to prove, that this Article was understood in this sense by the Primitive Church, and as such hath obtained a place in the Creed.

St. Austin thus explains it; bObserve, quòd cùm saith he, that when in the Creed the Dei Patris

nomen in confessione conjungit, ostendit quòd non antè Deus esse cœpit, & postea pater, sed sine ullo initio & Deus semper & Pater: Patrem autem cùm audis, agnosce quod habet Filium veraciter genitum, quomodo possessor dicitur, qui aliquid possidet, & Dominus qui alicui dominatur: Deus ergo Pater secreti Sacramenti vocabulum est: cujus verè Filius est Verbum. Serm. de Temp. Serm. 181. p. 525.

Name

Name of God the Father is conjoined, it is thereby declared, that he was not first of all a God, and afterwards a Father; but without any beginning, he is always both God and Father: When thou hearest the word Father, acknowledge that he hath a Son truly born, as he is called a Possessor who possesses any thing, and a Governour who governs any thing: So God the Father is a Term of a secret Mystery, whose true Son is the Word. To the same effect likewise, Russinus thus writes in his Explication of this Article,

Patrem cùm audis, Filii intellige Patrem, qui Filius supradictæ sit Imago substantiæ. Sicut enim nemo dicitur Dominus, nisi habeat vel Possessimo, accident nemo Magister dicitur, nisi discipulum habeat: Ita & Pater nullo pacto quis dici potest, nisi Filium habens. Hoc ergo ipso nomine quo Deus Pater appellatur, cum Patre pariter subsistere etiam Filius demonstratur. Exposit. in Symb. Apost. § 4. p. 566.

"When thou hearest the Title Father, understand that he hath a Son, who is the Image of his Substance; for, as no Man is called a Lord, unless he hath a Servant, or a Possession, whom he lords it over; and no one is called a Master, ex-

cept he hath a Scholar; so no one can in any manner he called a Father, unless he hath a Son: By this Name therefore by which God is called a Father, the Son is also demonstrated to subsist likewise with him. The same Exposition is also given by Petrus Chryfologus,

fologus, Maximus Taurinensis; and in a word, almost by all others, who have had occasion to mention this Subject; and especially, since the appearance of the Sabellian and Arian Heresies, this Interpretation hath been more largely insisted upon, and more curiously ex-

plained.

The next word to be explained, is, Almighty, I believe in God the Father Almighty; the Greek word whereof is Πανδοκεάτως, which properly fignifies the universal Dominion of God over all his Creatures, and his providential Regency and Gubernation of them; in which fense it is only in part to be unstood in the Creed, and together with that to be enlarged to some other fignifications of the Word, which were denied and opposed by some primitive Heresiarchs.

In the first place therefore, this term Almighty may be considered as a Declaration of God's infinite Power and Energy, by which he made the World of nothing, without the assistance of Angels, or any other help whatsoever; that his Might and Force is so great, that as he needed not any coexistent Matter, whereon to shew the Efficacy and Operation thereof, but created all things of nothing; so neither did he lack

the affistance of Angels, or of any other Principle whatloever, to affist him in that which next follows in the Creed, viz. the making of Heaven and Earth: which by the way, shews us a good reason, why the word Almighty is placed before the Creation of the World in our Rule of Faith; for if it had only fignified God's Dominion and Providence, its proper Order would have been after the making of Heaven and Earth, the fubject about which it is exercifed: But, feeing it also fignifies the Omnipotency and irrefistible Efficacy of his Power, by which he could form and produce all Creatures without any previous Matter, as also without the help of Angels, or any other Being, the present Order of the Creed seems to be most natural and unconstrained, that the profession of God's Almightiness should precede the first and great Demonstration thereof, viz. the making of Heaven and Earth.

Now that this was in part the defigned fense of this word, I shall evince, after I have shewn, who those Hereticks, and what their Heresies were, which gave an occasion hereunto. Concerning the Valentinians, it hath been already proved, that some of them affirmed Matter to have been coeternal

with

with God; out of which confused Heap, he produced the World in the same order and regularity wherein it now is: And others of them, with several Divifions and Subdivisions of the Gnosticks, although they allowed not Matter to have been coeval with the first and original God, yet they affirmed its coexistency with, and even pre-existency to that petty inferiour God, whom they constituted the Creator of the World, imagining a most fensless and almost unintelligible manner, in which this stupendous and admirable Fabrick was erected and raised; a clear and full relation whereof will yield a great Light into the intended sense of this and the following Clause of the Creed: under which latter Clause, I intend to give a succinct Account thereof; and at present shall only touch on fo much as is necessary for the Explication of the Point before us.

We must know then, that these monstrous Hereticks, in imitation of Hesiod's
Pagan Theogony, imagined a Copulation
of thirty Aions, as they termed them;
sisteen of which were Male, and sisteen
Female, begotten and generated one from
another; twenty eight whereos, either
mediately or immediately, proceeded
from Bythus, or Depth, the Origine
and sirst Principle of all; and his Wife
G Sige,

Sige, or Charis, that is Silence, or Grace. These thirty Aions they fancied to lead an idle and unactive Life, within an imaginary Space, Pleroma, or Fulness; and that Sophia, the last of the Female Ones, being not able to accomplish a passionate and affectionate Desire which she had, brought forth an inform Matter, called Achamoth, which was thrown out of the Pleroma, from whose Tears, Laughter, Sorrow and Fear, proceeded the Substance and Foundation of all animal and material Beings; under which, they included the Creator himself, and the Matter by which he effected the work of Creation.

Now this ridiculous and monstrous Opinion, was an impious Reflection on the Power of God, as though he had not Strength sufficient to prepare Matter himself for his Works of Creation, as well as to create his Works out of Matter provided to his Hands in another way and manner: it is both a important to the way and manner it is both a important to the strength of t

Deum - ther way and manner; it is both imimpie con-picus and foolish, as Irenaus writes, not temnunt, to believe, that God could by his own

tes, quoniam

Deus ex his quæ non erant, quemadmodum voluit, ea quæ facta funt ut effent omnia, fecit fuâ voluntate,—quod enim dicunt ex lacrymis Achamoth humectam prodiffe substantiam, &c. quomodo hæc non digna irrisione, & verè ridicula? Qui non credunt quidem, quoniam ipsam materiam, cùm sit potens & dives in omnibus Deus, creavit, nescientes quantum potest spiritalis & divina Substantia. Lib. 2. c. 10. p. 108.

Will

Will, make those things that are, out of those that were not; and to ascribe the substance of Matter to the Tears, Laughter, Sorrow, and Fear of an unintelligible and imaginary Achamoth: And being ignorant of the Power of a spiritual and divine Substance, not to believe that God who is strong and rich in all things, created Matter it self.

But, besides these forementioned Hereticks, there were also others who derogated from the omnipotent Power of God, by ascribing the Creation of the World unto Angels; as did the Followers of a Simon Magus, the b Menandrians, c Saturnilians, d Basilidians, c Car- Mago dicente pocratians, and others, who all com-mundum ab bined in this Degradation of the Al- Aum. Iren. mighty, and the taking from him the lib. 2. c. 9. Glory of this first manifestation of his P. 107. Power and Godhead, in creating and fra- wirts & normor ming this stupendous Fabrick of the U- Yes or your niverse.

b "EXESE B αίγελων. Εpiph.cont.Har. Menand p.32.

c A septem quibusdam Angelis mundum sactum. Iren. lib. 1. 6. 22. p. 77.

d 'Alγέλες δημιεςγήται τ κόσμον. Theodoret, Epit, Har. Fabul. in Har. Basilid. p. 96.

c Υπο μ αίγελων τ κλίσιν έρησε γεγμινήας, Id. ibid. in Har. Carpoc. p. 87.

Now against all these various Hereticks and fundry Herefies, to declare our Belief of the omnipotent Power G 2

and Operation of God, was this word Almighty partly inserted in the Creed, whereby it is professed, That as there was no eternal Substance or Matter antecedent to the Creation of the World, from whence it should be formed and taken, so God needed it not for that end; neither stood he in any want of the help and strength of Angels, or others, to effect so glorious a Work; but was infinitely able, and sufficient of himfelf, to produce that and Ten thoufand times more, if it had pleafed him lo to do.

In which fense, it is expounded by the Author of the Second Explication of the Creed to the Catechumens, extant amongst the Works of St. Austin;

* Omnipotentem Deum credimus, qui omnia faciens factus non est, & ideo omnipotens est, quia de nihilo fecit quæcunque fecit: non enim eum aliqua materies adjuvit, ex qua demonstraret artis suæ potentiam, sed ex nihilo cuncta creavit, hoc est enim este omnipotentem, ut non solum fabrica ipla, fed etiam materies ab illo inveniatur esse, qui non habuit initium. Tom. q. de Symb. ad Catech. lib. 2. p. 1395.

a We believe God, faith he, to be Almighty, who making all things, is not made himself; and therefore he is Almighty, because he made of nothing what soever is made; for no Matter helped him, on which he should shew the Power of his Work-

manship, but he created all things of nothing: for this is to be Almighty, that not only the Fabrick it felf, but also

also the Matter thereof be found by him, who had no beginning. And when Eutropius, the Judge of the Disputation in the Dialogues of Origen, sums up the Catholick Faith, he applies this term Almighty, not only to God's providential Government of the World, but also to his infinite Power in the making thereof, without the Aids of any Mat- Culture Dial. p. 159. ter coexistent with them.

2 & 8x VAn

Irenaus bewails, that the Gnosticks and Valentinians did by their Craft and Subtilty draw away those from the Truth,

b who did not keep a firm Faith in One God the Father Almighty:

b Tes un édeasav + wisiv eis eva Θεον Παζέρα σανζοκράτορα - διαφυλάοτον ζας. Lib. τ. c. I. p. 12.

Wherefore, as a prefervative against their Infection, he advifeth his Reader firmly to adhere unto the Creed, believed by the Universal Church, and received from the Apostles; which Creed, faith he, obliges us to

profess, that c God is Almighty, who made all things, not by Angels, or any other Powers; for he needeth none of these things, but by his Word and

c Deus omnipotens qui omnia condidit,-non per Angelos, neque per virtutes aliquas ahscissas ab ejus sententia, nihil enim indiget omnium Deus, sed per Verbum & Spiritum suum omnia faciens & disponens. Lib. 1. c. 19. p. 74.

Spirit he compleated and perfected them. And in feveral other places, in opposition to the forementioned Heresies, he writes,

Non Angeli fecerunt nos,—nec virtus longè absistens à Patre universorum. Nec enim indigebat horum Deus, ad faciendum quæ ipse apud se præsinierat sieri, quasi ipse suas non haberet manus. Adest enim ei semper Verbum,—& Spiritus, per quos & in quibus omnia liberè & spontè secit. Lib.4. c.37. p. 266.

That a the Angels did not make us, nor any Power inferior to the Father of all: for God wanted none of these, to effect what he had before determined with himself to do, as if he had no hands of his

own; for the Son and Spirit were always present with him, by whom and in whom he did all things freely and spontaneously. So that, from all these Citations it is most evident, that this word Almighty in the Creed, doth in part denote the infinite Power and Energy of God, whereby he was able without the assistance of any other, to create the World, and to have done whatsoever else had pleased him.

But, Secondly, It also signifies God's universal, absolute, and sovereign Dominion over all Things and Persons, and his providential Regency and Gubernation of them all, according to the counsel of his Will, for his own Praise and Glory. This indeed is the primary Notion of the Greek word Harloned-twe, which hath a respect to governing and ruling, and is thus explained by Russians, who writes, that in the Creed

God

God is a called Almighty, because he hath Dominion over all: And to the same effect Salvian affirms, That we must believe, b according to the Rule of Faith, that

² Omnipotens autem ab eo dicitur, quòd omnium teneat potentatum. Expos. in Symb. §. 7. p. 566.

b Secundum veritatis regulam, —quòd Deus omnia regeret. De Gubern. Dei, lib. 1. p. 12.

God rules all things: And so Cyril of Ferusalem applies this term Almighty to God's providential Power, where- catech. 8. by he governs and rules all Persons and P. 72, 73, 74, Things whatever. Now this Affertion of God's providential Regency of the World, and of all Affairs therein, feems to be levelled and intended against the Gnosticks and Marcionites; both of whom refused to own, that God concerned himself with the Management and Direction of the World; and the former of them at least arrived to that pitch of Blasphemy, as to attribute unto another Being this very Title of Almighty, considered under this Notion of Rule and Authority; for of these Gnoflicks the venerable Irenaus assures us, That whilst they confined the supreme and eternal God within a certain and imaginary Space, circumscrib'd by Bounds and Limits, and placed the Maker of the World (whom they supposed a distinct Being from the true and only God) in a leventh Heaven of their own framing

2) noonoxed-5000 x02801 - warlongaroeg. Lib. I. c. I. p. 18.

framing and imagination, they allotted this inferiour World, the Seat of Mankind, to be the Habitation of the Devil, wherein he governs and tyrannizes; for which reason, they called him * Diabodov ov a Cosmocrator, or the Governour of the World; and Pantocrator, which is the very word Almighty ascribed to God in the Creed. Or, if some of them were not arrived to that degree of Impiety, as to place the Government of the World in the Hands of the Devil, yet the best of them refused to lodge it with the one only independent, and felfexistent God, but appropriated it to that other subordinate Deity, whom they fancied to be the Creator of the Universe; and unto whom for this reason, they attributed the very word b Almighvwv. Iren. l. 1. ty, which is in the Creed. As for the true and supreme God, they confined him within their feigned Plenitude, imagining him there to live in Eafe and Quiet, in Rest and Silence; that as he did not make the World, fo neither was he in any manner concerned about the guidance and direction thereof; therein

b Marloxegitoea र रंकामस्मार्धc. 5. p. 40.

c Epicuri invenientes Deum, neque sibi neque aliis præstantem. Lib. 3. c. 41. p. 227.

d Diabolico spiritu pleni,-alterum quendam excogitant Patrem, neque curantem neque providentem eorum quæ funt erga

nos. Lib. 5. c. 21. p. 350.

agreeing, as Irenæus obferves, 'with the Epicureans; and d being full with the Spirit of the Devil, they invented

vented a Father, neither taking care, nor providing about those things that relate unto us.

And as for the Marcionites, they did not only, as it hath been already related, introduce two eternal Causes, God and the Devil, and ascribe the Creation of the World unto the latter: but they also attributed the Rule and Government thereof unto him, calling him for that reason, as Irenaus informs us, a Cosmocrator, or the Ruler of the a Quem & World: whereas, as the faid Father ex-Cosmocratocellently well proves in opposition there- rem dicit. unto, b the Devil hath no natural and p. 82. lawful Authority over Man, the chief Lib. 5. c.18, Inhabitant of this inferiour World, and p. 427. ad the principal Subject of Divine Provi- P. 431. dence here below; that what soever Do- Ed. Grab. minion he hath, it is all usurped, obtained through that Apostaly and Rebellion into which he drew Mankind with himself; that the Lord Jesus Christ hath undertaken for the rescue and delivery of human Nature, and in that Nature he hath in his own Person already conquered and fubdued the Devil, wresting his unjust Power and Dominion from him; and that in his own appointed time, he will enable all his Members to do the same, to tread down

down Satan under their Feet, personally

and particularly for themselves.

That which gave occasion to this and other Heresies of the like nature, was their fetting up an odd and incomprehenfible Notion of Good and Evil: for they imagining, Bonity and Pravity not to be Affections or Qualities of Beings, but Beings themselves; that all Natures were substantially Evil, or substantially Good; and consequently, apprehending the Necessity of an Origine or Maker suitable to these different Essences, they introduced two eternal Causes, God and the Devil; the one effentially Good, Author of all created Good, and the Governour and Ruler thereof; the other essentially Evil, Author of all created Evil, and the Governour and Ruler thereof: fo that not only the Creation, but also the Providence of God was blasphemed by these Marcionites, in allotting unto the Devil the Rule and Government of this inferiour World, at least of the greatest part thereof; which latter words I add, because those of them who maintained three eternal Principles, allowed unto the fupreme God fince the promulgation of the Gospel, the Conduct and Gubernation of the Christians: As when Megethius, a Marcionite of this Sect, affirmed,

firmed, That there were three Principles; Adamantius replied unto him, that according to his apprehension, the word 'Aexn', or Principle, came from δια το άρχαν τινός, from a Person's ruling and governing; and therefore be would willingly know of him, over whom these three Principles did preside: Unto which Megethius

answers, That the a 'H a labh a 2χh τ Χελςιανῶν good Principle rules the α καινού δη δημιες [κη τ 'Isδαίων, ή ε σονης τ εθνιαῶν. Origen. Di-Christians, the Maker al. 1. p. 4.

of the World the Jews,

and the evil Principle, the Heathens. So that even this Sect of those Hereticks, who acknowledged fome part of God's Dominion and Providence, did fo far blaspheme it, as that they made the Extent thereof very narrow and inconsiderable, much beneath what the Scripture faith of it; That b his King- b Pfal. ciii. 19. dom ruleth over all; that not only the · Heavens, but the Earth also is his, · Psal. lxxxix. d with the Fulness thereof, the World, II. and they that dwell therein. Wherefore, in the Dialogues of Origen, after Eutropius had fully heard the Difputation between the Orthodox Christian, and the two Marcionites, he adjudges the Victory to the former, and ratifies his Confession of Faith, That

p. 159.

ล "Os ซล่งโดง พออุปลั, ผู้ ส่งโ่นลง") ย่อ๊ง, ธั รที βยภทิ ย่อ๊งง ส่งย์เรรุนเง. Dial. 2. p. 69.

there was but One God, a who ruleth over all, whom nothing can oppose, and unto whose

Will nothing can resist: And where he again repeats the substance of the Creed, he explains God's Almightiness, b & ra war- by b all things being subject unto him; Tou. Dial. 5. Which, with what hath been faid before, sufficiently proves, that this Epithet of Almighty in the Creed includes, in opposition to the Gnosticks and Marcionites, the Dominion, Rule and Authority of the One only God, and his providential Disposal of all Affairs and Events in the World.

> But, Thirdly, There is yet another Interpretation of this word Almighty, which is, that it fignifies God's Immenfity, Infiniteness, or Omnipresency; that he is every where, and in every place; that he contains all things, and is himself contained of none; that he is Immeasurable, Incircumscriptible, without Bounds or Limits, which was also designed against the Gnosticks; for these monstrous and abominable Blasphemers supposed a certain Space or Plenitude, called in Greek Pleroma, far above this inferiour World, bounded by a certain Being called Horos; or that I may speak it in plain English, terminated and envirened

vironed by a Circle, within the Sides whereof the supreme and incomprehenfible God was contained, and never came out from thence to take notice of the Affairs of this lower Region, but fatisfied himself in Rest and Silence with the other Aions, in those vast and ineffable Spaces limited by that Circle which did encompass it. Such base and contemptible Thoughts did these deluded Wretches entertain concerning the Divine Majesty, whose Greatness is in-comprehensible, his Being infinite, and Essence unmeasurable, who a hath mea- a Isa. xl. 12. fured the Waters in the hollow of his Hand, and meted out Heaven with his Span, and comprehends the Dust of the Earth in a Measure; who, as Irenaus writes, b fills

both Heaven and Hell, perspicit abyssos, qui est etiam and is with every one cum unoquoque nostrûm. Lib. 4.

of us. Whosoever would 6.36. p. 265.

fee any more of this blasphemous Frenzy, with a solid Consutation thereof, he may find enough of it in the five Books c Lib. 2. c. 1. of Irenaus, and particularly in the place 4. Lib. 4. ces quoted in the c Margin.

But that which is pertinent to the Matter in hand, is, that the Fathers levelled this Clause of God's Almightiness in the Creed, against this impious Heresy; and therefore we find that A-

damantius

damantius an orthodox Christian, sufpecting probably Marinus a Bardesianist, to incline to these Gnostical Dota-

* A Δ A M. Παν] ο κοράτο ο φ της τ Θεον, η ε; ΜΑ Ρ. Γ. αν] ο κοράτο σορά Φημει. Α Δ A M. Περκέχ η τὰ πάν] α, η σορέχε]. Orig. Dial. 3. p. 83.

ges, asked him, a Dost thou acknowledge God to be Almighty, or no? Unto which, when Marinus answers, I say

that he is Almighty, Adamantius immediately replies as an Explication of the former Question, that he might prevent all equivocating Evasions; Doth he contain all things? or, is he contained himself? Understanding the word Pantocrator, used in this part of the Creed for Almighty, to have reference to the infiniteness and boundlesness of God's Nature, that he comprehends all things, and is not comprehended or limited by any thing himself. And so Cyril of Jerusalem paraphrases this part

b Oὐ ๑๖٠γ/ϵ[ομπ]αι οὐ τινὶ τόπω ἐδὲ ἐκὶ μικοότες ૭ ἐοσνεκ ἀλλ. ἔς [α τροκανίνλων αὐτεκ ἐστιν οἱ ἐσσνοὶ, κὸ ἡ γῆ πῶσα οὐ τῆ δρομεὶ αὐτεκ καξεκος, ἐτ⑤ οὐ πῶσιν ἐκὶ ⓒ πάνθων καβος. Catech. 4. p. 25. of the Creed, b That God is not circumscribed in any place, neither is he less than the Heaven, but the Heaven, but the Heavens are the Works of

his Fingers, and the whole Earth is comprehended in his Fist; He is in all, and out of all. And unto this Interpretation of the word, the very Derivation

• Πανγοκεάτως ἢ ὅτι αὐτὸς τὰ πάνλα κεαλεί, κὰ ἐμπεελέχο, τὰ βλ

υψη τ έρανων, η τὰ βάθη τ άδυσσων, η τὰ πέρανα τ οἰκερμίης ον τῆ χειρὶ αὐτε ἐςὶν, η ἐκ ἐςὶ τόπ.

έ καζαπαύσεως αυτέ. Ad Autolyc.

rivation thereof, it being from wared wo wall a newlaw, doth also direct us, as well as unto God's providential Disposal and Government; of which I have already spoken; for newlew signifies not only to rule and govern, but also to contain and comprehend; and so confequently, wallawellew denotes not only a governing, but also a comprehending of all; which was long since observed by Theophilus Bishop of Antioch, who alledges this to be the reason for which God is called

Almighty, because he contains and comprehends all things; for the heights of Heaven, and the depths of the

Abyss, and the ends of

the World, are in his Hand, and there

is no place where he rests.

But, to conclude with this Subject, it appears from what hath been faid, That by affenting to this term Almighty in this precedent part of the Creed, it is thereby declared, that the Power of God is omnipotent, his Dominion universal, and his Essence infinite.

After the Affertion of God's Omnipotency, there immediately follows in the Creed, the Profession of one most glorious and admirable Essect thereof,

lib. I. p. 71.

viz. the Creation of the World, expref-fed in these words, Maker of Heaven and Earth; where, by making, we shall take it for granted, is meant a creating or producing of what was made out of nothing; and by Heaven and Earth, all Creatures whatfoever, both visible and invisible, according to that

a Cœli & terræ nomine universa creatura significata est, quam fecit & condidit Deus. Tom. I. de Genes. cont. Manich. Lib 1. p. 1138.

Saying of Augustin, That a by the Name of Heaven and Earth, is signified every Creature that God made and created.

Now that which gave occasion to the inserting of this Act of God's Power and Might in the Rule of Faith, was that numerous and prodigious variety of Hereticks in the most Primitive Days;

b Hi enim omnes quamvis ex differentibus locis egrediantur, & differentia doceant, in idem tamen blasphemiæ concurrunt propositum, - docendo in Deum sactorem blasphemiam. Iren. in Prafat. lib. 4. p. 232.

who, though a jarring and divided among st themselves, yet, as Irenæus observes, concentred in the same Blasphemy against God the Creator of the V-

niverse: And although they came from different Places, and spread different Tenets, yet that one Spirit by which they were all guided and impregnated, fo far united them, as to deny the supreme God to be the Creator of the World,

though

though they could not agree amongst themselves, who it was that was the Cause thereof.

Simon Magus, the first Heretick, taught, That a the World was made by Angels, as did also his Successor Menander; Saturninus, or Saturnilius, appropriated c this Work

to seven Angels, affirming them, and only

them to have been the Creators of the World, and of Mankind therein. The Basilidians dreamed, that there were fuccessively created one after another, three hundred and fixty five Heavens,

with their proper and peculiar Angels, dThe Angels of which last Heaven, being the starry

one that is visible unto

us, created this inferiour World, with the Inhabitants thereof.

In like manner also, the e Carpocratians, with several other Hereticks, attributed the Creation of the World un- alyeran to Angels, thereby robbing the supreme yessers. and eternal Being of one of the most glorious Discoveries of his Wisdom, cras, p. 49,

Power, and Goodness: But, howsoever impious and foolish the Creation of the

2 'Ymò วิ ซี ผู้โทยหลา อิกุนเยอโกยกvas T normov. Theodoret. Epit. Har. Fab. in Har. Simon. p. 85.

b Mundum factum ab Angelis.

Iren. lib. 1. c. 21. p. 77.

c A septem quibusdam Angelis mundum factum, & omnia quæ in eo, Idem, lib. 1. c. 22. p. 77.

d Tris 7 T souver T Extler T ip ทุนผีง อไหรีงโลร อโกร์ลิยร อีกุนเหตุกักรณ T nooner. Theodoret. Epit. Har. Fab. de Basil. p. 96.

> e Tor 3 xosμον κ τὶ εν τις κόσμω Epiphan. cont. Haref. Carpo-

World

World by Angels feems to be, yet the way by which feveral of the Gnosticks, and in particular the Valentinians, imagined it to have been produced, was far more monstrous, ridiculous, and abominable; whose Heresies having been frequently mentioned in this Chapter, I think it will not be unnecessary to give a brief Account of them in this place, at least of that part of them which relates to God the Father Almighty, and his making of Heaven and Earth; for, as for their corrupt Tenets respecting Jefus Christ, and the Nature and State of Mankind, I shall have occasion to speak of them elsewhere.

As for what therefore concerns our present purpose, I have collected this general Idea of their portentous System from the first Book of Irenaus; unto which I refer the Reader, who defires

farther Information.

· i. e. Age.

b Depth.

They believed, that there was an eternal, invisible, and unbegotten a Aion, or Æon, the first of all Beings, called by them b Bythos, who lived in Rest and Silence throughout an infinite Number of Ages; with whom existed Ennæa, or his Thought, whom they also called Grace and Charis and Sige, which couple begat and produced two Eons more, d Nus

and Alethia, the first a Male, the se-

Silence. d The Mind and the Truth.

cond

cond a Female; Nus they also called a Monogenes; who could alone appre- a Only Begor-hend and contain the Greatness of his ten.

Father Bythos: Nus and Alethia emitted another couple, b Logos and Zoe, b The Word who sent forth two more, c Anthropos and Life. and Ecclesia; all which were in number the Church. eight, and constituted the first Order of the Mons, whom they termed the Root and Substance of all the rest.

After this, the two last couple, for the glory and praise of their first Father, emitted eleven couples more; of which,

Logos and Zoe fent forth these five, a Bythus and Mixis, Ageratus and Henosis, Autophues and Hedone, Acinetus and Syncrasis, Monogenes

Syncrasis, Monogenes and Macaria:
And from Anthropos and Ecclesia pro-

Bleffed.

racletus and Pistis, Patricus and Elpis, Metricus and Agape, Aeinos and Synesis, Eccle-

e Comforter and Faith, Fatherly and Hote, Motherly and Love; perpetual Mind, and Understanding; Proacher, and Blessedness; Willing and Wisdom.

d Depth, and Mixture, Never

old, and Union; Born of himself,

and Pleasure; Unmovable, and Composition; Only bezotten, and

fiasticus and Macariotes, Theletes and Sophia; which compleated the number of thirty Eons, or fifteen couples, the one half Male, the other Female; who were supposed by these extravagant Brains to copulate and beget, in the same man-

H 2

ner that Men and Women do generate

and produce their Children.

nd produce their Children.

These all lived within a vast and unconceivable Place, far distant from these lower Regions, called by them Pleroma, or Fulness, mutually enjoying and rejoicing each other, till a certain Accident, as I may fo term it, gave fome disturbance to their Repose and Quiet; for though, as it was faid before, Nus, or Monogenes, was only delighted with the apprehension of the unmeasurable Greatness of Bythos, the Root and Origine of them all; yet the other Æons also vehemently longed to have the same Happiness of seeing their original Author and Producer: and especially, the last Female Eon called Sophia, was fo unreasonably passionate to obtain her desire of comprehending the Greatness of the *Propator*, or their first Father, that being not able to accomplish it, through vexation and grief at the faid Disappointment, she brought forth an inform Matter, or such a monstrous Birth, which fometimes happens to Women; at which she was so affrighted, confounded and astonished, that she would have been dissolved, or fallen out of the Pleroma, if Bythos had not in compassion to her, emitted a certain certain Power called a Horos, who en- a Limit, or vironed the Plenitude wherein the Æons Circle. dwelt, and confirmed her therein, but cast that inform Matter therefrom into those infinite Vacuities where the World was afterwards created: After which, that none of the *Eons* should be any more affected as Sophia had been, Monogenes emitted another couple, unto whom they gave the Names of Christ and the Holy Ghost, by whose means the Æons being established, they celebrated with great joy their Propator, or Bythos; and to his honour, every one of them brought together the best they had, and fent forth Jesus, whom they also called the Saviour, and Logos, & The Word. and patronymically Christ, with whom also they emitted the Angels to be his Attendants.

All these precedent Assairs were transacted within the Plenitude, or that vast Space bounded by Horos; from whence the Æons never stirred, being always limited thereby, and confined therein; But as for that inform Matter produced by Sophia, and thrown by Horos out of the Pleroma into their truly imaginary Spaces, they funcied it to have been so far pitied and affisted first by Christ, and afterwards by the Saviour, a It comes from an Hebrew word which fignifies Wifdom, as her Mother Sophia's Name doth in Greek.

that a spiritual Form, or a Form 27 7 γνῶσιν, was given unto her; which being thus shaped, they called a Achamoth, whom they most ridiculously imagined, by her Tears, Laughter, Sorrow, and fuch like things, to have emitted from her self the Matter and Foundation of all those animal and material Beings which were afterwards created; and that first of all, from her animal Substance she formed the great Father and Maker of all inferiour things, or of all without the Pleroma, except that eighth Heaven which she her felf framed for her own Habitation, and is between the Pleroma and the Dwelling place of this her Son, called by them Demiurgus, or Maker of the World: who being moved and excited by her, ignorantly and unknowingly created the whole Universe, with every Being therein; framed seven Heavens, in the supremest whereof he dwells in a supine, stupid, and careless manner, neither knowing any thing of, nor concerning himself about the Affairs of Mankind; but leaving them altogether unto the Devil, who was without his knowledge made by him, lives in this World, and rules and governs all the Events and Transactions thereof.

Thus have I, as fuccinctly as possible, in the most intelligible manner that I could, explicated the Valentinian System relating to the first Cause of all things, and the Creation of the World; wherein not only the Villany and Impiety, but also the Delusion and Folly of those Hereticks is to be admired and wonder'd at; which is fo gross and notorious, that it would have feem'd incredible and past belief, that ever such a fensless number of People should have appeared in the World, if the uncontroulable Records of the most Primitive Times had not made it most evident, that many embraced this pernicious Way; and that whilft others blasphemed the Creator of the Universe, by ascribing the framing thereof to Angels, these dishonoured him, by attributing it to an ignorant, doltish, and inferiour Divinity.

But besides these Hereticks, there were yet others, who in another way and manner denied the Heaven and the Earth to be made by the one only supreme and eternal God; and these were the Cerdonians and Marcionites, the Introducers of two eternal Principles; the inferiour whereof, according to their Notions, was the Father and Creator of

the Universe.

" Cerdon introducit initia duc, id est, duos Deos, unum bonum, & alterum fævum: bonum fuperiorem, fævum hunc mundi creatorem. De Prascript. advers. Heret. p. 95.

As for Cerdon, Tertullian writes, That a he invented two Principles, or two Gods; the one a good God, who is the Superiour; and the o-

ther a fierce God, who was the Creator of the World. And as for Marcion, he was Cerdon's Scholar, and with him maintained two eternal Causes, a good and a bad one, God and the Devil; the latter of whom, as Irenaus frequently assures us, he afferted to be

bricatorem. Lib 1. c. 29. p. 81.

b Mundi sa- the b framer of the World; by which means, he made the Creator to be an inferiour God, as Justin Martyr, who lived in his Days, writes, That Mar-

cion of Pontus c imagined, that there was a greater God than the

maker of the World.

ε "Αλλου τινά νομίζειν μείζω-पद है विद्याद्दू अ Dedv. Apolog. 2. p 70.

> With too great reason now may we reflect with horrour and amazement on these monstrous and unaccountable Herefies! Who could possibly imagine, that the Fancies of Men should ever have been fo miserably deluded? But, the Matter of Fact is too certain to be call'd in doubt; these Heresies were so spreading and contagious, that as an Antidote against the venemous Infection thereof, the Governours of the Primi

tive Church found themselves necessirated in contradiction thereunto, to infert in the Creed, that the one God, the Father Almighty, is the maker of Heaven and Earth, as we find in Irenaus: who after he hath related leveral of the precedent Blasphemies against God as Creator, the first Argument that he ufeth for their Confutation, is the Creed received in the Church, wherein we profess our Faith in one God, the Father

Almighty, a maker of Heaven, Earth and of every thing therein; and in another place he faith, That b the universal Church throughout the whole World, had received this Tra-

2 Tov actoinnota + seavor 2 7 γίο, Ε τας θαλάσσας, κο σάνδα τά cv aurois, Lib. I. c. 2. p. 34.

b Unum Deum fabricatorem cœli ac terræ, - ecclesia omnis per universum orbem hanc accepit ab Apostolis traditionem. Lib. 2. c. 9. p. 107.

dition from the Apostles, that there was but one God, the Maker of Heaven and Earth: And again, disputing against the Gnosticks and Valentinians, he cites for their Confutation, the Rule of Faith received from the Apostles in all Churches, which faith he, teaches us, That c there is but one Almighty nus Deus God, who made all things by his Word, omnipotens,

condidit per Verbum suum,-sive visibilia, sive invisibilia, sive sensibilia, sive intelligibilia, sive temporalia, sive sempiterna.-Hanc ergo tenentes regulam, licet valde varia & multa dicant, facile cas deviasse à veritate arguimus. Lib. 1. c. 19. p. 74.

whether

whether visible or invisible, sensible or intelligible, temporal or eternal; which Rule if we observe, although they affirm many and various things, yet we shall easily convince them to swerve from the Truth. But, should I produce whatfoever is to be found in the Books of Irenæus pertinent to my present purpose I should swell this Chapter into a Volume.

In the Dialogues of Origen, when Adamantius the orthodox Christian repeated the Catholick Faith, which he would defend in opposition to the Marcionites; as he confessed therein, that there was but one God, so he omitted not to add, That this one God was 2 Klish & the Creator and Maker of all Things; which he so well proved and confirm-

Snuiseyor F απάνζων είναι *Σεπίς δυκα.*

ed, that Eutropius the Judge of the Dial. 1. p. 3. Disputation, condemned the Marcionites for Fools: And together with Adamantius, in contradiction to their Herefy, not only inferted in his Creed the Unity of God, but also that he was b Krishi & b the Creator and Framer of all Things; fo that when we recite this Clause in the Creed, of Maker of Heaven and Earth, we thereby profess our Belief, that the one eternal and supreme God, is the alone Creator and Former of all

Things whatsoever, both visible and in-

อิทุนเธอของ ณmarlay. Dial. 2. p. 69.

visible.

CHAP. III.

The Nicene and more ancient Greek Creeds, read in One Jesus Christ; which was a designed opposition to the blasphemous Division of Jesus from Christ, by the Gnosticks and others, whose several Heresies are related. By believing in Jesus Christ, we profess, that there was such a Man as was known by the Name of Jefus of Nazareth, which word Jesus was an usual Name amongst the Jews; and that this Jesus was the Christ, or the Messias, which was constantly a part of the Creed from the very beginning of the Gospel; it being the Foundation of all Christianity, and that which was most violently assaulted by the Jews: The Word Christ signifies Anointed; Unction used amongst the Jews on several Occasions; in allusion whereunto Jesus is called Christ, from his Consecration to his triple Office of Prophet, Priest, and King: His Un-Etion is to be understood in a spiritual sense; God the Father was the Anointer, and the Holy Ghost the Oil, which was poured upon his human

human Nature at his Conception and Baptism. His only Son, wherein are two things contained; First, That he is the Son of the Father; his Son, which was foretold by the Prophets, whence Messias and the Son of God were convertible terms amongst the Jews at the time of our Saviour's appearance. Christ was the Son of God in several respects; but in one way peculiarly fo, which is the second thing in this Clause, that he is his only Son. The Scriptures affirm, That God had one Son in a peculiar manner, which is expressed in the Greek Creeds, to be by Generation; which was perhaps opposed to the Valentinian Emission, or Division from the Father; caution to be used in the searching into this Mystery: Christ said in the Greek Creeds to be the Movo Spins, or the only Begotten, in contradi-Etion to the Gnosticks, and others. This Article was coeval with Christianity, and denotes Christ's Divine Nature: The Title Lord, denotes the Dominion of Christ, who is Lord by way of Eminency, being supreme Lord over all; and particularly, the Christian's Lord, our Lord: Two opposite Parties in the Universe;

the one under Christ, the other under the Devil, who have each their separate Kingdoms; the Devil's Interest among Spirits, is unknown to us, but amongst Mankind he very much prevailed, insomuch that in several places he was worshipped as God; but when Christ came, he destroyed the Devil's Kingdom, which was but an usurp'd one, and erected his own Kingdom; the admission whereinto was at Baptism, when the baptized Person not only acknowledged Christ's Lordship, but also expressly renounced the Devil's Power. This Article coeval with Christianity, and denotes a submission to Christ as our Lord, in opposition to the Devil. In the next place, the Creed declares Christ's Humanity, the necessity of his being Man: His Incarnation blasphemed and denied in sundry ways and manners, by various Hereticks; against whom was levelled, what soever is mentioned in the Creed from our Saviour's Conception to his Resurrection. The Conception and Nativity are in most Creeds joined together in one Sentence. Ebion, Cetinthus, and others, affirmed Christ to have been a Man, conceived and born

born in the ordinary way of Generation; against whom it is declared, that he was conceived by the Holy Ghost, and born of such a Woman as was a Virgin. Several Hereticks whose Names are mentioned, denied that Christ assumed a material Body from the substance of his Mother, but held that his Body was fram'd in Heaven, and pass'd through the Virgin Mary as Water through a Pipe; their reason for this Heresy, which is emphatically condemned by this expression, in Magias, or, of Mary: The strange Notion of the Apelleians concerning the making of our Saviour's Body, against whom, with the precedent Hereticks, the Creed directs us to believe, by his being born of the Virgin Mary, that he took from her Flesh the real substance of his Body: The Birth of Christ employed also in conjunction with his Passion, Crucifixion, Death and Burial, to denote the reality of his Body: These last four not all found in one and the same Creed, till St. Augustin's Days: The monstrous consequences of an imaginary and fantastical Incarnation, which was maintained by a prodigious Variety of Hereticks from the Days of St.

St. John, as by the Simonians, Menandrians, &c. Against whom was inserted in the Creed, the Birth of Christ, and his Sufferings; which latter Point was so convincing a Proof, that to prevent any Cavils, as if it were a doubtful and uncertain thing, the Time thereof is declared to have been under Pontius Pilate, who was Procurator of Judæa in the Reign of the Emperour Tiberius: To condemn also the forementioned Heresies, the Crucifixion of our Saviour follows, that it was not Simon of Cyrene, as the Basilidians affirmed, but he himself who was crucified; and likewise his Death which is mentioned, because the certainty thereof is the Foundation of the Gospel: By Death is meant the separation of Soul and Body; after which, for the same intent, follows the disposal of his dead Body, viz. that it was Buried, or laid in the Grave.

AVING in the former Chapter fpoken concerning our Faith in God the Father; in this I come to confider in part, of that which relates unto the Son: The beginning whereof is, and in Jesus Christ; wherein the

first thing observable is, that the a Nicene Eig Era xuerov Inter and more ancient Greek Creeds read, Xerson Socrat. and in one Jesus Christ; putting an Ecc. Hift. l. I. emphatical Force and Energy upon the c. 8. p. 25. term One; as in one of the Creeds of Irenaus, the Christian Faith is not only

b Eig Eva Xersov Inter. Lib. I. c. 2. P. 35.

c Unum

lium Dei.

ders to a firm adherence unto the Faith, which the Church received from the Apostles, and distributes to her Children, this is one Article thereof, that there ' is but One Christ the Son of God: which particular Emphasis in the Christum Fi-Oriental Creeds, was long ago remarked by Ruffinus, who affures us, that as they did all read in One God the Fa-

terminated upon One God the Father, but also upon b One Jesus Christ; and

where the faid Father exhorts his Rea-

Lib. 3. c. I. p. 169. d Orientes Ecclesiæ omnes ita

tradunt, Credo in unum Deum Patrem,-& in unum Dominum noftrum Jesum Christum, unum scilicet Deum, & unum Dominum, secundum auctoritatem Pauli Apostoli prositentis. Exposit. in Symb. §. 4. p. 566.

e Ephes. iv. 5, 6.

ther Almighty, so also d in One Lord Jesus Christ, in conformity, as he thinks, to the Authority of St. Paul, That there is but e One Lord, and One God.

But, as I conceive, a more probable account of this emphatical Expression may be fetched from the blasphemous and horrid Imaginations of the Gnosticks, Cerinthians, and others, who by dividing Christ from Jesus, denied the Unity Unity of our Saviour's Person; concerning the former of which Hereticks, Irenews writes, That they did not only endeavour to withdraw Persons from their Faith in One God the Father Al-

mighty, but also from their a Faith in one Lord Jesus Christ, by b separating Jesus from Christ, affirming them to be two

* Πίσιν — εἰς ἐνα κύρλον Ἰπσῶν Χρασὸν. Lib. I. c. I. p. 12.

b Jesum separant à Christo. Idem, lib. 3. c. 11. p. 186.

distinct and different Persons, and not One Christ Jesus, as the Creed declares.

The general Opinion of the Gnosticks relating to this matter, feems to have been this, 'That Christ was the Son 'Iren. lib. 1. of their Creator, whom they termed lib. 3. c. 17. Demiurgus; from whom he derived p. 201, 202. an Animal Life, as from his Grand- and in many mother Achamoth he received a Spiritual other places. one; that he passed through the Virgin Mary as Water through a Pipe; and that at his Baptism, Jesus who lived with the thirty Aons within the Pleroma, descended into him in the form of a Dove, and continued with him till his Passion, when the said Jesus lest Christ, and returned back to the Pleroma in an invisible and incomprehensible manner.

The Blasphemies of Valentinus, a principal Leader amongst the Gnosticks, respecting this particular, are thus briefly expressed by Theodoret, that he afferted,

That

2 Βαλενῖν αλλον με τρη τ μονοχυπ, άλλον τ τ λόρον, ε άκλον τ
Χελεόν, τ εντός Ε πλης ώμα ω, ε
ετερον τ Ίνσεν, κ άκλον αῦ πάλιν,
τ εξω Χελεόν, ελανθεωπησω τ τ
Ἰησεν έτη, τ έξω Χελεόν ενδυσαμθρον, κ ζωμα εκ τ ψυκιχης εσίας
άνειληφότα. Ερίτ. Heret. Fabul.
lib. 5. c. 12. p.124.

That a the Only Begotten was one, and the Word another; that there was one Christ within the Plenitude, and another Jesus; and again one Christ without the Plenitude,; af-

firming moreover, that Jesus was incarnated, but putting on the Christ that was without, and assuming unto himself a Body of an animal Substance: thus making Jesus and Christ to be two disferent Persons. Which Heresy was hatched before his time, in as much as we find it ascribed to Cerinthus, who

b Jesum suisse Joseph & Mariæ Filium, — & post baptisnum descendisse in eum Christum, ab ea principalitate quæ est super omnia, sigura Columbæ, & tunc annunciasse incognitum Patrem, & virtutes perfecisse; in sine autem revolasse iterum Christum de Jesu, & Jesum passum esse, & resurrexisse, Christum autem impassibilem perseverasse, existentem spiritalem. Iren. lib. 1. 6, 25. p. 81.

who affirmed Jesus to be a mere Man, be the Son of Joseph and Mary, into whom Christ descended after Baptism, in the Shape of a Dove, from that Principality which is above all, and then revealed the unknown Father, and wrought Miracles; but

in the end, Christ fled from Jesus, and Jesus suffered and rose again, whilst Christ remained impassible, being spiritual. Against which

· Error of Cerinthus, Irenaus assures us, St. John levelled his Gofpel, persuading them, that it was not as they said, that there was one Jesus the Son of the Creator, and another Christ, who came from the Pleroma,

who remaining impassible, descended into the foresaid Jesus, the Son of the Creator, and afterwards returned back

to the Pleroma again.

Now if St. John designed his Gospel for the confutation of this Herefy, it is no wonder that the Church in her most early Days inserted in the Rule of Faith, a proper Antidote there-against, requiring all her Sons to believe in One Jesus Christ, which was a direct contradiction to all the forementioned Here-

fies; for, as Irenaus Figments should be admitted, it would necesfarily follow, that there are two Christs; for, if one suffers, whilst

the other is incapable thereof, and one is born, whilft the other descends into him so born, and afterwards leaves

· Johannes-volens per Evangelii annuntiationem auferre eum qui à Cerintho - errorem - ut fuaderet eos-quoniam-non quemadmodum illi dicunt-alium quidem fabricatoris Filium, alterum verò de superioribus Christum, quem & impassibilem perseverasse, descendentem in Jesum Filium fabricatoris, & iterum revolâsse in-suum Pleroma. Lib. 3.

c. 11. p. 184.

well reasons, b If these si enim alter quidem passus est, alter autem impassibilis mansit, & alter quidem natus est, alter verò in eum qui natus est, descendit & rursus reliquit eum, non unus sed duo monstrantur. Lib. 3, c. 18. p. 206.

him, it is most certain that they are not one, but two: Which Division and Separation of our Saviour's Person is such an intolerable Blasphemy, that as

² Judicabit eos qui funt à Valentino omnes, — quia unum Dominum Jetum Christum, &c. Lib. 4. c. 58, p. 297. the faid Father writes, ^aChrist Jesus shall judge the Valentmians for it, when he shall come to judge the World.

But, though the Eastern Creeds did read in One Jesus Christ, yet in the West, where the Churches were not so much infested and ravaged by the Gnosticks, the Creed, as our present one doth, expressed this Article without the addition of the term One, saying, And in Jesus Christ, his only Son our Lord, &c. In which words, our Faith is declared in the Son of God; wherein we have him first described by his Name Jesus; and then by his Office, that he is Christ; and afterwards by his Natures both divine and human, with feveral Acts belonging thereunto: Unto each of which, I shall speak in their respective order.

And first of all, by the word Jesus, I suppose the designed sense thereof to have been no other than this, that hereby we must profess our Belief, that without question or dispute, there really was such a Man living in the World as

was called Jesus, or Jesus of Nazareth, to distinguish him from others of
the same Name; for it must be observed, that Jesus was a proper Name, attributed and given unto others besides
our Saviour: As Joshua the Son of Nun
was called Jesus; and besides him, Heb. iv. 8.
we read of Jesus who was called Ju-6 Coloss. iv.
stus, and of Barjesus, or the Son of 11.
Jesus; it being an usual Name amongst Adds xiii. 6.
the Jews, and like unto other Names,
imposed upon Children at their Circumcision: According to which St. Jerom
tells us concerning our
d Christies commune dignitates

Saviour, That as d'Christ was his common Name denoting Dignity, so Jesus was his proper Name, by the which,

d Christus commune dignitatis est nomen, Jesus proprium vocabulum salvatoris. Tom. 6. Com. in Matth. c 16. p. 33.

e Jesus inter homines nominatur. Institut. lib. 4. c. 7. p. 367.

as Lactantius writes, he was called a-

mongst Men.

As for the exact time when this Jefus lived here on Earth, it is not mentioned in this part of the Creed, feeing in another part it is declared to have been in the days of Pontius Pilate; neither indeed was it necessary to be here expressed, since this Article being coeval with Christianity, it was a thing then universally known and owned by all, as might easily be proved both from Pagan and Jewish Writers, that there was fuch a Man as Fesus of Nazareth, who preached an heavenly and divine Doctrine, and confirmed it both by an exemplary Life and undeniable Miracles; wherefore the bare Existence of such a Man was sufficient to be expressed, whose Name Jesus was principally inferted in the Creed, and by confequence chiefly to be confidered, for no other reason than as it related to the following word Christ, and in Jesus Christ; the intended meaning whereof was this, that the Man called Jesus, who lived at Nazareth, is Christ; that is, is the Messias, or the Anointed of God; that very Person, who was design'd and appointed by him to be the Instructor, King, and Saviour of Mankind: The Declaration whereof at Baptism, was required from the very Foundation of Christianity, seeing it is that on which our whole Religion depends, and what was most violently assaulted by the Jews, out of whom the first Converts were made.

St. John relates concerning the Fury and Malice of the Jews, that they had John ix. 22. agreed, that if any Man did confess that this Jesus was the Christ, he should be put out of the Synagogue, that is, be excommunicated; in which hatred against the Person and Name of Fesus, the

the Successors of those blind and enraged Zealots have obstinately continued to this very day: They universally expected indeed about that time, the coming of the Messias; but they imagined, that it should be in worldly Pomp and Splendor, that his Salvation should be temporal and earthly, that he should appear in a state of Grandeur and Majesty, and advance them to a suitable condition of Magnificence and terrestrial Greatness: wherefore, when quite contrary to all their Imaginations, they beheld our Fesus to be in mean and despised Circumstances, an Inhabitant, and as they believed, born in the contemptible Town of Nazareth, from whence no good could come, attended only by a few despicable and unlearned Fishermen, labouring under Penury and Want, and living in an universal Contempt; they were scandalized hereat; and could not brook this Jesus for their Christ, or Messias, who was so directly contrary to the gaudy Conceits they had entertained of him, which is an open denial and total subversion of the whole Gospel; for, if this Jesus be not Christ, our Religion, as was hinted before, is vain and false: The very Basis and Foundation of the whole Body of Christianity is, that Jesus of Nazareth

is the Christ, or the Messias; wherefore, from the first preaching of the Gospel, the belief of his being the Messias or Christ, was always required at Baptism; as is farther evident from the Exhortation of St. Peter to the convin-

of them in the Name of Jesus Christ, for the remission of Sins: And from

for the same intent, in the Name of the Lord; where, seeing they were baptized in the Name of Jesus Christ for the remission of Sins, it is most apparent, that they must necessarily yield their assent to this Proposition, that Jesus was the Christ, who had procured Pardon and Forgiveness for them.

nuch, which he made antecedent to his Baptism, there is included an acknowledgment of this necessary Truth, that Fesus is the Saviour of the World; as

d Nihil enim aliud deerat ei, qui à prophetis fuerat præcate-chizatus, non Deum Patrem—ed folum adventum ignorabat Filii Dei, — Quapropter non multum laboravit circa eum Philippus.—Propter hoc autem & Apoftoli oves colligentes quæ perierant domus Ifrael, — oftendebant Jesum crucifixum, hunc esse Christum Filium Dei vivi. Lib. 4. 6. 40. p. 274, 275.

Irenæus writes concerning him, That dhe had been beforehand catechized by the Prophets, concerning God the Father; and that he only lacked to be instructed in the coming of the Son of God, which was now

now done by Philip, who easily persuaded him, that he was Jesus Christ, who was crucified under Pontius Pilate: which Method was also observed by the Apostles, who in their Sermons to the Jews did principally shew them, that that Jesus who was crucified, was the Christ, the Son of the Living God. Wherefore, when we repeat these words in the Creed, in Jesus Christ, we thereby declare our fincere and unfeigned Belief, that that Man who was called Fesus of Nazareth is the Christ: Which word fignifies in Greek Anointed, as Messias doth in Hebrew also. I might hence take an occasion to enlarge on the use and end of Unction amongst the Tews, and on the Analogy that is between it and that of our Saviour; but this being not so pertinent to my prefent Design, and it having been already largely handled by others, I shall only briefly mention so much thereof, as will be necessary to render this Treatise complete and entire.

In the Kingdom of Ifrael therefore, this Ceremony of Unction was used to design the Consecration, Dedication or Appointment of any Person or Thing to any particular Act or Office; and especially, it was employed in the Vocation, Consecration, and Inauguration

of their Prophets, Priests, and Kings; as a Elisha was anointed to be a Pro-* I Kings xix. phet by Elijah; and the b Levitical Law prescribes Unction to every High

Priest, at his investiture in his Office; * Kings i.39. and * Zadok the Priest inaugurated Solomon in his Kingdom, by anointing him with Oil Now in allusion hereunto, our Saviour is faid to be anointed by a spiritual Unction, being set apart, confecrated, and dedicated thereby, to be a Great Prophet, an High Priest, and an universal King; in a most eminent manner uniting in himself the three Offices, viz. Prophetical, Sacerdotal and Regal, which were divided in the Jewish Administration, as Petrus Chrysolo-

d Ab unctione Christus, - quia & Unctio quæ per Reges, Pro-phetas & Sacerdotes olim cucurrerat in figuram, in hunc Regem Regum, Sacerdotem Sacerdotum, Prophetarum Prophetam, tota se plenitudine Spiritus div nitatis effudit. In Symb. Apost. Serm. 59. P. 53.

gus remarks in his Exposition thereof, That d Jesus was called Christ from anointing; because, that Un-Etion which formerly by a Figure ran upon Kings, Prophets, and Priests, the Divine Spirit poured with a

perfect Plenitude on this King of Kings, Priest of Priests, and Prophet

of Prophets.

As for the manner of our Lord and Saviour's Unction, it cannot be suppofed to have been by real and material

Oil,

Oil, but it must be understood of a spiritual and divine Operation. Athanasus observing the several Resemblances and Parallels that are betwixt David and Christ, in every one whereof the latter hath the Preeminency, mentions this for one: David, faith he, was anointed with material Oil; but the manner of our Saviour's anointing is thus described in the forty fifth Psalm, Thy Throne, O God, is for ever and ever; a right Scepter is the Scepter of thy Kingdom: thou hast loved Righteousness, and hated Iniquity; therefore God, even thy God, hath anointed thee with the Oil of Gladness above

thy Fellows; where it is faid with the Oil of Gladness, lest by the word Anointing we should apprehend an Equality between them: David and Christ were both anointed, but the

2 "Ινα μη τη ομοιολεξία τ΄ Χείσεως ἱτοθομίων, νομίσης, εἶνωι τ΄ λεγορθώων, ἐχείση Δαδὶδ, ἐχείση
κὰ ὁ Χειτὸς, ἄλλ' ὁ μὲ ἐχείση τωὸ
ἀνθεώπε, ὁ ζὲ ἐχείση οκ Παθεὸς,
κὰ ἡ Χείσις ἀνεκδιήΓη, κὰ ἀκατάληπηα τα πρώΓμαγα, &C. Τοπ. Ι.
Homil. de Semen. p. 1068.

one was anointed by Man, and the other by the Father; which Untion is ineffable, and the manner thereof incomprehensible: wherefore the Psalmist styles it, the Oil of Gladness above thy Fellows; for, although both are alike anointed, yet their Untion is not of equal Worth and Dignity; for, as Christin

Christ retains the Parallel, so also he conserves the Pre-eminency.

He who anointed our Saviour was God the Father; and the Oil with which he performed it, was the Holy Ghost:

In Christi nomine subauditur, qui unxit, & ipse qui unctus est, & ipsa unctio in qua unctus est; & unxit quidem Pater, unctus est verò Filius, in Spiritu qui est unctio; quemadmodum per Esaiam ait sermo, Spiritus Dei super me propter quòd unxit me, significans & ungentem Patrem, & unctum Filium, & unctionem qui est Spiritus. Lib. 3. 6. 20 p. 209.

In the word Christ, saith Irenaus, there is understood the Anointer, the Anointed, and the Unction; the Anointer is the Father, the Anointed is the Son, and the Unction is in the Spirit; as he saith

by the Prophet Isaiah, The Spirit of the Lord is upon me, because he anointed me; signifying the Father who anointeth, the Son who is anointed, and the Spirit who is the Oil: Which Oil was chiefly poured upon him at his Conception and Baptism; and, as Origen observes, b is to be

Μήπο]ε η λανθάνς ήμας ο μανθέωπως ων χεικός ων. Vol. 2.
 Comment. in Johan. Tom. 1. p. 29.

referred to his human Nature, in which he was anointed by God

to be both Lord and Saviour.

After our Saviour's human Name, and the declaration of his Function and Office, there follows in the Creed his Filiation, or Sonship, expressed in the word Son, which is his divine Name; whereby we are not to understand any thing

thing that is human and common, but fuch a Filiation as is Divine, proper and peculiar unto him, and is not communicable and attributable unto any other, being his Father's only Son; wherein are two things observable: First, That he is the Son of the Father, his Son: Secondly, That he is his only Son, i.e. fuch a Son, or a Son in such a manner

as never any other is or was.

The Oracles of the Old Testament did foretel, that Christ should be the Son of God: " I will declare the De- " Pfal. ii. 7. cree; the Lord bath said unto me, Thou art my Son, this day have I begotten thee. b He shall cry unto me, Thou art b Pfal lxxxix. my Father, my God, and the Rock of 26, 27. my Salvation: Also, I will make him my First-born, higher than the Kings of the Earth. c Unto us a Childe Isa, ix, 6. is born, unto us a Son is given, and the Government shall be upon his Shoulder, and his Name shall be called Wonderful, Counsellor, the mighty God, the everlasting Father, the Prince of Peace. d When Israel was a Child, d Hoseaxi. 1. then I loved him, and called my Son out of Egypt. Whence amongst the Tews, at the time of our Saviour's appearance, Messias and the Son of God were convertible terms, defigning the fame Person, as is evident from several Passages

Passages in the New Testament; as,
Joh. i. 49. *Rabbi, thou art the Son of God, thou
John xi. 27. art the King of Israel. *I believe,
that thou art the Christ, the Son of
God, which should come into the World.

e Matth. viii. e What have we to do with thee, Jesus,

29. thou Son of God?

Now *Christ* is on feveral respects called the Son of God in Scripture, as he is so called on the account of his temporal Generation, being conceived in an extraordinary manner in the Virgin's Womb, by the Power of the Holy Ghost; whence the Angel told the Virginian and the Sirginian content of the Holy Ghost; whence the Angel told the Virginian content of the Holy Ghost; whence the Angel told the Virginian content of the Holy Ghost.

d Luke i. 35. gin Mary, He d should be called the Son of God. And, he is also so called by reason of his Resurrection from the Dead, whereby he was, as it were, begotten to another Life by God his Father, who raised him, as in Acts xiii. 32, 33. And we declare unto you glad Tidings, how that the Promise which was made unto the Fathers, God hath fulfilled the same unto us their Children, in that he hath raised up Jesus again; as it is also written in the second P salm, Thou art my Son, this day have I begotten thee. And, he is likewife called the Son of God, by reason of that high Office whereunto he was called by the special Designation and

e John x. 36. immediate Will of God: e Say ye of him.

him, whom the Father hath sanctified and fent into the World, Thou blaf-phemest; because I said, I am the Son of God? As also, by reason of his great Dignity and Authority, being next in order to the Father, and fat down on the right Hand of the Majesty on High, whereby he hath the actual possession as Heir of all. a God hath in these last a Heb. i. 2, 3, Days spoken unto us by his Son, whom 4, 5. he hath appointed Heir of all things, by whom also he made the Worlds; who being the brightness of his Glory, and the express Image of his Person, and upholding all things by the Word of his Power, when he had by himself purged our Sins, sat down on the right Hand of the Majesty on high, being made so much better than the Angels, as he hath by Inheritance obtained a more excellent Name than they; for unto which of the Angels said he at any time, Thou art my Son, this Day have I begotten thee? And again, I will be to him a Father, and he shall be to me a Son.

Now in all these forementioned respects, our Saviour was the Son of God by way of Eminency and Excellency beyond and above all others; but he doth not seem to have been so folely and solitarily, and exclusive of all others,

which

3

which is the Filiation and Sonship intended in the Creed: It being said therein, that he is his only Son, which is the second thing observable in this Clause; and intimates the peculiarity of his Sonship, that he is the Son of God in such a way or manner, as never any other was, is, or can be.

The holy Scriptures do abundantly affure us, that God had one particular Son in such a peculiar way and manner as he had never any other; as,

John iii. 16, a God so loved the World, that he gave his only beyotten Son, that who so ever believeth in him should not perish, but have everlasting Life; for God sent not his Son into the World to condemn the World, but that the World through him might be saved. He that believeth on him is not condemned, but he that believeth not is condemned already, because he hath not believed in the Name

From. viii.3. of the only begotten Son of God. b God fending his own Son in the likeness of sinful Flesh, and for sin condemned sin a Gal. iv. 4. in the Flesh. c When the Fulness of

Gal. iv. 4. in the Flesh. When the Fulness of the time was come. God sent forth his Son made of a Woman, made under the

God was manifested, that he might de-

es John iv. 9. stroy the Works of the Devil. In this was manifested the love of God towards

us, because that God sent his only begotten Son into the World, that we might live through him. And several other Passages there are in Holy Writ, which shew, that God had one Son in a proper and peculiar way, so and in such manner as he had never any other Son; which way and manner is expressly declared in the Greek Creeds, to be by Generation: All the Greek Creeds reading, and in Jesus Christ his only begotten Son, it is with the sonly begotten son, it is with the creeds, under the term only, and in Jesus Christ his only

Son. For, as Athanasius says, a Christ is the only begotten, and there-

fore the only.

faith St. Cyril of Jerufalem, Christ called a Son, do not think him to be an adopted Son, but a natural Son,

an only begotten Son, not having any Brother; for he is therefore called the only begotten, because there is none other like him, either as to the Dignity of his Deity, or his Birth from his Fa-

ther. And again, When thou hearest him called a Son, do not under-

a Movo Nuñs ηδ έςὶ, διὸ κς μόν છ-. Ad Semp. Tom. I. S. Sanct. non esse Creat. p. 190.

b Υίον ἀκέων μὴ νομίσης θεĵον, ἀκὰ Φυσικὸν ήὸν, ήὸν μονοχοῦ, ἀδελΦὸν ἔτεερν ἐκ ἔχονζα. διὰ τῶτο το κ καλιπται μονοχρης, ὅτι εἰς τὸ τ΄ Θεότη Θ ἀξίωμα Ͼ π ἀν Παζεὸς Χόησιν ἀδελΦὸν ἐκ ἔχο, Catech. II. p. 93.

 Υἰὸν ἀκέων μὰ καζαχεητικῶς ἄκεε μόνον, ἀλλὰ భὸν ἀληθῶς, భὸν φυσικόν. Catech. II. p. 94.

Stand

К

stand him so only abusively or improperly, but understand him to be a true Son, a natural Son. So that Christ was the true and natural Son of God, begotten of him before all Worlds; that as by Generation, one of the fame Kind and Nature is produced with a Likeness and Similitude to the Producer, fo in a more perfect and eminent manner, the Father before all Worlds begot a Son like unto himself; which manner of his Production by Generation, was perhaps placed in the Creed, in opposition to the Blasphemies of the Valentinians and Gnosticks, who imagined it to be by Emission, and consequently by Division and Section from that Nature or Being, from whom he was emitted: Wherefore, Athanasus in his Creed, not in that which commonly passeth under his Name, but in another, expressly opposeth the Generation of the Son, to this Emission of the Gno-

² Πισούορμ—εἰς ἐνα μονορίνη λόιον, — ἀπ Ε΄ Παθερς ἀνάςχως κὰ ἀἰδίως γερίννημόρον, λόιον ζ΄ — ἐ τμήσιν τὰ ἀπαθες Φύσεως, ἐνε πευβολίω, ἀλλ ψὰν αὐτοθελή. Τιπ. I. Εκροί. fid. p. 240.

sticks; a We believe, saith he, in one only begotten Word, born of the Father, without beginning of Time, from all Eternity, being not

a Division from the impassible Nature, or an Emission, but a perfect Son.

As for the manner of the Father's eternal begetting of the Son, there are various Similitudes used by the Ancients to help our Conceptions therein; as that the Father begat the Son, as a Fountain doth its Streams, and the Sun Light, and a Root the Branches, and feveral others fuch like: But whether all of them will abide a strict fcrutiny, I shall not here enquire; only this I shall venture to affirm, that none of them do yield us any adequate or fatisfactory Apprehension of this sub-lime and incomprehensible Mystery. For which reason, great caution is to be ufed in our fearches thereinto, and expressions thereof, that we do not with too great nicety and curiousness dive into his profound and incomprehenfible Secret, lest whilst we endeavour to shew our Learning and Knowledge, we betray our Ignorance, and, what is worse, conceive and utter things unbecoming the divine and infinite Majesty.

This was the wise and pious Advice of the samous Alexander, Bishop of Alexandria, who was the first Discoverer and Condemner of Arius: It is true, writes that Bishop, That the Son was begotten; but he that enquires

K 2 farther

* Είς ἐυσεδᾶς ἐκ οἶμακ λοΓιζομόνες τὰς μέχρη τέτων ἐπηςωρῶν, τί τολμῶν]ας διὰ τὸ ἀνήκοον Ε΄ χαλεπάτερά (β μὰ ζήτη, κὰ ὑψηλότερά (β μὰ ἐξεταζει εἰ γδ ἐτέρων πολλών ἡ γνῶσις, ὰ τέτων ἀπυξαρίτως κολοβέρων, κέκςυπ]ακ τὰ ἀνθρωπίνω καθάληψη, — πῶς ἀν εξεταγικόν καθάληψη, — πῶς ἀν εξεταγικόν τις τὰ Ε Θεῦ λόβε ἀντός απιν, ἀκτὸς εἰ μὰ μελακολική διαθέτη ληφθείς τυλχάνοι; ἐκὶ ἡς τὸ πορφηλικόν ποτευμά φητι, τὰ γρεών αὐτῦ τίς διηγέση); Ερίβι ad Alex. Conflantinop. apud Theodoric. Eeslef. Hift. Lib. I. c. 4. p. 12.

farther into the manner thereof, is not to be reckoned amongst the pious, seeing he hearkens not to that which is written, Seek not after things which are too difficult for thee, and search not into those things which are too high for thee; for if the knowledge of many other things, far

inferiour to this, exceed the reach of an human Understanding, how then Shall any without madness pretend curiously to search into the Essence of the divine Word? Of whom the Holy Ghost by the Prophet Saith, Who Shall declare his Generation? Wherefore, according to this prudent Counsel, I shall forbear to fay any thing concerning the manner of the divine Generation of the Son, and pass on to another Observation, which may be made on this Clause, as it is in the Greek Creeds, viz. That Christ, and not any other is the Movoslung, or the only Begotten; which feems to be a defigned contradiction to the blasphemous Gnosticks, who denied Christ to be the only Begotten, affirming their fecond Male Æon

to be the only Begotten; whilst disowning Christ so to be, they made him to be a Being formed within the Pleroma, after the number of the thirty Æons was compleated. According to

which Irenaus faith, That they a imagined the only Begotten to be one, and Jelus to be another: And Athanafius writes concerning Ptolemaus, b the flower of Valentinian's School, That he affirmed, that the Unbegotten, or God, had two Yokes, as he termed them, his Mind and his Will; and that first be imagined, and

- "Αλλον μι τ μονοχνή θέλεσαν είναι, άλλον ξ τ ζωίνομ γείονεναι θέλεσι. Lib. I. c. I. p 32.

b Flosculus Valentini Scholæ. Iren: Lib. 1. in Prafat. p. 3.

ο Πτολεμά & Εφη δύο ζύΓες Εχεν τ άχνητον, Έννοιαν κ θέλησιν, ε περίτον ενενόησεν, είτα ήθέλησε, κ άπες ενενόη, εκ εδιώπο ο Θογαίεν, εί μη ότε ε ή Ε θελημαίω διώπμις εγίνερο, &c. εθεν κο οἰ 'Αςειανοὶ μαθόνρες, θέλημα κ βέλησιν περηγείας θέλησι Ε λόΓες, &c. Τοт. 1. contra Arian. Orat. 4. P 510.

then willed; and that he could not effect what he had imagined, till the power of his Will was superadded thereto; and that from his Mind and Will proceeded the only Begotten, and after him all others: from which Heresy, as Athanasius continues there to write, the Arians afterwards learned, That God's Counsel and Will preceded the Generation of the Word; whereas the Orthodox maintained, that the Word was the very Mind of God, and so was the very First-begotten, and generated

rated by him in such a manner, as never any one else was; as Maximus Taurinensis writes on this Article, that

^a Unicus autem vel unigenitus annunciatur & creditur, quia unus ita est genitus, neque habet in nativitate confortem. *Homil. in Sym*bol. p. 239. Christ a is called the Only, or the only Begotten, because he is the only One so born, and hath no Companion

in his Nativity.

This Article of the Creed hath been coeval with Christianity, and was wont to be demanded at Baptism of the Perfons who came to be baptized, as appears from the Form of the Celebration of Baptism, which is in the Name of the Son, as well as of the Father and the Holy Ghost; wherein is necessarily supposed a Belief of Jesus Christ to be the Son of God; it being in it felf impossible to be baptized in the Name of the Son, without acknowledging the Person in whose Name he is baptized, to be that Son: Which Appellation of the Son of God denotes his divine Nature, as that of the Son of Man implies his human; as was long ago observed by Novatianus in his Exposition of

Træscripsit this part of the Creed, viz. That as agra natura, our Saviour's being the Son of Man

furninem

credendum est esse, qui ex homine sit, ita & Scriptura Deum esse, qui ex Deo sit; quòd si non & Deus suerit, cum ex Deo sit, jam nec homo sit, licet ex homine suerit. De Trinitat. p. 500.

declares

declares his Humanity, so his being the Son of God is an undeniable proof of his Divinity: And,

Man, because the Son of Deus, quia Dei Filius comprobatur. 1610.

because the Son of God.

After the Filiation and divine Nature of our Saviour, there follows in the Creed his Dominion, expressed by the Title Lord; for that the Dominion of Christ is thereby asserted, appears from the Derivation of the Greek word nied, used for Lord: nied, be faith Origen, be Dial. 1. p.4. Nevel dia to nied that signifies ruling, lording or governing; under which notion, it is frequently used by the Septuagint to express the Hebrew word Adon, which properly implies Government and Authority.

Now Christ is not only a Lord, but he is so nal' ¿ξοχω, eminently and singularly so; whence he is frequently in the New Testament only called the Lord, without any farther or other addition; as, come, see the place Mat. xxviii. where the Lord lay. The Lord is so Luke xxiv. risen indeed, and bath appeared to Si-34. mon. They have taken the Lord out John xx. 2.

of the Sepulchre, and we know not

K 4 wher

where they have laid him. For I have received of the Lord that which also I have delivered unto you. And innumerable other places there are in the New Testament, where the Lord alone absolutely taken, is used determinately for Christ.

The Scripture is very copious in declaring the Dominion of Christ, that his Empire is universal, and his King-b Mat. xxviii. dom everlasting. b All Power, saith

our Saviour, is given unto me in Hea
Mat. xi. 27. ven and in Earth. And, all things

are delivered unto me of my Father.

d Joh. iii. 35. d The Father loveth the Son, and hath given all things into his Hands; whence

e Acts x. 36. he is particularly called, e the Lord of tr Cor. xi. 3. all, and f the Head of every Man. 8 Acts ii. 36. 8 Let all the House of Israel know as-

furedly, faith St. Peter, That God hath made him Lord and Christ, even this

h Philip. ii. 9, Jesus whom ye did crucify: h God hath highly exalted him, and given him a Name above every Name, that at the Name of Jesus every knee should bow, of things in Heaven, and things in Earth, or things under the Earth; and that every Tongue should confess, that Jesus Christ is Lord, to the Glory of

i Luke i. 31, God the Father. i He shall be great, 32, 33. and shall be called the Son of the Highest; and the Lord God shall give

unto

unto him the Throne of his Father David, and he shall reign over the House of Jacob for ever, and of his Kingdom there shall be no end. Thy Throne, Heb. i. 8. O God, is for ever and ever; a Scepter of Righteousness is the Scepter of thy Kingdom. b And there was given b Dan. vii. 14. bim Dominion, and Glory, and a Kingdom, that all People, Nations and Kingdoms, Should serve him; his Dominion is an everlasting Dominion, which shall not pass away, and his Kingdom that which shall not be destroyed. And several other such like Passages there are in the holy Scripture, which shew the extensiveness and duration of our Saviour's Kingdom, that he is King and Lord of all.

But, though Christ be thus the universal Lord, and hath a supreme Dominion over all, yet the Creed particularly terms him our Lord; which intimates some propriety of Dominion that he hath over us Christians, as we are immediate Subjects of his particular

Kingdom.

There are some Hints and impersect Discoveries in the Scripture of two great Powers in the Universe, contending against each other under their respective Heads and Leaders; the Head of one Party being Jesus Christ, and the Head

of the other the Devil, who is also called in Scripture Satan, Apollyon, the Dragon, and the Old Serpent; there being, as it feems very probable, one particular Spirit by way of Eminency called the Devil, who is at the Head of that Party, which opposeth it felf to the Son of God and his Followers; as appears from the Sentence on the wicked at the last Day, which is, that they depart accurfed into everlasting Fire, a Matth. xxv. prepared for a the Devil and his Angels; and from other places of Scripture which might be enumerated. These two Chiefs, Christ and the Devil, have two feparate Kingdoms; between whom there is a perpetual and unwearied Op-b Rev. xii. 7, position: b And there was War in Heaven; Michael and his Angels fought against the Dragon, and the Dragon fought and his Angels, and prevailed not; neither was their place found any more in Heaven; and the great Dragon was cast out, that Old Serpent, called the Devil and Satan, which deceiveth the whole World; he was cast out into the Earth, and his Angels were cast out with him. The Kingdom of Christ is represented to us under the

notion of a Kingdom of Light, as that of the Devil is under the notion of a

Kingdom

41.

8, 9.

c Odol duo est - nes & polos nes \$ 0x0785 - 10 hs h p eiol rejal-

ρδήοι Φωλαίωίοι άγιελοι Ε Θεθ εφ

ห็ร 🥱 αγ Γελοι Ε΄ Σατανα, Ε ο μεςὶ κύer कं वं वार्वणका संद रहेद वार्वणवद, 6 है

αρχων καιρε τ ανομίας. Epift. Ca-

tholic. c. 18. p. 102.

Kingdom of Darkness, as in Col. i. 12, 13. Giving thanks unto the Father, who bath made us meet to be partakers of the Inheritance of the Saints in Light, who hath delivered us from the power of Darkness, and bath translated us into the Kingdom of his dear Son. a That ye would walk worthy of God, a r Theff. ii. who hath called you unto his Kingdom 12. and Glory. And St. Paul was fent to preach the Gospel unto the Gentiles, b to b Acts xxvi. open their Eyes, and to turn them from 16. Darkness unto Light, and from the power of Satan unto God. And St. Barnabas towards the conclusion of his Epistle gives

an elegant Description c of the two ways; the one of Light, over which preside the Angels of God, who is the eternal Lord; and the

other of darkness, which

is subject to the Angels of Satan, who is the ruler of Wickedness. What number of Spirits the Devil drew into his Party and Interest is unknown to us, for that the Scripture hath not reveal'd it; but our own observation will give us too fad a view of the extent of his Kingdom amongst Mankind, where he gradually enlarged his Empire, till at length the whole Earth was covered with

with Ignorance and Darkness; and especially at the time of our Saviour's coming into the World, the generality of Mankind were fo gain'd and blinded by him, that they had almost lost all right and true notions of God, and were to far funk into Idolatry, that in feveral places the Devil himself was actually worshipped and adored as God; for proof whereof, I need not cite the Oracles at Delphos and other Places, who were so many Devils and impure Spirits, feeing the Scripture is plain in feveral places, that feveral of the Gods whom the Gentiles worshipped, were Devils; Deut. xxxii. as, a They facrificed unto Devils, not b Psalm. cvi. to God, b yea, they facrificed their Sons and their Daughters unto De-

c I Cor. x.

and not to God.

d I John. v. 19.

St. John faith, That d the whole. World lieth in Wickedness, & nooms όλ Φ ငံν τῷ σονηςῷ κειται, which may be rendred, The whole Word is subject to the wicked one, or to the Devil; as it was most remarkably at the time of our Saviours coming in the Flesh, when the generality of Mankind were the Devil's Vassals and Subjects: And

vils: And, c the things which the Gen-

tiles sacrifice, they sacrifice to Devils,

e Eph. ii. 2. e being dead in Trespasses and Sins, walked according to the course of the World, according to the Prince of the power of the Air, and the Spirit that worketh in the Children of Disobedience; whence the Devil is called the God of this World: In whom the 2 Cor.iv. 4. God of this World hath blinded the Eyes of them who believe not; and the Prince of this World: The Prince of b John xiv. this World cometh, and hath nothing 30 in me; and, The Prince of this World John xiv. is judged: And the Devil and his Angels are called Principalities and Powed Ephes. vi. ers, and the rulers of the Darkness of 12. this World.

But now when our Saviour-came into the World, he erected his Kingdom amongst Mankind, and broke the Devil's Kingdom and Power, and whereever the Gospel came, put a period to the Worship and Adoration of the Devil, and destroy'd his Empire and Dominion; whence the Author of the Epistle to the Hebrews saith, That Christ eHeb. ii. 14. e destroyed him that had the power of Death, that is, the Devil; that is, he abolished him as to any farther pretence of Empire or Power over us: And St. John saith, That the Son of God 1 Joh. iii. 8. was for this purpose manifested, that be might destroy the Works of the Devil: Satan & fell from Heaven like & Luk. x 18. Lightning at the coming of our Lord,

344.

who ejected and cast out the Devil. a Now is the Judgment of this World, a John xii. 31.

now shall the Prince of this World be cast out. b The Prince of this World b John xvi. II. is judged: We are now redeemed by the Power of Christ, from the Slavery and

Bondage of the Devil. The Possession which the Devil got

of the human Nature, was, c as Irec Lib. 3. c.6. p. 174, 175, neus writes, a forced and usurped one, 176. Lib. 5. acquired through our Sin and Angle acquired through our Sin and Apostac. 18. p. 343, cy, by which we became the House and Vessels of that strong Man; but the Lord Jesus hath bound this strong Man, and delivered us from his Vsurpation and Tyranny. He came into this World, and erected an adverse and opposite Kingdom to the Devil's Kingdom, and invited all Men to come into his Kingdom, and be Subjects thereof; the Entrance or formal Admission into which, was at Baptism, when the Party baptized made a visible and open Renunciation of the Devil's Kingdom and Interest, and publickly submitted himself to Fesus Christ as his Lord and Governour.

> This was one of the principal Subjects of the Apostle's Sermons, that Fesus Christ was Lord; and this was the principal Engagement made by new Converts at their Baptism, to submit to

him

him as fuch. Thus St. Peter concluded his Sermon, which he made on the descent of the Holy Ghost, a Let all a Acts ii. 36. the House of Israel know assuredly, That God bath made that same Jesus whom ye have crucified, both Lord and Christ. And so St. Peter and the other Apostles, in their defence before the Sanhedrim, told them, That b God b Acts v. 31. had exalted Jesus with his right Hand to be a Prince and a Saviour, for to give repentance to Israel, and forgiveness of Sins. And so St. Paul saith, That though cthere be (id est, accord- cr Cor. viii. ing to popular Estimation) Gods many, 5, 6. and Lords many; yet to us there is but one God the Father, of whom are all things, and we in him; and one Lord Jefus Christ, by whom are all things, and we by him: d One Lord, d Ephes. iv. one Faith, one Baptism, one God and 5, 6. Father of all. So that the very Formality of a Christian, and the Intention of a Persons being such, was to submit to Christ as Lord, which every one professed to do at Baptism; that being a visible admission into his Kingdom, and an open profession of Subjection to him: whence Cornelius and his Company were said to be e baptized in the Name e Acts x. 48. of the Lord, id est, they were by Bap-

rilm

tism initiated into the Service of Christ as Lord.

And it is farther observable, That the baptized Person did not only own the Dominion and Lordship of Christ Baptism, but he did then likewise in express terms, renounce and abjure the Vassalage and Service of the Devil; it being the ancient Course, to demand of every Perlon at his Baptism, just before he received the Baptismal Laver, whether he renounced the Devil and all his Works? To which he gave his publick Affent, and fo made a solemn Abjuration of the Devil, and his Government and Interest, being now to become a Servant of Jesus Christ, and a Subject of his Kingdom. So Clemens Alexandrinus writes, That in Bap-

" ² 'Απογαος ομθών ήμῶν τῶς σονηçῶς ἀζχῶς. Theod. Epift. p. 573.

b Renunciavimus Diabolo & Angelis ejus. De Idololat. p.618.

c Pactus es renunciare Diabolo & pompæ & angelis ejus. Lib. de animâ, c. 17. p. 554.

d Diabolo & mundo renunciavimus. De bono patientia, §. 7. p. 365.

tism a we renounced the evil Powers, id est, the Devil; and Tertullian, That b we renounced the Devil and his Angels; and, a we covenanted to renounce the Devil, his Pomp and his Angels; and Cyprian, That d we renounced the Devil, and the World.

Now if we reflect on all these Matters, on the two opposite Kingdoms of Christ and the Devil, on the extent of the Devil's Kingdom at the time of our Saviour's coming, on the destruction or weakening of it by our Saviour, and his visible erecting of a Kingdom among Mankind, and the admission into it by Baptism, it will most evidently appear, that this Article had its beginning with Christianity it self; and that the intended meaning thereof was, folemnly to declare thereby, that Jesus Christ is Lord and Governour of all, and particularly of us Christians, in opposition to the Devil, his Kingdom and Empire; every Person at Baptism particularly, and solemnly professing Christ to be his Lord, in opposition to the Devil, whom Christ hath conquered and overcome: On which Account St. Austin assures us, That Christ is in the Creed termed

our Lord, because he hath spoiled our ancient Enemy, and hath called us to his particular Dominion.

^a Christus est — unicus Dominus noster, spoliato antiquo hoste, singulari dominio suo adserscens nos. Tom. 10. Serm. 181. De Temp. p. 526.

Baptilin doth in its own nature suppose the Submission of the baptized Person to the Dominion and Lordship of Christ, it being the publick Rite of initiation into his Kingdom, and the folemn Admission into the number of his Subjects; so that whenever Baptissim hath been used, the Lordship or Dominion of Christ hath been then either implied, or expressly assented to, and owned: From whence it follows, that this Article in the Creed, whereby Jesus Christ is prosessed to be Lord, is coeval with Christianity, and hath been always either expressed or implied

at Baptism.

After the Declaration of our Saviour's divine Nature and Lordship, the Creed descends in the next place to his Humanity, affirming him who was named just before his only Son our Lord, to be conceived by the Holy Ghost, and born of the Virgin Mary; by that and the following Expressions, declaring the reality, certainty and manner of his Incarnation; that he, who is the Son of God, did for us Men and our Salvation, become the Son of Man, not disdaining to take on him the Seed of Abraham, and to become in every thing like unto us, Sin only excepted, that he might redeem and fave us, and in our Nature vanquish and overcome the Devil, who had captivated and enflav'd

flav'd us: For, as Irenæus observes, 2 The Lord being most kind and merciful, and loving Mankind, he united Man to God; for if Man had not conguered the Enemy of Man, that Enemy would not have been justly overcome: Even as if God had not given us Salvation, we could not have securely enjoy'd it; so if Man had not been conjoin'd with God,

* Est enim piistimus & misericors Dominus, & amans humanum genus; hærere itaque fecit, & adunivit hominem Deo: si enim homo non vicisset inimicum hominis, non justè victus esset inimicus; rursus autem nisi Deus donasser salutem, non firmiter haberemus eam, & nisi homo conjunctus fuisset Deo nostro, non potuisset particeps fieri incorruptibilitatis; oportuerat enim mediatorem Dei & hominum per suam ad uttosque domesticitatem & ad amicitiam & ad concordiam utrosque reducere, & facere ut & Deus affumeret hominem, & homo se dederet Deo. Lib. 3. c. 20. p. 211.

he could not have been a partaker of Incorruption; it behoved therefore the Mediator between God and Man, by his relation unto both, to reduce them both to Amity and Concord, and to cause that God should assume Man, and that Man should give himself to God; so that there was a necessity of the Mediator's being really and truly

Man. b It was through a conquered Man, that Death descended on the human Nature; wherefore it was necessary,

b Quemadmodum per hominem victum descendit in mortem genus nostrum, sic iterum per hominem victorem ascendamus in vitam. Lib. 5. c. 18. p. 342.

as Irenæus remarks, That through a conquering Man, that Nature should

ascend into Life: And, as Lactantius

2 Si Deus tantum fuisset, exempla virtutis homini præbere non posset; - ideò carne se induit, ut desideriis carnis edomitis, doceret, non necessitatis esse peccare sed propositi ac voluntatis. - Quibus ut repugnare possemus, Deus nobis viam superandæ carnis & apetuit, & oftendit. Institut. Lib. 4. c. 25. p. 430, 431.

observes, a The Mediator was to be an Example of Virtue and Holiness to his redeemed ones, which he could not be as God; wherefore he incarnated himself, to shew by his own conquering of the

Desires of the Flesh, that the Commission of Sin was not necessary, but voluntary, and by his own Pattern to encourage and enable us to overcome the

Lusts thereof.

For these and several other reasons therefore, the Son of God, out of his amazing and infinite Pity to us, became the Son of Man, not abhorring the Virgin's Womb, that he might accomplish his gracious Design of redeeming milerable and lost Mankind: This is that divine Philanthropy, that astonishing effect of his Goodness and Mercy, which ravishes the holy Angels, and caused those harmonious Choristers of Heaven to fing at his coming into b Luke ii. 14 the World that triumphant Song, b Glory be to God in the Highest, on the Earth Peace, and good Will towards Men. But yet, such is the degenerate

and corrupted Nature of Mankind, that feverals of them have disbelieved his Incarnation, and accounted it a mere Fan-

cy and Conceit.

I need not here mention any of these in our Days, if there be any fuch, fince my Design leads me to the consideration of the former Times of Christianity, where there are too numerous Spectacles of those who stumbled at this Rock of Offence, and from the very Age of the Apostles rejected this truth of the Incarnation; or, if they did not in words disown it, yet by denying the true way and manner thereof, they did in effect disown it : Wherefore, against fuch a number and variety of Hereticks, and their diverse Methods of assaulting this Doctrine, the Governours of the Church inferted in the Creed whatfoever follows from our Saviour's Conception to his Resurrection; the first Clause whereof is, who was conceived by the Holy Ghost, born of the Virgin Mary.

Where the Conception and Nativity are clearly distinguished, the former being ascribed to the Holy Ghost, and the latter to the Virgin Mary; whereas in the generality of Creeds, as in those of Russinus, Petrus Chrysologus, Maximus Taurinensis, and others, they are

L 3

thus

^a Qui natus est de Spiritu Sancto ex Marià Virgine.

b Delatum ex Spiritu Patris Dei, & virtute in Virginem Mariam, carnem factum in utero ejus, & ex eâ natum. De Prascript. advers. Haret. p. 73. thus coupled together,
who was born by the
Holy Ghost of the Virgin Mary. Tertullian
indeed in one of his
Creeds, distincty mentions the b Conception
by the Holy Ghost, and

his Birth of the Virgin Mary; but after him, I do not find that any observed this Distinction till St. Austin, who writes, that in the Symbol after our Belief in God the Father Almighty, fol-

e In Jesum Christum Filium ejus, conceptum de Spiritu Sancto, natum ex Virgine Maria. Tom 6. Conc. advers. Jud. Pog. & Arian. p. 71. lows our Faith in Jefus Christ his Son, who was conceived by the Holy Ghost, born of the Virgin Mary; but

whether they expressed the Conception and Nativity distinctly or conjunctly, the same thing was intended by all.

Now the Conception of our Saviour by the Holy Ghost, and his being born of such a Woman as was a Virgin, was probably designed against the Ebionites, Cerinthians, and such like Hereticks, who allowed him indeed to be a Man, but denied his Incarnation in this manner; affirming, that he was conceived and born in the same way and manner as all other Men are, in the ordinary way

way of Generation, by the conjunction

of Foseph and Mary.

Thus Ebion taught, informs us, That a Christ was born of the Seed of Man, viz. of 70seph; as did also Carpocrates, who affirmed, that Christ was not begotten in an extraordinary way by the Al-

² 'En का हिम्माकि कार्र होत है। है Iwand, & Xerson required the for. Advers. Har. Ebion. p. 59.

as Epiphanius

b Carpocrates dicit, --- Christum non ex Virgine Marià natum, sed ex semine Joseph. Tertull. de Prascrip. advers. Haret.

P. 94.

mighty Operation of the Holy Ghost, in the Womb of a Virgin, but in the ordinary and common manner, by the two Sexes, in Joseph and Mary: And before either of these, 'Cerinthus, whose Herefy was the occasion of St. John's writing his Gospel, vented the same Blasphemy, That Jesus Christ being but a mere Man, and only excelling in Ju-

stice, Righteousness, and

of a Virgin, but by the 1d. ibid. p. 94.

Seed of Joseph.

Virtue, e was not born mine Joseph natum proponit. e Cerinthus - Christum ex se-

Wherefore, against these numerous Blasphemers, this peculiar and extraordinary way of our Saviour's Conception and Nativity, was inferred in the Creed, as is apparent from fundry places in Irenaus; and amongst them more especially, from his opposing the Creed, wherein our Saviour is faid a Thi in wagto be born of a Virgin, unto these and Séve Hornsiv. fuch like Herefies; which is likewise Lib. I. c. 2. done by Tertullian, who repeats a p. 34. Deprascript Creed, wherein b both our Saviour's advers. Haret. Conception by the Holy Ghost, and his p. 73. being born of the Virgin Mary, are distincty mentioned in contradiction to the Cerinthians and Carpocratians: Unto which may be added, that Gennadius Massiliensis in his Declaration of the Catholick Faith, expounds this Article in opposition to the Ebionites,

Natus est ergo Dei Filius ex homine, & non per hominem, id est, non ex viri costu, sicut Essen dicit, sed carnem ex Virginis corpore trahens, & non de cœlo fecum afferens, sicut Marcion, &c. assirmant. Inter Oper. August. Tom. 3. De Eccles. Dogmat. p. 259.

after this manner, That although the Son of God was born of a Woman, yet it was not by the conjunction of a Man, as Ebion affirms; but as the Creed directs us to believe, by the

Almighty Operation of the Holy Ghost, who in an invisible and unknown way supplied the place of a Father, and begat the Holy Child Jesus, in the Womb of the Virgin Mary, of whom he was really born, and from whom he received the true and real Substance of his Body.

Which latter Clause directs us to another end, for which our Saviour's being born of the Virgin Mary, was inferted in the Creed, viz. to declare the

place

place from whence he fetched the Flesh and Matter of his Body, even from the Substance of the Virgin Mary; for, from the most early Days of Christianity, the Devil excited a great number to blaspheme the manner of Christ's In-

carnation in this respect.

Severals of them were constrained to acknowledge, that our Saviour had a Body, but they would not grant it to be a material fleshly Body, formed of the Substance of his Mother, but they imagined it to be a kind of celestial, supernatural, or heavenly Body, as the Valentinians, who held, That his Body was framed in Heaven, and

*passed through the Virgin Mary, as Water through a Pipe: Which Notion was also espoused both by Basilides and Marcion, as Athanasius assures us; concerning the latter of

 Διὰ Μαρίας διοδισανζα καθάπες ὕδως διὰ ζωλίῶΦ. Iren. l. I.
 c. I. p. 22.

^b Είπωμθυ κὰ πεὰς Μαςκίωνα — κὰ Βατιλίδω ἢ λέιονω, ὡς διὰ CωλῶΘ ΰδως περσελθόνω, ἢ κύελον, διὰ τὰ Μαελας. Τοπ. 1. cont. omnes Heref. p. 1083.

which the faid Father writes, That he believed, that God came down from

Heaven, and a dwelled or sojourned in Magalaythe Virgin, without participating of will all the four all the Substance, being incapable to re- 9 siya, ng allyas wegen-

λυθόδε & ἀνεπιδίκ]ως ἔχονδε κοινωνήσαι φύσς ἀνθρωπίνη τῆ τωσπεπίωκήα, τῆ ἀμαρία, τωσκεμβύη τιδ ἄρχονι το κακίας. Tom. 1. de Salut, advents. Jef. Christ, advers. Apollinar. p. 635.

ceive

ceive any thing from the nature of Man, that was fallen under Sin, and subjected to the Ruler of Wickedness: Which words afford us some light into the cause and reason of this Blasphemy of the Marcionites, which feems to be this; These Hereticks imagining in the first place, all Beings to be originally cither substantially good or evil, could not in consequence thereunto, as the the faid Father continues to write, con-

τό άξχον]ι τ κακίας πασκώσε), κ in iseu xweis auagrias o Xersos. partake of our Natures,

Ibid. p. 635.

* El & rawthe wegrnyaselo, z ceive, how a good and holy Christ should which are substantially

evil, and yet preserve himself free from Sin and Evil. Wherefore, to untie, or rather cut this Knot, they fancied, That Christ brought with him a Body from Heaven, which returned to Heaven again, from whence it came, whilst his Divinity remained whole and entire. And from the same occasion also, it is more than propable, that the Bardesianists fell into the same Heresy, as Marinus, one of that Sect, endea-

b Have a to matalov, teto th aχράνο తτία περτάποιν, άλλως 3 ός αι γραφαί εράνιον αὐτόν φασι Cápra άνειληφίναι. Origen, Dial. 4. p. 105.

vours to demonstrate, from the b absurdity, as he terms it, of joining our Flesh to his pure Essence; that Christ re-

ceived

ceived none of his material Substance from the Flesh of the Virgin, but that he assumed unto himself an hea-

venly Body, which pafsed through the Virgin Mary, as Water through a Pipe, without receiving any thing

· Ομολογεμον, ότι δια Μαρίας, άλλ' εκ οπ Μαρίας · ώσσες ηδ ύδως δια (ωλίω δίες χε), μηδέν προσ-λαμδάνων, έτω εξ ο λοί δο δια Μαeias k sk en Maeias. Ibid. p. 121.

from her; wherefore faith he, we confess that he is born by Mary, but not of Mary: From which latter words, it doth not only appear, that the Birth of Christ of the Virgin Mary was intended against the forementioned Hereticks, but that also there was a peculiar Emphasis designed by this Expression, on Magias, or, of Mary, to obviate and exclude their heretical Sense, who would own, That Christ was born dia Magias, or by Mary, that is, that she was the Organ or Instrument that he made use of for the exhibition of his heavenly Body to this inferiour World, causing it to pass through her, as through a Channel or Pipe, without receiving any thing from her, whilst they disowned, that he was born in Magias, or of Mary; that is, that he received his Body from her Flesh and Substance, deriving the Matter thereof from her, in the same way and manner, as all other Children do.

But,

But, besides the forenamed Hereticks, there was another strange kind of Sect called Apelleians, fo styled from their Master Apelles, a Scholar of Marcion's, who owned, that Christ had a real and material Body, but denied it to have been formed in the Virgin's Womb, or to have participated of any part of her Substance, inventing this new and unheard-of way for its composition: That

* 'Ev The "3 X E T Din, To e T 800-vion, Thes eis T Vin, To Cumia-Ju cours din T recorden solχείων ζώμα. Advers. Apel. Hares. piphanius relates it, he 44. p. 167.

when our a our Saviour came down from Heaven unto Earth, as Efram'd unto himself a

Body of the four Elements, in the which he truly suffered and died; although Tertullian reports it somewhat otherwise of these Apelleians,

b De sideribus, inquiunt, & de fubstantiis superioris mundi mutuatus est carnem. De carne Christi, P. 15.

that they basserted the Body of Christ to be made of the Stars, and of the Substance of

the superiour World. But, whether the first or the last was their real Opinion, I shall not here enquire, seeing by either of them, they denied, that our Saviour assumed his Body from the Nature and Flesh of his Virgin Mother.

* In lib. de

Now against all these Hereticks, was our Saviour's being born of the Virgin Mary, designedly mentioned in the Creed, as is apparent from that a Tertullian opposes his Nativity to the fore-carn. Christ. mentioned Herefy of the Apelleians: And the same is to be observed throughout the whole five Books of Irenaus, that Hammer and Scourge of all those Primitive Herefiarchs, and particularly throughout several Chapters of his third Book, where he excellently well proves the verity and necessity of Christ's assuming his bodily Substance from the Flesh of the Virgin; and then in the fortieth Chapter of the said Book, he concludes with an elegant Epiphonema, That all the various Blasphemies of those diverse Hereticks were rejected and condemned by the Church, and declared against by her, and the contrary truth preserved by all her Children, as a precious Depositum and most sacred Treasury. Unto which may be added the Exposition of this Article by Gennadius Massitiensis, who applies it not only against the Ebionites, but that also b contrary to the

opinion of Marcion, he

derived his Body from

the Flesh of the Vir-

b Natus est-ex homine-carnem ex Virginis corpore trahens, & non de cœlo secum afferens. Inter Oper. August. Tom. 3. De Eccles. Dogmat. p. 259.

gin, and did not bring it from Heaven with him.

But the Birth of Christ of the Virgin Mary, was not only employed by the Composers of the Creed to express the Subject from whence he derived the Matter and Substance of his Body, but also to affert the reality and certainty of his Body; that it was not fantastical and imaginary, but substantial and real; under which Notion, it may be considered in conjunction with our Saviour's Passion, Crucifixion, Death and Burial, which were all introduced to exclude those Heresies, and the Abettors of them, who maintained, That the Incarnation of Christ was not true and real, but only in appearance and shew, a meer Delusion and cheating Impression on our Senses; which will not only appear from what shall be hereafter said under each of those Particulars, but also from the various manner of expressing these Acts of Humiliation in the ancient

Lib. 1. c. 2. Creeds: In both of the Creeds of a Irep. 34. lib. 3. næus, the Passion is alone put to signi6. 4. p. 172. fy his Sufferings, Crucifixion, Death
De Prascrip. and Burial: In two of b Tertullian's,
advers. Har. the Crucifixion by it self, doth the same;
p. 73. De
Virgin. Ve- and generally our Lord's Crucifixion
land. p. 385. comprehended his Passion, and his Burial included his Death; as it is in the

Creeds

Creeds of Leo Magnus, Ruffinus, Petrus Chrysologus, Maximus Taurinensis, and others: And sometimes on the contrary, his Passion contained his Crucifixion, as in a Creed of Origen's; In Proam. and his Death comprehended his Bulib. Death of Expression naturally Trailes, and Which variety of Expression naturally Trailes, p. 52. leads us to this conclusion, That seeing these terms did mutually include or infer each other, and either of them were indifferently mentioned, that therefore they were primarily intended for one and the same thing; which, as it will be proved, was to declare, that the Body of Christ was real, true, and material.

The first Creed, wherein they are expressed all four together, is a Creed of St. Austin's, who mentions them according to our present Form, csuffered Pontio Pilaunder Pontius Pilate, was crucified, to, crucifixus dead and buried; from whom, as it is mortuus, & probable, the Compilers of our Creed sepultus. De Fid. & Symreceived it, who judged not one of these bol. Tom. 3. Particulars to be a superfluous opposite. P. 182. tion to this Heresy, but all of them necessary, since they were each of them particularly impugned and denied, and were most fit and proper to consute and gainsay these southly simply and blasphemous Hereticks.

That

That this abominable Tenet was fubversive of the whole Gospel, the Primitive Writers against those false Doctors have abundantly shewn; sufficient whereof may be feen in the remaining Works of Irenaus, Tertullian, and Epiphanius, wherein it is clearly demonstrated, That if Christ had been incarnated and fuffered only in shew and appearance, he had been the greatest Deceiver and Liar that ever was in the World; his Murderers would have been excus'd, and freed from that most horrid and enormous Crime; he could not possibly have been the Saviour of Mankind, neither should we have been at all obliged to him, but should have been most miserably cheated and deluded by him; and it would be our greatest folly to suffer for his fake, who only pretended to have fo done for ours.

These being then the natural and tremendous consequences of this horrid and portentous Opinion, it seems almost incredible that any should ever have enternained or believed it: But the primitive Records contain too numerous Spectacles of such unhappy and misguided Souls, who even at the dawning and first appearance of the Gospel-Sun, endeavoured to obscure it by these black and sulphureous Vapours; the Incarnation

tion of our Saviour was no fooner preached, but it was almost as quickly denied, and that not only by Heathens and Foreigners, but even by those who pretended to be his Disciples and Followers: Unto whom it is probable, St. John refers in his a General Epistle, where a 1 John iv. he writes, That there were then in the 2, 3. World certain Antichristian Spirits, who would not confess that Jesus Christ was come in the Flesh; which ungodly Spirits were without doubt the Gnoflicks, who, though crumbled amongst themselves into innumerable, Sects and Divisions, yet universally agreed in denying the truth and reality of our Saviour's Body, affirming it to have been fantastical and imaginary, only in shew and appearance; from whence they were called Doceta, Donna, and Phantasiasta, Davlasiasai, the Father of all whom was Simon Ma-

gus, b who taught, that he was Christ; and that be appeared in shew,

b Afferebat fe esse Christum, tempore Tiberii in Filii persona in the time of Tiberius putative apparuisse. Aug. de Hares. c. I. p. 49.

in the Person of the Son: Now that which Simon Magus afferted of himself, when he imagined himself to be the Son, other succeeding Hereticks affirmed it of the Son himself:

2 Mévavde &, Kégdav, 13 Magκίων ωαν ζάπατιν άξνεν) τ' ένανθξώmnow. Theodoret. Tom. 3. Epist. 145.

b Saturninus dicit Christium in substantia corporis non fuisse, & Phantasmate tantum quasi passum fuisse. Tertull. de prascript. advers. Haret. p. 93.

c Basilides-dicit-Christum venisse in Phantasmate, sine substantia carnis fuisse. Id. ibid. p.93.

himself; as a Menander, his immediate Follower and Disciple, Cerdon, Marcion, with b Saturninus, Basilides, and others, who all affirmed, That Christ had no substansial Flesh, but that his Body was a mere Phantasm and Appa-

rition, which was neither really born, nor truly suffered. I might add the Names of feveral other Hereticks, who embraced this fame unhappy Opinion; but to endeavour the enumeration of them all, would be too tedious and burdensom, seeing from the very first promulgation of the Gospel, throughout an uninterrupted feries of several Generations, there ceased not to be fome or others under the Names of Simonians, Valentinians, Manichees, and the like, who did blasphemously deny and ridicule this necessary and fundamental Point, That Jesus Christ is manisested in real and substantial Flesh.

The unconstrained consequences therefore of this Herefy being fo hideous, and the Abettors thereof fo numerous, and appearing under various Shapes and divers Forms, it is no wonder that the

Compilers

Compilers of the Creed introduced fo many terms in opposition thereunto.

Now that the Birth of our Saviour was intended for this End, is evident from the Creed of Ignatius, wherein, in contradiction to thele fantastical Hereticks, he expressed this Article, not simply by Christ's being born, but by

his being a truly born of the Virgin Mary; and in the beginning of his Epistle to the Smyrnæans, he beglorifies God for their firmness in the immoveable Faith, that Christ was truly of the Seed of

δ Δοζάζω ἢ Θεὸν, — ἐνόησα ηδ
 ὑμᾶς καζης Ἰσμόκς ἐν ἀκινήτω πίες
 — εἰς ἢ κύριον ἡμῶν, ἀληθῶς ὀνλα
 ἐκ Δαδίδ τζ ζάγκα — γε

2 'Ex Maeias de aintas enternen.

Epift. ad Tralles. p. 52.

Faith, that Christ was Aundior darens on wasters. p. 1.2. truly of the Seed of

David according to the Flesh, and truly born of a Virgin; unto which may be subjoined, that in a Creed of Origen's

this Article is expressed, by our Lord's being born in Truth and not in Appearance.

c Natus — est in veritate, & & non per imaginem. In Proœm. lib. & άςχῶν.

That our Saviour's Passion was likewise introduced for the same design, as having been particularly attack'd by the formentioned Hereticks, is also evident from the forecited

Creeds of a Ignatius and origen, in both of which this Clause

d 'Αληθώς ἐδιώχθη ἐπὶ Πενζία Πελάτα. Epift ad Trallef. p. 52.
e Passus est in veritate, & non per imaginem. Ibid. ut antea.

runs, That he truly and not imaginarily suffered under Pontius Pilate; and from that other fore-quoted place of Ignatius, wherein he blesseth God for the Smyrneans, that they firmly adhe-

ε Καὶ ἀληθῶς ἔπαθεν, ἐκ ώστες άπισοί τινες λέβσιν το δοκείν αυτίν wεπονθέναι. p. 2.

red to the immoveable Faith, that Christ a truly suffered, and not as some Unbelievers af-

firm, that he only suffered reputatively and according to outward appearance; and from the Comment of St. Cyril of Herusalem on this Article, which is,

b "Επαθεν 'Inτ8; κτ' αλήθειαν रेक देह क वंगी वा वंगिह वं क का, हे 35 δο κησις ο ταύε Φ, έδε δόκησις ή λύτεωσις, έ Oarlaoidons o Davalo. Catech. 13. p. 122.

Demonstrations.

That b Jesus suffered truly for all Men; for his Cross was not in opinion, nor his Redemption in opinion,

nor his Death in opinion. And indeed, the Passion of Christ is so convincing an Argument of the reality of his Incarnation, that it is furprizing how any could possibly withstand the force c Tertull. de thereof; for to affirm with the c Saturnilians, d Basilidians, and others, That Christ fuffered only in fancy and

Prescript. advers. Haret. p. 93. d Idem Ibid. shew, was a poor and most ridiculous

Evasion; at which rate, a Man may argue against those things that can be proved by the most sensible and visible When Marinus the Bardesianist, affirmed in the Dialogues of Origen, that our Saviour thus suffered; his Antagonist Adamantius thus closely replies up-

on him: a If Christ suffered only in the opinion of Men, and not in reality, then Herod judged him in opinion, and Pilate washed his Hands in opinion, and Judas betrayed him in opinion, and Caiaphas adjured him in opinion, and the Jews seized

² Εὶ δουήση, κὰ ἐκ ἀληθικα πέπονθε, ἐοκήση Ε΄ Ηξώδης δικάζει,
δοκήση Πιλάτ Ε΄ Ικόάδης παρέδωκε,
δοκήση κὰ Ίκδας παρέδωκε,
δοκήση κὰ οἱ ᾿Απόσολοι, δοκήση κὰ
τὸ αἶμα κώτε ἔξεχύθη, δοκήση κὰ
οἱ ἀνη [ελισαὶ ἀνη [ελίζον]ο, δοκήση
σει κὰ ἦλθεν ἀπ ἐρωνῶν. Ε δοκήση
σει ἀνηλθε, δοκησή τὰ ἡ (ωληριά τὰ
ἀνηλθε, δοκησή τὰ ἡ (ωληριά τὰ
ἀνηλθε, δοκησή τὰ ἡ (ωληριά τὰ
ἀνηλθε, λοκησή τὰ ἡ (ωληριά τὰ
ἀνηλθε, 110.

him in opinion, and the Apostles were only in opinion, and his Blood was shed in opinion, and the Evangelists preached the Gospel in opinion, and he came down from Heaven in Opinion, and in opinion returned thither again; and in a word, the Salvation of Men is only in opinion, and not in truth and certainty. Now as no Man would be fo absurd and unreasonable, as to ascribe all this to opinion and fancy, so it shews a most wilful perverseness and obstinacy for any Man to ascribe only one particular act thereto, when he esteems others in the same circumstances to be undoubted and real; if the Actions of Caiaphas, Herod and Pilate, relating

to our Saviour's Passion, were true and substantial, it was very unfair to deny the Passion it self so to be, seeing it was equally attended with the same ocular and visible Demonstrations: wherefore, the Fathers well understanding strength of this Argument, frequently prove the certainty of the Incarnation from the Passion, as is to be seen in the works of Irenaus, Tertullian, and others; and that all Cavils might be prevented, and all Pretences be removed, as if it were a doubtful and uncertain Tradition, the Compilers of the Creed have taken care to express the time of the Passion therein, viz: that it was under Pontius Pilate.

This is the observation of Ruffinus,

a Cautissimè autem qui Symbolum tradiderunt, etiam tempus quo hæc sub Pontio Pilato gesta sunt, designaverunt, ne ex aliqua parte velut vaga & incerta gestorum traditio vacillaret. Expos. in Symb. §. 20. p. 570.

That the * framers of the Creed have most cautiously mention'd the time of the Passion, that it was under Pontius Pilate, lest in any manner of way it should

be reputed a wandring and uncertain Tale: And indeed, the follicitous exactness of the ancient Churches is very remarkable herein, since there is scarce any Creed extant, wherein our Saviour's Sufferings are not expressly mentioned to have been under Pontius Pilate,

that

that is, at that time when Pontius Pilate was Procurator, or Governour of Judæa, wherein the Creed observes the manner of Calculation then used; for as in those Days, they made their computations by their Governours, and referred their historical Events to the respective time of their Government, so the Passion of our Saviour is here referred to the Government of Pontius

Pilate; who, as a Justin Martyr, with others, informs us, was Procurator of Judæa, sent thi-

α Έπὶ Πονθία Πιλάτα & Αυοιδία ἐν Ίαδαία ἐπὶ χρόνοις Τίδερία Καίσσας⊙ ἐπιθρόπα. Αροί 2, p. 60.

ther by the Emperour Tiberius to exercife that Office, which chiefly confifted in the receiving and disposing of the publick Tribute and Revenue, being in subordination to the Governour of Syria, part of whose Province Judæa was; with whom, nevertheless, he received from the Emperour power of Life and Death within his peculiar District and Jurisdiction.

But unto the Passion of our Saviour in general, there is added in the Creed the particular manner thereof, viz. that it was by Crucifixion, that he was publickly lifted up on a Cross, and being nailed thereunto, hung between Heaven and Earth in an open and visible place, where all the Spectators might, with-

M 4

out any delution of their Senses, easily know that he was a Man, and that he was that very Man who was commonly known by the name of Jesus of Nazareth, and not Simon the Cyrenian, as Basilides and his Followers blasphemously imagined; concerning whom, the Primitive Records have left us this

* Παθείν ή Χελοδν εδαμώς λέγς, κάλα Σίμωνα τ Κυς Ιωαίον τοπομείναι το πάθθο, νομιθείνης είναι Χελοδο, τ ή Χελοδν πόρρωθει ός ώννα, γελάν τ 'Ικδαίων τ Δπίνοιαν, — χρίω αι ή πισόμεν έλεγνι έκ είς τ έσως ωμένον, άλλ' είς τ έσως ωμένον, άλλ' είς τ έσως ωδι Τheodoret. Epit. Her. Fab. lib. I. in Haref. Bafilid. p. 87. account, That they affirmed, Christ did not suffer at all; but that Simon of Cyrene, the bearer of his Cross, being taken by the Jews for him, suffered in his stead, whilst he

stood by, and laughed at their folly and mistake; from whence they farther affirmed, that we must not believe on him who was really crucified, but on him who seemed so to be. Which horrid Opinion being an evident subversion of the Gospel, and a total destruction of the very Foundation of Christianity, it is no wonder, that to declare our Faith that Jesus Christ was crucified, as well as that a true and real Man fo fuffered, the Compilers of the Creed inferted this Clause therein, that every true Believer might at once yield his affent hereunto, that it was a real Man, and that it was really Jesus of Nazareth

reth who was crucified by the Jews at Jerusalem: in a conformity to which Interpretation, Ignatius thus expresses this Article in his Creed, That he

and where Epiphanius largely explains the Creed, he gives this sense of the present Article,

that he by suffered in truth upon the Cross.

² Αληθώς ἐςοωςώθη. Epift. ad Trallef. p. 52.

d Παθών εὐ ἀληθεία ἐπὶ Ε τως ε. Adverf. Haref. lib. 3. iu Compend. Fid. Cathol. p. 463.

But lest it might be by any one supposed or imagined, that the Eyes of the Beholders were cheated by a delusion and pretended Crucifixion, or that by Witchcraft, Sorcery, the distance of the Object, disturbance of the Air by wrong Mediums, or any fuch like way, their Sight might be fo far deceived and imposed upon, as to apprehend him who was nailed unto the Cross, to be a true and substantial Man, whilst he was no other than an imaginary Appearance, and a mere Chimæra: It is farther inserted in the Creed, That our Lord was not only crucified, but that he was also dead; when on the one hand, being deprived of all vital operation, he could not by Magick or any other Tricks deceive them; and on the other hand, they themselves without any impediment or hindrance, might freely employ employ all their Senses to search into the certainty and reality of his bodily Substance, and easily discover his Delufion and Cheat, if any fuch there were: Wherefore Ignatius doth in his Creed this Clause, That he thus mention

* Αληθώς, - ἀπέθανεν, βλεπόνζων τ έπερανίων, έπιγείων, & ύποχθοviwv. Epist. ad Tralles. p. 52.

b Communem hanc mortem verè mortuus est. In Proæm. lib. σει άξχων.

* truly died; the heavenly, earthly, and infernal Inhabitants beholding it: And Origen in his Creed thus expresseth it, That he b truly died our common Death.

Now there may be feveral reasons alledged, for which the Death of Christ is placed in the Creed, and there employed to prove his Incarnation; of which I shall mention but one, that is urged to very good purpose by Tertullian against the Marcionites, and other affertors of a fantastical and imaginary Incarnation; the fum whereof is this, that the whole Gospel is subverted, or is an unprofitable Fable and Whimfy, if Christ did not die; and Christ could not die, if he had not had real and fubstantial Flesh: For, as the faid Father writes, by the blasphemous Imaginations that our Saviour's Body was only in shew and appearance,

e Eversum est totum Dei opus,

totum Christiani nominis & pon-

dus & fructus, mors Christi ne-

gatur, quam tam impresse Apostolus demandat, utique veram,

fummum eam fundamentum e-

vangelii constituens & salutis nostræ & prædicationis suæ; tra-

didi enim, inquit, vobis impri-

mis quòd, &c. Porrò si caro ejus negatur, quo modo mors ejus

asseveratur? quæ propria carnis

est passio devertentis in terram,

de qua est sumpta secundum legem sui Autoris. Advers. Mar-

cion. lib. 3 p. 171.

the whole work of God is overturned, the entire weight and fruit of Christianity, even the Death of Christ, is denied, which the Apostle so expressly asserts to be true, constituting it the chief Foundation of the Gospel, of our Salvation and his Preaching; for, saith he, I deli-

vered unto you first of all, that Christ died for our Sins according to the Scriptures, and that he was buried, and that he rose again the third Day according to the Scriptures: Now if if his Flesh be denied, how can his his Death be asserted? which relates to Flesh returning into the ground, from whence it was taken, according to the Law of its Author.

By the Death of Christ, is fignified the feparation of his Soul and Body, by which the vital Union being dissolved, he was in a perfect incapacity to

perform any living Actions: b Death, faith Ambrose, is the secession or division of Soul

b Mors secessio quædam est animæ & corports. Tom. 4. de Cain & Abel, hb. 1. c. 2. p. 116. and Body; and so Athanasius makes the

Ψυχῆς ἀπὶ ζώμα] Φ χωρισμός.
 Τοπ. 1. de falut. advent. Jef. Christ.
 p. 647.

formality of Death to confist a in the difjunction or separation of the Soul and Body:

after which, each of those two essential Parts of Man goes to its proper and appointed place, the one to the Grave, and the other to the invisible receptacle of departed Souls; according to which universal Law of Nature our Saviour died, that is, there was a feparation of his Soul and Body; and then, according to the manner and custom of all Mankind, his Body was committed to the Grave, and his Soul went unto the Dwelling and Habitation of separated Spirits; both of which immediately follow in the Creed, the former in the term Buried, and the latter in this Clause, he descended into Hell.

Now, as for the Burial of our Saviour's Body, that as well as the precedent Clauses, was also introduced against those Hereticks, who impugned the reality thereof; this being a most sensible and undeniable demonstration, that he had a material and substantial Body, seeing any one might then have both selt and seen, that what was buried, was real and certain, and that it could

could be no other than a true Body of Flesh, which was committed to the Grave; for, as Theodoret well observes

against these Hereticks, The Burial of our Saviour was a sufficient confutation of them: for it was neither his

 Αὐτὸς ὁ τάΦΦ ἀπίχεη τὸ ζη τέμθρον λύσαι, ἐδὲ ηδ ψυχή τὸαδίδο ή τάφω, ήπείε θεότης, - τοίς Cάμασιν οἱ τάθρι καθασκουάζονται. Dialog. 3. ἀπάθ p. 62.

Soul nor his Godhead which the Grave received; but his Body; for Graves are prepared for Bodies: In which fense, this Article is generally applied by the Primitive Commentators on the Creed, as by Epiphanius, who writes, That hereby we are obliged to believe,

that b his Body was buried in truth, remaining without a Soul three Days, void of Breath and Motion, wrap'd in a Linen Cloth, laid in a Tomb, and secured by a Stone, and the Seals of those who rolled it on; and by

b Τέ (ώμα] Φ ταρέν] Φ ου άληθεία, η άψύχε μέναν] Το τελήμερον, άπνεζε και ακινήτε, ενειληθέν-रक ठीले में CIVOOVE, प्रतीबीहर्मिंगिक टंग The winuali, Culahadevilo Sia & NIES & oppayid & T ETTEBERTON. Anacephal. p. 531.

Maximus Taurinensis to the same purpose; that our Saviour's Burial c declared bim to be truly dead; not much unlike to which, Petrus Chrysologus saith hereon, That d the Confession of

the Burial of our Savi-

e Sepultus est, ut qui verè mortuus, &c. In Symb. Hem. p. 239.

d Sepultum dicis, ut veram carnem Christi, mortemque non perfunctoriam probet confessio sepulturæ. In Symbol. Serm. 60. p. (5.

A CRITICAL HISTORY of

174

our, proves that he assumed true Flesh,

and that he really died.

So that after our Saviour's Expiration on the Crofs, and the Diffolution of the vital Union between Body and Soul, that he might in every thing become like unto us, (Sin only excepted) and by his perfonal Endurance fanctify every state and condition to all his Members, he permitted his Body, like unto ours, to be buried in a Grave, and committed to the Earth, whilst his Soul fled to the invisible receptacle of diffunited Spirits and separated Souls, which is contained under the following Article, he descended into Hell, and will be the Subject of the ensuing Chapter.



CHAP. IV.

The Descent of our Saviour into Hell, was never questioned by any: Differences in the Explication thereof: The Moderation of the Church of England herein. This Article relates, First, Something done by Christ's Soul, which excludes the Burial of his Body from being designed thereby; and, Secondly, Something done by his Soul in its separate state, exclusive of the Sufferings thereof, whilst he was alive: No exact agreement in the Notions of the Primitive Writers bereabouts: The explication of the word Hell or Hades, as it is in the Greek: No one Word in the modern English, French or Dutch, comprehensive of the full signification thereof: Hell in old English, exactly answers to the Greek Hades, which properly signifies the habitation or receptacle of all separated human Souls, whether good or bad: The Pagans, from whom the propriety of any Greek or Latin word is to be fetched, understood it in this sense: The modern, or at least the

the ancient Jews, placed all separated Souls in Hell: The Primitive Christians affirmed, That all good Souls immediately after their separation from the Body, passed into a place of Joy and Happiness, which they termed Hell, as is at large proved. In the declension of the Greek, and chiefly of the Latin Tongue, the word Hell began to be solitarily applied to the Mansion of departed wicked Souls: Origen amongst the Greeks, doubted of the Passage of faithful Souls into Hell, since the Resurrection of Christ: But after him, the ancient Doctrine, that all Souls go to Hell, and remain there till the Resurrection. Day, generally prevailed in the East, home to this very Day: Ambrose, and after him Jerome, and others, entertained the same notion in the West, as Origen had in the East: Austin was uncertain and wavering in his apprehensions hereof: The recession from the ancient Opinion, occasioned by the mutation of Languages and Words: The word Hell in the Apostolick sense, could not according to the propriety of Speech, signify any other thing, than the state or place

of separated human Souls, whether good or bad. The meaning of the word descended; it sometimes only signifies a simple Removal from one place to another: Vsed in the Creed, because it was a popular kind of Speech arising from the common opinion, that Hell was in the Bowels of the Earth, or under the Earth; from whence it was called by the Latins Infernum, and by the Greeks Hades, and the like: Some of the Fathers imagined Hell to be in the heart of the Earth, others under the Earth; and some were uncertain of the situation thereof, but all apprehended it to be the common Lodge of departed Souls; and in a conformity to the common Dialect, usually termed the Passage thither a descent into Hell, as in this Article of the Creed; by which they meant no other, than that our Saviour's Soul being separated from his Body, went by a local motion to the unseen Habitation of departed Souls, where it remained till his Resurrection-Day: Which is farther proved from the ends of his going thither; which were chiefly these four: First, To sanctify unto his

his Followers the state and place of their Souls, during their separation from their Bodies: Secondly, That he might undergo a necessary and principal part of his Humiliation: Thirdly, That he might personally, and as the Head of his Church, conquer Death and Hell, which he did by returning therefrom, and bringing the Souls of several of the Faithful with him: And, Fourthly, That he might subject himself unto the Laws of Death, and be in every thing like unto us: From whence it more evidently appears, that the Descent of Christ into Hell signifies no other, than the passage of his Spirit unto the receptacle of separated Souls. The occasion of inserting this Clause in the Creed, taken from the Arians, Eunomians, and Apollinarians, who in a more cunning way than the former Hereticks, assaulted the humanity of our Saviour, by denying that he had a reasonable Soul: The difference between the Error of the Arians and Apollinarians herein, proved, that the Arians, or at least some of them, with the Eunomians, held, That Christ's Body was void

of a rational Soul: But that which render'd this Heresy the more considerable and dangerous, was the espousing of it by Apollinarius the Younger, the most noted Person of his Age for Ability and Piety; on which account, his Fall was a very tender and sensible loss to the Church: The time when he vented his Heresy, which was, that Christ had no human Soul, but that his Divinity supplied the place thereof: The consequences of which Opinion are instanced in several par-ticulars. In opposition whereunto, this Clause was inserted in the Creed, he descended into Hell: Which Point was pitch'd upon by the Governours of the Church, because of all the Arguments used against the Apollinarists, it was the most unanswerable: On which account, it is frequently urged by the Fathers against them, and it falls in most naturally with the Frame of the Creed, without disturbing the Order thereof: The Time of the Introduction of this Article: The first publick Catholick Creed, wherein it is found, is that of Aquileia, recorded by Ruffinus, though before N 2 that

that in a private Creed of Epiphanius, and even before him in a Creed framed by a Party of Arians at the Council of Ariminum, held Anno 359. Several probable Designs of those Arians herein, as to clear themselves from the suspicion of the forementioned Heresy, to disgrace their great Antagonist Apollinarius, and by that means to create Feuds and Quarrels amongst the Orthodox; who, finding Apollinarius openly to declare for his Heresy, entirely abandoned him, condemned him in feveral Synods; and at length, according to the Example of the Arians, inserted in the Creed this Antidote against his Heresy, That Christ descended into Hell: Which in the Aquileian Creed is expressed in a greater Latitude, by descending into the lower Parts, wherein the Burial might be comprehended and designed: But as it is expressed in the Roman, or our present Creed, it can have no other than the forementioned signification; which, to prevent Mistakes, is again repeated.

famous Article of our Saviour's Descent into Hell: The truth whereof was never denied or questioned by any; for the holy Scriptures do so expressly affert it, especially that Text of St. Peter, cited from the Psalmist,

Thou shalt not leave my Soul in Hell, Acts ii. 24.
neither wilt thou suffer thine Holy One to see Corruption; that as St. Austin

affirms, b none but an Infidel will deny it. But, that which hath rendered this Article fo noted

b Quis ergo nist insidelis negaverit tuisse apud inferos Christum? Tom. 2. ad Euvid. Epist. 99 p. 502.

and observable, are the various Senses and different Significations that have been given of it: The particulars whereof are so multiplied, that I shall not here go about to enumerate them.

In the Articles of Religion, set forth in the Days of King Edward the Sixth, this Descent of our Saviour into Hell, was expounded by the going of his Soul unto the Spirits who were in Prison, or, in Hell, where he preached to them. But in a Synod ten Years after, in the time of Queen Elizabeth, when the Articles of the Church were framed, which are now subscribed, as Dr. Fuller informs us in the ninth Book of his Ecclesiastical History, the De-

scent into Hell was barely mentioned, without any explication of the manner thereof; the Compilers of those Articles judging it imprudent and unreasonable, to impose upon others any Explanation whatsoever of a Point so intricate and obscure, leaving every Man in love and charity to embrace that fense thereof which seemed to him most genuine and proper; wherein the Moderation of the Church of England cannot be fufficiently praifed, and is a most worthy Pattern unto all others in the like cases, that they impose not their particular and private Expositions of a perplexed and obscure Doctrine as Articles of Faith, and Terms of Communion. Seeing therefore, that fuch worthy Persons as the Composers of the forementioned Articles, have left every one to his liberty, to pitch upon that Interpretation of this Article which he esteems to be most natural and easy; it cannot be any way culpable in me, to make an Effay towards the Explication thereof: Which that I may the more effectually do, I shall proceed by degrees; and First observe, that what is spoken herein concerning our Lord's Descent into Hell, relates to his Soul alone. As the dispofal

fal of his dead Body had been before declared in the term Buried, fo now there follows something in the Creed respecting solitarily and peculiarly his Soul, or Spirit; for the proof whereof, I need not say much in this place, seeing it will be fully confirmed by the whole ensuing part of this Chapter. But yet, that I may not affirm any thing without a direct and immediate Proof, this will be most evident from the consideration of the use that the Orthodox made of this Point against that Heresy of the Gnosticks, by which

they a denied the Salvation of the Body, and that at Death their Souls ascended above the Heaven unto their determinated place, from whence they shall no more return unto their Bodies; for against this Notion andOpinion they strong-

a Non suscipientes salutem carnis suæ — simulatque mortui suerint, dicunt se supergredi cœlum, — ad matrem, — nec ordinem resurrectionis sciunt, nolentes intelligere, — quemadmodum, — Dominus, — tribus diebus conversatus est, ubi erant mortui, — discipulorum — animæ abibunt in invisiblem locum, — usque ad resurrectionem, — post recipientes corpora, — venient ad conspectum Dei. tren. lib. 5. c. 26. p. 356.

ly argued, That it was an overturning the Order of the Resurrection, a denial of our Lord's descent into Hell, and by consequence of all his Followers; who, according to the Scriptures of truth, must first go thither before they can be admitted to the perfect N 4 Frui-

Fruition of the ever bleffed God: Unto which convincing Argument, these Hereticks could frame no other Reply,

Dicunt inferos quidem effe hunc mundum, qui fit fecundum nos; interiorem autem hominem ipforum derelinquentem hie corpus, in fupercælestem ascendere locum. 1d. 1bid. p. 356.

than a that the Body was the Hell of the Soul, and that Christ's being in his Body here on Earth, was his descent into Hell; from

the Pains whereof he was set free, when by Death he was delivered from his Body: From whence it is most apparent, that the Descent into Hell is to be understood alone of our Saviour's Soul.

For the farther proof whereof, I might cite St Jerome, who writes, That

6 Anima ejus descendit in infernum. Tom. 5. Com. in 13 cap. Ose. p. 74.

c Anchorat. p. 484.

& Tom. 2. de Incar. Dom. Saeram. c. 5. p. 181.

e Vol. 2. Com. in Johan. Tom.

32. p. 419. f Tom. 1. de Incarn. Christ. advers. Apollinar. p. 630. it b was the Soul of Christ which went into Hell; as also c Epiphanius, d Ambrose, c Origen, f Athanasius, with many others, who all apply this Action of our Saviour's to his Soul alone; employing

for this end that Text of the Apostle, cited by him from the Pfalmist, on which this Article is principally found-

which this Article is principally foundand Acts ii. 27 ed, a Thou wilt not leave my Soul in Hell, neither wilt thou suffer thine Holy One to see Corruption; where,

by

by the Soul of Christ, which God would not leave in Hell, they understood the rational part of Man, that Spirit which distinguishes him from a Brute, and subsists after its disunion and departure from the Body: Wherein it is most probable, they were in the right; for although the word Soul may by a Metonymy be sometimes taken in Scripture for the Body, yet it cannot be so understood, where it is placed in opposition to, and contradistinction from it, as in this Text it is. And, as for the Creed it felf, the Burial of our Lord's Body having been already afferted, the bare repetition of the same in other Terms, would be an unaccountable Tautology, and contrary to that Brevity which this short Summary of Faith intends. But then:

Secondly, The Descent into Hell respects not only our Saviour's Soul, but relates to something done by it in its separate state, after it was distunited from its Body by Death; which excludes its having any reference to the Miseries and Agonies that he suffered in his Soul whilst alive. Now this will most evidently appear from the Sermon of St. Peter, recorded in the Sermon of St.

cond

cond of the Acts, wherein he applies that Text of the Psalmist, That God would not leave his Soul in Hell, unto our Saviour, after that the Fews had by wicked hands crucified and flain him; assuring his Auditors therefrom, that although they had crucified and put to death the Lord of Life, by means whereof his Body was buried in the Earth, and his Soul gone to Hell, yet those two essential Parts should return from their respective separated Manfions, and be conjoined in the fame perfect living Man again, according to the Prophely of David, who feeing this before, spake of the Resurrection of Christ, that his Soul was not left in Hell, neither did his Flesh see Corruption; where it is manifest, that the Being, or Descending into Hell, related unto the Soul of Christ during the interval betwixt his Death and Refurrection; that, as during that time, his Body was laid in the Grave, fo his Soul went into Hell, where each of them remained in their particular Habitations, till the reunion of them again by his glorious Refurrection, which was the Third Day after his Death and Passion.

Now suitable to this Explication of the forementioned Texts, were the Notions of the Primitive Fathers; Athanasius in his Tract against Apollinarius, concerning the Incarnation of our Lord,

flews in fundry places,
² That whilft his Body & ω τάφω ἐπεθάκνυῖο κὰ ἀδη, lay buried in the Grave,

Tom. 1. p. 630. B.

his Soul went into Hell, to perform in that place those several Actions and Operations which were necessary for the complete Redemption and Salvation of Mankind; that he performed after his Death, different Actions by his two essential Parts; by his Body he lay in the Grave, and conquered Corruption; by his Soul he went into Hell, and vanquished Death. Wherefore he writes in another Tract against

the said Heretick, That b after the Death of Christ, his Body lay in the Grave, and his Soul went to Hell; neither of which were deserted by his Divinity, according to that Saying of the Psalmist,

ο Μήτε τ θεότη Φ Ε ζωμα Φ τό τάρω διαλιμπανομήνης, μήτε τ ψυχής ον τω άδη χωριζομήνης, τότε το ρηθεν δια τ περορητών, άκ ε καθαλείψεις τ ψυχλώ με είς άδλω, εδε δώτεις τ διούν σε θεν διωφθορών. De falut. advent. Jef. Christ. advers. Apollinar. Tom. 1. p. 645. D.

Saying of the Pfalmist, Thou shalt not leave my Soul in Hell, neither wilt thou suffer thine Holy One to see Corruption. And the Author of the Dia-

logues

logues concerning the Holy Trinity, extant amongs the Works of the faid

Υπες ήμων ον άδη γινέος δια
 ψυχων, & ον μνήμαλι τεθώσα
 δια τὸ σωμα. Τοπ. 2. Dialog. 4.
 p. 245.

Father, writes, That Christ a for our fakes, went down by his Soul into Hell, whilst his Body was laid in the

Grave. But, what need I multiply Quotations to prove a Point fo univerfally attested by the Ancients in innumerable places of their Writings? Let therefore the superaddition of the single

Testimony of Fulgentius suffice, That bafter the Death of the Son of God, his whole Humanity was neither in the Grave, nor in Hell, but that he lay dead in

b Humanitas vera Filii Dei nec tota in fepulchro fuit, nec tota in inferno, fed in fepulchro fecundum veram carnem Christus mortuus jacuit, & fecundum animam ad infernum Christus descendit. Ad Trasimund: lib. 3. c. 34. p. 76.

the Grave with his Body, whilf he went into Hell with his Soul. From all which it is most evident, that the Descent into Hell relates only to the Soul of Christ during its separation from the Body, or the time that intervened between his Death and Resurrection; which being premised, I come now to consider the Article it self, or what is predicated therein concerning our Lord's separated Soul, which is, That he descended into Hell; wherein these two things shall be examined: First, The

place whither he went, which was Hell: Secondly, The manner of his going thither, viz. by descending, he descended into Hell.

Only before I speak to either of these, I must be forced to premise one thing more, which is, that I do not pretend to affirm, that all the Fathers and Primitive Writers had the same exact Notions and Conceptions of this Article of the Creed, fince without any Reflection it is too manifest, that several of them had no distinct and clear apprehensions thereof, but were uncertain and confufed in their Notions thereabouts: Wherefore, it will be sufficient for my purpose, to prove what follows to have been the generally received Opinion, and that it is the most natural, easy, and unconstrained Interpretation of this perplexed and obscure Article, he descended into Hell.

Now, as for the first thing, the place whither Christ descended, which is said to be Hell; it is most certain that we have not any one word in our modern English Dialect, nor by what I could ever understand, in any other of the present European Tongues, to express the Greek word by: The word in Greek, which is adors, Hades, signi-

fies an invisible place, wherein all separated Souls, whether good or bad, righteous or unrighteous, are received and contained, as shall be hereafter proved; the half of which fignification, is only expressed in the French word L'Enfer, and in the English and Dutch words Hell and Helle, seeing they are always taken in an evil fense, and do folitarily denote the Mansion and Habitation of wicked and ungodly Souls.

It is true indeed, that in the ancient English Dialect, the word Hell was taken in a larger fense, for the general receptacle of all Souls whatfoever; and even no longer ago than the old Tranflation of the Pfalms, which is still retained in the Common Prayer Book, it was used in this general Acceptation,. as in Psal. lxxxix. 47. What Man is he that liveth, and shall not see Death? Shall he deliver his Soul from the Hand of Hell? Where Hell must be understood of the general receptacle of all Souls, or otherwise the words of the Psalmist would not be true; for, all Souls do not go to that Hell which is the place of the damned: And even long *Commentary before that, as * Dr. Towerson informs on the Creed, us, in a Saxon Discourse written above

feven

feven hundred Years ago, it is faid of Adam, That after he had lived nine bundred Years, he went with Sorrow into Hell; where, fince none but reputed Hereticks ever denied the Salvation of Adam, it is most reasonable to conclude, That by the Hell to which he went, nothing else is to be understood than the common receptacle of all departed Souls, whether good or bad. Which ancient fense of the word Hell, may be farther confirmed from the primary and original fignification thereof; according to which, it imports no more than an invisible and bidden place, being derived from the old Saxon word Hil, which signifies to hide, or from the Participle thereof Helled, that is to fay, hidden or covered; as in the Western Parts of England at this very day, to Hele over any thing, fignifies amongst the common People to cover it; and he that covereth an House with Tile or Slate, is called an Hellier. From whence it appears, that the word Hell, according to its Primitive Notion, exactly answers to the Greek word adms Hades, which fignifies the common Manfion of all feparated Souls, and was fo called, quasi ὁ ἀιδης τόπ , because it is an unseen place, removed from the

the fight and view of the Living: according to which, the Translator of Irelacum. Lib 5 very fitly so terming it, because of our uncertainty of the places whither departed Souls do go, and of their invisibi-

lity unto us.

But, from the literal fignification of the word, let us proceed to the thing it felf; where I shall endeavour to prove, That amongst all the Ancients, whether Heathens, Jews, or Christians, the usual Acceptation of the term Hell was, that it was the common Lodge or Habitation of separated Souls, both good and bad, wherein each of them, according to their Deserts in this Life, and their expectations of the suture Judgment, remained either in Joy or Misery.

I place the Heathens with the Jews and Christians, because the propriety of any Greek or Latin word is to be setched from them; and the Apostles speaking the words of their Language, it cannot be imagined, but that they spoke them according to their vulgar Signification, intending always by them their u-

fual and univerfal Meaning.

Now that the Heathens, both Greeks and Latins, the one by their Hades,

and

and the other by their Inferi, did generally understand the forementioned place of departed Souls, needs no large or copious Proof, seeing the least perufer of their Writings must without doubt have observed this to have been their general Opinion, that as upon the Death of all Men, whether just or unjust, the Grave received their Bodies, fo Hell received their Souls: For the demonstrating whereof, there will be found fufficient in those Books alone, that are usually read in Grammar Schools; as in the beginning of Homer's Iliads, the Poet invocates his Muse to assist him in the Description of the Anger of Achilles, which was fo fatal to the Greeks, that it sent many noble Souls to Hell, and made their Carcases a Prey to the Dogs.

Πολλάς δ' ἰφθίμες ψυχάς άϊδι ωροίαψεν Ἡρώων, αὐτες δ' ελώρλα τοῦ χε κιώεσειν.

And in the eleventh Book of his Odyffes, Vlysses gives a Narration of his Descent into Hades, or Hell, which he describes to be the common place of Souls separated from their Bodies, where he met with several of his old Acquaintance, and others, of whom he had O heard.

heard, both good and bad; there he faw the Souls of Achilles, Agamemnon, Patroclus, Antilochus, and others whose names are mentioned with Honour and Praise in the Pagan Historics, as well as the Souls of Titius, Tantalus, and Sisyphus, whose Memories are preserved with Brands of Infamy and Reproach; there he beheld the Soul of Achilles to skip with Joy in a flowry Meadow, whilst the Souls of other dead Men stood by in a mournful posture, relating their particular Sufferings.

- Ψυχή ή ωοδώκε Αἰακίδαο Φοίτα μακεὰ βιδῶσα κατ' ἀσφοδελον λαμῶνα,

Γηθοσιώη-

Αί δ' άλλαι ψυχαὶ νεκύων καθαθεθνειώτω "Ετασαν άχνύμθμαι, είξονθο ή κήδε εκάτη.

Each of them being suitably affected to the Sentence that had been passed on them by Minos, who in that infernal Region judged all Souls, according to their Actions in the Body, either to Misery or Felicity.

"Ενθ' ήτοι Μίνωα ίδον δίω άΓλαον ήον Χρύσεον ζιῆτηρον έχονλα θεμισούονλα νεκύεοσιν.

Eneas

Æneas also in imitation of Vlysses is feigned by Virgil to have gone down to Hell, or to the Habitation of departed Souls, where he faw not only the proud Giants who attempted to pull Jupiter out of Heaven, the King of Elis, Ixion, Pirithous, and other great and abominable Sinners, in the midst of unconceivable and tremendous Torments, but also Ilus, Assaracus, Dardanus, Anchises, and a multitude of other Heroes,

— Pulcherrima proles, Magnanimi Heroës, nati melioribus annis. Æn. 6. y. 648.

recreating themselves in green Meadows, amidst a full confluence of every thing that could make them bleffed and happy. So that Hell contained the separated Souls of all Men whether good or bad, whether adjudged to Misery or Felicity; being divided into two Parts, in the left whereof the ungodly are plagued and tormented for their Sins and Follies, as in the right the godly are rewarded and bleffed for their Duty and Obedience; according to those Verses of Diphilus an old comical Poet, preserved by a Cle- a Lib. 5. Stromens Alexandrinus.

mat. p. 442.

Καὶ τὸ καθ' άδω δύο τε έξες νομίζομο. Μίαν δικαίων, ετέραν δ' ἀσεδῶν εἶναι Όδον, τὰ εἰ τὰς δύο καλύψη ἡ γῆ.

For good and bad, two different Paths are found In Hell; both which are cover'd by the Ground.

Not much unlike to which, Virgil defcribes two Paths in Hell; the right, leading to the Elysian Fields, or the Habitation of the Blessed; the lest, leading to Tartarus, or the place of the Damned.

Hic locus est, parteis ubi se via findit in ambas: Dextera, quæ Ditis magni sub Mænia tendit, Hâc iter Elysium nobis: at læva malerum Exercer pænas, & ad impia Tartara mittit. Æn. 6.

In English thus:

The Way in two divides: that on the right By Pluto's Walls, goes to the Elysian Light: That on the lest doth unto Torment tend, And Men to wicked Tartarus doth send.

From all which it manifestly appears, that Hell was a general Term, and signified the place whereunto all separated Souls, whether good or bad, were translated and carried, and there disposed of into two distinct Mansions.

But from the Heathens let us come unto the Jews; amongst whom we shall find the same Sentiments to have prevailed, insomuch that St. Ambrose

affirms, That the *Pagans stole the forementioned Notion of the state of departed Souls, from the Jews and the Books of the Old Testament; though he wishes, that they had not mingled other superfluous and unprofitable Con-

a Cognoscant gentiles ea quæ in Philosophiæ libris mirantur, translata de nostris, atque utinam non superslua his & inutilia miscuissent,—fatis fuerat dixisse illis quòd liberatæ animæ de corporibus à lò lu peterent, id est, locum qui non videtur, quem locum latinè infernum dicimus. Tom. 4. de bono mortis, c. 10, p. 240.

ceits therewith, but would have been contented with that single Opinion, that Souls delivered from their Bodies, go to Hades, or Hell, that is, a place not seen, which is called by the Latins In-

fernum.

The Sadducees indeed denied Angels, and Spirits, and the World to come;

by which, as Josephus writes, b they took away the Rewards and Punishments of Souls in

 δ Ψυχής — τὰς καθ' ἄθε τιμωείας & τιμὰς ἀναιξετι. De Bello Judaic. lib. 2.

Hell; but the Pharifees, who were the prevailing and far more numerous Sect, believed the Immortality of www tells the Souls, and that they were either tor- x wis with win tells with the souls, and that they were either tor- x wis with the souls, x

บับอิ χθονὸς δικαιώσεις τε κζ τιμάς, οἶς ἀξείῆς κ κακίας ἐπτηκδάστις ἐν τῷ Βίφ γέΓονε. Idem, Judaic. Antiq. lib. 18. c. 2. mented, or honoured under the Earth, that is, in Hell, according to the Virtue or Wickedness of their past Lives. In an Agreement whereunto, the learned Huetius observes in his Notes on the Commentaries of Origen, That the

" His confentit Ebræorum dodrina, qui paradifum illum appellant γης ζη, & paradifum inferiorem, ut a paradifo fuperiore, cœlo nimirum diftinguant, eamque fedem affignant animis justorum corpore exutis. In Orig. Com. Obfervat. So. Not. p. 101. a modern Jews distinguish between the superiour Paradise, or Heaven, which is prepared for the glorified Souls and Bodies of the Saints, after the Resurrection-Day, and

the inferiour Paradise usually called by them the Garden of Eden, which is appointed to be the Habitation of holy Souls during the time of their separation from their Bodies: According unto which, in the Jewish Liturgy, there is a Prayer prescribed to be said in time of Sickness, wherein the fick

Man prays, That b if the time of his Departure be come. God would

הן הכקי בגן ערן חכניי כ ture be come הבא הצפון דיצריקוב:

In Seder Tephiloib. p. 178. give him b

the time of his Departure be come, God would give him his Portion in the Garden of Eden,

and purify him for the World to come, the hidden place of the Righteous; which exactly answers the Greek word Hades, which as it hath been already said, signifies an hidden or unseen place:

And,

And, in one of the following Prayers, called Hakaphoth, or Encompassings, because the Elders encompass and go round the Grave of the buried Person; in their petition for them, they first pray,

That the a departed Soul מנקם תקבל לגן ערן השם השת שנקם תקבל לגן ערן השם השת שנקם הקבל לגן ערן השור העטור: מרום ולא בחוצ תעטור: מרום ולא בחוצ תעטור: מרום ולא בחוצ העטור: מרום ולא בחוצ העטורים ולא בחוצים ולא בחוצ העטורים ולא בחוצ העטורים ולא בחוצים ולא בחוצים ולא בחוצים ולא בחו thence he may ascend

on high, and not stay without. But, whether the modern Jews universally concur herein, is not necessary for me to enquire, feeing my design leads me only to the confideration of the Sentiments of the ancient Jews; concerning whom, one well vers'd in their Opinions, I mean Father Richard Simon, assures us, That in the Days of our Sa-

viour and his Apostles, b their common Belief was, that there were places under-ground, whither Souls went, after they were separated from their Bodies. Man long before him, even Origen, af-

b lls reconnoissoient de plus des lieux fouterrains, ou les ames alloient aprés estre saperées des corps. Hist. Critiq. du Texte du nouveau Testam. c. 22. p. 267. De l'Edition de Roterdam 1689.

firms, That the Jews were instructed from their Infancy, c in the Immortality of the Soul, and that under the Earth, that is, in Hell, there are both

b The f ขุ้งหูกรุ ลิปิลขลาโลง, & รล่ voo yhu dinaswingsa, no ra; riux; T radus Beciwrorus. Contra Celsum, lib. 5. p. 260.

And a learned

Judgments and Rewards according to Men's Merits and Deferts in this Life. From all which it doth most evidently appear, That the ancient Jews as well as Heathens, understood by Hades, or Hell, the place whither all separated Souls do go, and there live according to their different Qualities and Merits, either in a state of Joy or

Misery.

But, that which nearly concerns me, is the Opinion of the Primitive Christians herein; which after an unprejudiced Enquiry, I find to have been almost, if not altogether the same with that of the Heathens and Jews, viz. that Hell was the common Receptacle of all departed Souls, whether good or bad, being divided into two Mansions or Habitations; in one whereof, the Souls of the wicked remained in Grief and Torment; and in the other, those of the godly in Joy and Happiness; both of them expecting the general Resurrection-Day.

Now, that they believed the separated Souls of the wicked to have immediately passed into a place of Punishment and Anguish, requires no Proof, at least it will be needless for me to insist upon it; but seeing it will be prov-

ed,

ed, that they maintained, That even the Souls of the Faithful went to Hell, it will be necessary, by reason that that word is now always taken in an evil fense, and so without a previous caution may possibly create wrong Ideas and Misapprehensions in some Peoples Minds, as if I would infinuate, That the separated Souls of the godly suffer the Pains of Hell, or at least pass through the Flames of a pretended Purgatory: I fay, upon these and the like Accounts, it will not be unnecessary, before I come to evince this main Point, that the Ancients placed believing Souls in Hells, briefly to shew, that notwithstanding this, they affirmed them to be there in a state of Rest and Peace, in a full complacency of Spirit, joyfully expecting the restitution of all things, and the general Refurrection-Day, when their Happiness should be compleated in the highest Heaven.

Archelaus, Bishop of Caschara in Me-

fopotamia, though he supposes both Dives and Lazarus to have been in Hell, yet he maintains, that the latter was there in a

a Accidit utrumque vitâ difcedere, & in infernum descendere, & pauperem mitti in locum requiei. Disput. advers. Manich. ad calcem, not. Vales. in Socrat. p. 201.

place of Rest: For which reason, Origen
calls

2 'O αναπαυορθυ ου τοῖς \$ 'Aβραάμ κόλποις. Vol. 2. Com. in Johan. Tom. 15. p. 234 δ'Ανέθαλεν εν κόλποις Ε Παζείς.

Padag. lib. 2. c. 10. p. 147.

calls him a the rester in Abraham's Bosom; and Clemens Alexandrinus, That be flou-rished in the Bosom of

Father Abraham; upon which account Hilary of Poictiers very well argues,

That our c Saviour

e Lazaro in Abrahæ finibus lætante, infernum Chaos Christus timeret? De Trinitat. lib. 10. p. 203.

could not fear to enter into the infernal Chaos, seeing Lazarus rejoiced in Abraham's Bosom.

And from the same Consideration, Cyprian comforted the Christians of his Age against the Fear of Death, and exhorted them chearfully to receive it;

d Amplecta-d Let us embrace, saith he, the Day mur diem qui affigns to every one his Habita-los domcilio tion, that delivers us from these worldly

fuo; qui nos

isthine ereptos, & laqueis fæcularibus exfolutos Paradiso restituit, & regno cœlesti: quis non peregrè constitutus properaret in patriam regredi? Quis non ad suos navigare festinans, ventum prosperum cupidiùs optaret, ut velociter charos liceret amplecti? Patriam notram paradifum computamus, parentes patriarchas habere jam copimus: quid non properamus & currimus, ut patriam nostram videre, ut parentes falutare possimus? Magnus illic nos charorum numerus expectat, parentum, fratrum, filiorum; frequens nos & copiosa turba desiderat, jam de sua immortalitate secura, & adhuc de nostra salute solicita: ad horum conspectum & complexum venire, quanta & illis & nobis in commune lætitia est? - Illic Apostolorum gloriosus Chorus, illic Prophetarum exultantium numerus, illic martyrum innumerabilis populus ob certaminis & passionis victoriam coronatus, triumphantes illic virgines - remunerati misericordes qui alimentis & largitionibus pauperum justitiæ opera secerunt, ad hos fratres dilectiffimi avida cupiditate properemus, ut cum his citò esse, ut citò ad Christum venire contingat optemus. De mortalit. §. 18. p. 347.

Snares,

Snares, and restores us to the heavenly Kingdom: Who, being abroad, would not hasten to return into his own Countrey? Who, hastning to sail home, would not heartily wish for a good wind, that he might speedily embrace his Friends? We may reckon Paradise for our Countrey; we have begun already to have the Patriarchs for our Parents; why then do we not hasten and run to see our Countrey, and to salute our Parents? A great number of Friends expect us there; a numerous company of Parents, Brethren and Sons, desire us, already secure of their own Immortality, but now solicitous about our Salvation. How great must their and our foy be, in the mutual feeing and embracing of each other? What must be the pleasure of the heavenly Kingdoms, where there is no fear of Death, but a certainty of eternal Life? There is a glorious Choir of the Apostles, there is the number of the exulting Prophets, there is the innumerable company of Martyrs, crowned for the Victory of their Fight and Passion; there are the triumphing Virgins, who by the strength of Continency subdued the Concupiscence of the Flesh and Body; there are the Charitable,

table, who are rewarded for their Works of Righteousness, in feeding and giving to the Poor; who by keeping the Precepts of the Lord, conveyed their earthly Patrimony unto the heavenly Treasury: To these, dearly beloved Brethren, let us hasten, and wish to be speedily with these, that so we may speedily come to Christ. And many other fuch like Passages might be eafily produced, to shew the Opinion of the Primitive Writers to have been, That the Souls of the godly, immediately after their separation from the Body, pass into a place of Bliss and Happiness: But, that I may not be tedious, I shall defignedly omit them, and proceed to the proof of the principal Point, which is, That it was the general Belief of the Primitive Church, that the separated Souls of good Men went into Hell, or Hades, as it is termed in the Creed, where they remained in a condition suitable to their Merits in this Life, in an expectation of the Refurrection, and the general Judgment-Day.

Now the first, whom I shall produce for this end, shall be the venerable I-renæus, Bishop of Lyons, who relates this to be the Order of the Resurrection

a Animæ abibunt in invisibilem locum, definitum eis à Deo,

& ibi usque ad resurrectionem

commorabuntur, sustinentes re-

furrectionem: post recipientes corpora & perfecte resurgentes,

and Glorification of all true Christians, That upon the disunion of their two es-

fential Parts by Death, * their Souls shall go to Hell, or to an invisible place appointed them by God, where they shall tarry till the Resurrection, in a continued expectation of

hoc est, corporaliter,-fic venient ad conspectum Dei. Lib. 5. c. 26. p. 356. it; after which, receiving their Bodies, and rising perfeetly, that is, corporally, they shall come to the presence of God. Not much

unlike to which, it is affirmed by Justin Martyr, That b all Souls did not die, but that those of the Godly remained in a better place, and those

b Oide dintenoner onui raoas τας ψυχάς - τας μ τ δίσεδων ον κερώτλονί ποι χώρω μβώων, τας 🕽 άdixes no worneas en xeiegus, & & κείσεως επδεχομβρας χρόνον. Dial. cum Tryphon. p. 223. of the Ungodly in a worse, expecting the Day of Judg-

ment. Tertullian writes, That both 'Dives and Lazarus, or Eleazar, as he calls him, were in Hell, the former in the torment of Fire, the latter in a place of Refreshment, viz. in Abraham's Bosom; making Abraham's Bosom to be a part of Hell, according to

e Eleazar apud inferos in finu Abrahæ refrigerium constitutus, contrà Dives in tormento ignis constitutus. De Idololat. p. 622.

206

A CRITICAL HISTORY of

those Verses against Marcion, which commonly pass under his Name:

Lib. 4. Carm.advers. Marcion. In parte ignotà quidam locus extat apertus,
Luce suà fretus, Abrahæ sinus iste vocatur,
Altior à tenebris, longè semotus ab igne
Sub terrà tamen bæc, &c.

In which Verses, he comprehends the place of damned and blessed Souls under the general term of the word Hell, or, of a Place under ground; only making this difference, that the wicked were in the lowermost parts thereof, in a place of Darkness, Fire and Torment; but the righteous in the superiour Parts thereof, in a place of Light, Freedom and Happiness.

But, to return to the unquestionable Works of Tertullian, in his Book concerning the Soul, he proposes to dispute of several Questions relating to it; one whereof is, concerning the corporeity of the Soul, which he holds in the affirmative, and thinks it undeniably evinced from the Soul's passivity, or receptibility either of Joy or Milery in its

separate

feparate state: For the proof of which, he doth not only alledge the Example of Dives and Lazarus, but also the detaining of all Souls in Hell, both good

and bad, till the Judgment-Day, a What is that, faith he, that is translated unto Hell after the divorce of the Body, which is there detained, and reserved unto the Day of Judgment, to which Christ by dying did descend?

^a Quid est autem illud, quod ad inferna transfertur post divortium corporis, quod detinetur illic, quod in diem judicii reservatur, ad quod & Christus moriendo descendit, puto ad animas Patriarcharum: sed quamobrem si nihil anima detinetur sub terris? nihil enim si non corpus, incorporalitas enim ab omni genere custodiæ, immuris à pænå & à fovellâ. De Anim. c. 2. p. 529.

Even to the Souls of the Patriarchs, I think. How if the Soul be nothing, can it be detained under the Earth? For, it is nothing if it be not a Body; for, Incorporiety is free from all kind of Custody, and incapable of either Pain or Pleasure. And, in the same Book, one of the last Questions which he handles relating to the Soul, is concerning its receptacle after its separation from the Body; where he first proposes the various Opinions of those Philosophers, who acknowledged its Immortality, as of the Platonists, Stoicks, and others, who generally allotted to wife and pious Souls, Seats exalted in the Air, sublimated according to their Wisdom and Excellency,

lency, but maintained, that other Souls were, according to their Folly and Corruption, depressed towards the Earth, and hovered thereabouts; which Conceit he condemns, as contrary to that part of the Christian Faith which placed

a Nobis inferi non nuda cavofitas, nec subdivalis aliqua mundi
fentina creduntur: sed in fossa
terræ, & in alto vasitas, & in ipfis visceribus ejus abstrusa profunditas, siquidem Christum in corde
terræ triduum morte legimus expunctum, ist est, in recessu intimo
& interno, & exipsa terra operto,
& intra ipsam clauso, & inferioribus adhuc abyssis superstructo.

Ibid. c. 31. p. 574.

all Souls in Hell: For,
as for us, faith he,
we never believe Hell
to be a naked Cavity,
nor an open Sink of
the World, but it is a
vastness in the Body
and Depth of the Earth,
and an abstruse profundity in its Bowels;
for we read, that Christ

was conquered by Death, three Days in the Heart of the Earth, that is, in its most inward and internal Recess, covered over by the Earth, shut within it, and built about by yet more inferiour Abysses: And a little farther, he professedly debates that Question,

& De inferis, b Whether all Souls go to Hell, which & an illuc omnesanime he positively affirms; referring his Rea-

compellan-

tur. — Habes etiam de Paradiso à nobis libellum, quo constituimus omnem animam apud inferos sequestrari in diem Domini.—Christus—quia & homo mortuus secundum Scripturas, & sepultus secundum eastem, huic quoque legi satisfecit, formâ humanæ mortis apud inferos sunctus, nec antè ascendit in sublimiora cœlorum, quàm descendit in inferiora terrarum, ut illic Patriarchas & Prophetas compotes sui faceret. Ibid. c. 32. p. 576.

der to a Book, now loft, which he had formerly written concerning Paradise, wherein he had declared, That every Soul was sequestred in Hell till the Day of Judgment; adding for a farther confirmation thereof, That Christ, because he was Man, was not only dead and buried according to the Scriptures, but that he also satisfied this Law of descending into Hell, and did not ascend into the heights of Heaven before be had descended into the depths of the Earth, that there the Patriarchs and Prophets might enjoy him: And in the fame Chapter he continues to write,

That ' Heaven is not yet shut, but, that at the end of the World

a Nulli patet cœlum, terrâ adyet opened to any, the huc falva, ne dixerim clausa, cum Earth, or Hell, being transactione enim mundi reserabuntur regna coelorum. 1bid. P. 576.

the Kingdom of Heaven Shall be unlocked: And in the next Chapter, he mentions it as the common Belief of the Christians in his Age, That ball Souls b Animas went to Hell; and speaks both with universas ad inferos redihorrour and derision of the impious gi. Ibid. c. 33. Practices of the Followers of Simon Ma- P. 577. gus, that they pretended by their Magical Arts to bring the Souls of the Propheta-Prophets from Hell; fince, whatfoever abinferismo-Spectrums or Visions appeared, they were se sponwere not real Souls, but only their Re-deant. Ibid. femblances p. 578.

rum animas

Nulli autem animæ omnino inferos patere, fatis Dominus in argumento illo pauperis requiecentis & divitis ingemiscentis ex persona Abrahæ sanxit, non posse inde relegare renunciatorem dispositionis infernæ. 1b. p. 578.

femblances and Phantalms; a it being impossible for any Soul to come out of Hell before the Judgment Day, as our Lord in the Person of Abraham hath ap-

pointed in the Parable of the comforted poor Man, and tormented rich Man, that no Soul shall pass from Hell to Earth: Wherefore he concludes in the

b Omnes ergo animæ penes inferos, supplicia jam illic & refrigeria: habes pauperem & divitein. — Animam & puniri & foveri in inferis interim sub expectatione utriusque judicii. *Ibid.* 6. 34. P. 579.

next and last Chapter, That b all Souls are in Hell, that there are both Punishments and Rewards, that both Dives and Lazarus are there, that the Soul

is both punished and comforted in Hell, in expectation of the future Judgment.

To Tertullian, the Sibylline Oracles may be subjoined, which seem to be a pious Fraud of some good intentioned Christian, compiled probably about the latter end of the Second Century in the Time of the Emperour Commodus, wherein all Men who live upon the Face of the Earth, are said to go down to the House of Hades, or to Hell.

^a Τένεκα ή σάντες οι θπιχθόνιοι γεδαῶτες ^a Sybill. Orae.
^x Ανδρες ον ἀἰδαο δόμοις ἰέναι καλέσου.

All Men, who in this World do dwell,

Must go unto the unseen Gates of Hell.

Novatian discoursing of the persection of the Creation, shews that all Parts thereof are full of the Wonders of God's Workmanship, not only the Heavens above and the Earth beneath, but even

b those places which lye under the Earth, are not empty of distinguished and ordered Powers; for that is the Place whither the Souls both of the godly and ungodly are led, receiv

cent, ipia sunt digestis & ordinatis potestatibus vacua; locus enim est quo piorum animæ impiorumque ducuntur, suturi judicii præjudicia sentientes. De Trinitat, p. 493.

b Neque quæ infra terram ja-

ungodly are led, receiving the Forejudgment of their future Doom.

Archelaus, Bishop of Caschara in Mesopotamia, writes concerning Dives and Lazarus, That c they both died utrumque viand descended into Hell.

num descendere. Disput. advers. Manich. ad calcem Not. Vales. in Socrat. p. 201,

Lactantius warns his Readers, That P 2 none

² Nec quisquam putet animas post mortem protinus judicari: omnes in una communique custodia detinentur, donec tempus adveniat, quo maximus judex meritorum faciat examen. *Institut. lib.* 7. c. 21. p. 717.

anone of them should think, that Souls were immediately judged after Death; for they are all detained in one common Custody, till the time shall come

when the greatest Judge shall examine

their respective Merits.

Athanasius commends and admires the courage of the Martyrs, who, for the sake of Christ, did not fear to undergo all Hardships and Miseries; and though many of them had weak and infirm Bodies, yet they greedily snatched at Death, not recoiling at the Cor-

 Μηδέ τὰς ἐν ἄδε καβόδες δαλιῶν[ας. De Incarn, Verb. Dei.
 Τοπ. 1. p. 82. ruption of their Bodies, nor b fearing the Paths in Hell.

c Humanæ ista lex necessitatis est, ut, sepultis corporibus, ad inferos animæ descendant. Enar. in Psalm. 138. p. 701.

Hilary, Bishop of Poictiers, affirms it to be 'the necessary Law of Nature, that Bodies should be buried, and that Souls should descend into Hell: Wherefore the Souls of the Faithful when they dare loosed from their Bodies, are reserved for an Entrance into the heavenly Kingdom by

the

d Exeuntes de corpore ad introitum illum regni cœlestis, per custodiam Domini sideles omnes reservabuntur, in sinu scilicet interim Abrahæ collocati, quo adire impios interjectum Chaos inhibet. Enar. in Pfal. 120. p. 628.

the custody of the Lord, to wit, in the Bosom of Abraham, unto which a great Gulf hinders the Wicked from approaching: And in another place he writes, that immediately after Death, the Soul of every Man goes either to

a place of Bliss or Woe;
a of which the rich and
poor Man in the Gospel, are Witnesses; the
one of whom the Angels placed in the Seat
of the Faithful, even
in Abraham's Bosom,
whilst the Region of
Punishment presently
received the other.—

² Testes nobis sunt Evangelii Dives & Pauper, quorum unum Angeli in sedibus beatorum & in Abrahæ sinu locaverunt, alium statim pænæ regio suscepit. — Judicii enim dies vel beatitudinis retributio est æternæ, vel pænæ; tempus verò mortis habet interim unumquemque suis legibus, dum ad judicium unumquemque aut Abraham reservat aut pæna. Enar. in Psalm. 2. p. 453.

The Day of Judgment is a retribution either of eternal Blessedness or Punishment; but the time of Death detains every one under its Laws, whilst it reserves every one for Judgment, either in the Bosom of Abraham, or in Punishment.

St. Jerome seems also in some places, to be of the same Opinion; as where

he buts this difference between Death and Hell, that Death is the separation of Body and Soul, but Hell the place in which Souls are

b Inter mortem & inferos hoc interest: mors est, quâ anima separatur à corpore; infernus, locus in quo animæ recluduntur, sive in resrigerio, sive in pœnis, pro qualitate meritorum. Tom. 5. Com. in Ose. c. 13. p. 74.

3 reserved

reserved either in Happiness or Misery, according to the Quality of their Merits: And in several places he saith,

* Ante adventum Christi omnia ad inferos pariter ducerentur. Tom. 5. Com. in Ecclesiast. c. 3. p. 10.

b Tempus quod inter hominis mortem, & ultimam resurrectio nem interpositum est, animas abditis receptaculis continet, ficut unaquæque digna est vel requie vel ærumna. Tom. 3. Enchir. ad Laurent. c. 109. p. 252.

That a before the coming of Christ, all were alike conducted to Hell.

St. Austin writes, That the b time which is interposed between a Man's Death and the last Resurrection, containeth Souls in hidden Receptacles, according

as every one is worthy either of Rest

or Labour

But here it must not be dissembled or concealed, That in the declenfion of the Greek and Latin Tongues, the words Hades and Inferi became to be chiefly understood in an evil sense, especially in the Latin Tongue, where it came to be for the most part, peculiarly applied to the place and state of departed wicked Souls; from whence e vol 1. Ho- we find amongst the Greeks, That in

mil. de Enga- the Days of Origen, some christians Arym. p. 30. could not imagine, that the Saints be-

fore the coming of Christ, went to Hell; d Ibid. p. 32, unto whom that Father replies, d That 33. the Servants were not greater than their Master, that it was no dishonour for them to go unto that place, whi-

ther

ther their Lord himself went, who descended into Hell, that he might conquer it, and deliver from thence the Souls of the pious and godly; by which he hath opened for us a Passage into

Paradise, that so we, who live in the end of the World, have this Privilege beyond the ancient Saints, that if we depart out of this Life good and holy, we shall pass by the Flaming Sword at the en-

α Περιστον η τι Έχριβρ, πιώς οι επ' (χωι ελώς η αιώνων εληλυθότες, - εων άπαλλαγωρβρ βρόβροι καλοί ε άΓαθοί, - διελουτόμεθα η αυτοι Τ φλογίνω έρωφαίαν, ε η καξελουτόμεθα είς Τ χώς ων έπη πελεύμου η χρισον οί που τ παρηπίας αυτή κοιμώρθροι, διελουτόμεθα η μηδεν βλαπλομβροι των τ φλογίνης έρω-φαίας. 1bid. P. 36.

trance of Paradise, and shall not go unto that place, where those who died before the coming of Christ expected him, but shall pass by without receiving any Harm from the Flaming Sword.

Where it is also evident, That Origen himself receded something from the Opinion generally received in the Church, in that he would not allow the Souls of the godly to go to Hell since the Resurrection of Christ, as he acknowledged they did before; but sent them to Paradise, which he assigned to be in a different place from Hell, and not in Hell, as others believed it to be: Which Notion of Origen's was afterwards sollowed by several, especially in the Weltern

flern Church, where it prevailed to the exclusion of the ancient Doctrine; tho' in the Eastern Church it did not so; for there the primitive Notions were still more generally received, as is to be seen in the Writings of the Two Gregories, Nyssen and Nazianzen, with others; and lower down than their times, Andrew, Archbishop of Casarea

น ทองกอเร ๆ ที่ พี่ ผ่าไม่ง หรืบ ผู้ ๆ ที่ ก่า หอ่อยร ผู้รู้เยะ ผู้กำกับเกล ที่ ที่ ผู้จะ หัวร เอโลโล๊ง ผู้หลรอง, อีเ ผึ้ง หรู ซอง ซึ่ง หลกย์ขาร ฉบับถึง อัอรุกร หะมนล์เอง วิ. Com. in Apoc. c. 17. p. 34.

in Cappadocia, relates it to be the general Opinion in his Days, that every one at his Death received a place suita-

ble to his Deeds, by which he might conjecture his future State; which place he doth undoubtedly mean to be Hell, feeing elsewhere he doth, according to the distinction of St. Ferome, make this difference between Death and Hell,

b อส่งสาชา นิ มพองธาตุอัง ปุ๋ยมั๊๊๊๊๊ ๕ (ส่นสาชา, ผู้อีกร ร ซ่าสาชา กุนถึง ส่ยถึก: , ห้โรง ส่อนหาง, นุ สารสาชา, อ ซลัง ปุ๋ย-มูสุร ทุ้นสัง ผ่าใจเป็ระง ผลอีกนรีซลุร อิ๊ะมูจ-ผู้มูชา. Ibid. c. 64. p. 125. That b Death is the feparation of Soul and Body, but that Hell is an unfeen, invisible and unknown place to us,

which receiveth our Souls when we go hence.

The Author of the Book De Definitionibus, extant amongst the Works of Athanasius, but supposed to belong to Maximus, who slourished in the Seventh Century about the Year 640, writes.

writes, that our Saviour is called the

First Fruits of those that sleep, a because he a Διότι ἀνέςη περῶτ Φ ch ξ αδ sirst arose from Hell, καθώς κὸ ἡμικς μέλλομψ ἀνίςς του τῆ δουτέςα παρεσία. Του p. 52. at his second coming;

that is, he died no more after his Resurrection; whereas Lazarus, and others, who were risen from the Dead, died again, and must continue in Hell till the

general Refurrection Day.

But, I need not produce any more Testimonies for this matter, seeing to this very day the same Doctrine, with very little Alteration, is entertained in the Oriental Churches and the Dependants thereof, as Sir George Sandy: relates concerning the Greeks and Armenians, That they believe, b that the Dead neither do, nor shall feel Joy for Travels, the Torment until the Day of Doom; br won, p. 96. which, I suppose, those Christians mean no more than what I remember is related in the Embassy of the Earl of Carlifle into Muscovy, Anno 1663, concerning the Christians of the Empire, That they believe, that the Souls of all good Men are not admitted into Heaven, and unto the immediate sight of God, till the general Resurrection-Day; and, than what Job Ludolphus writes, concerning

b Sandy's

a Ils croyent communement que les ames des fideles ne jouiront de la felicité qu' apres la resurrection, ce qui Selon nôtre auteur, à aussy eté le sentiment de la plupart des ancient peres. Biblioth. universel mois de Juillet 1691, p. 16. concerning the Modern Ethiopians, That their common Belief is, that the Souls of the Faithful shall not enjoy their Happiness till after the Resurrection, which

the said Ludolphus doth affirm, to have been the sentiment of the greatest part of the Fathers; wherein he is not at all mistaken, who generally maintained as it hath been already proved. That as after Death the Bodies of the Faithful remained in the Grave, so the Souls continued in Hell till the general Refurrection Day, when their Happiness should be compleated and perfected in the highest Heavens; which Doctrine, as we also see, hath with very little Ateration, been preserved in the Eagern Churches for these sixteen hundred Nears.

But in the Western Church, it hath been otherwise; where, as the Latin Tongue declined, the word Inseri or Hell, was more and more used in an evil sense, till at length it came to be wholly appropriated to signify a place of Torments, or at least of some kind of Misery and Obscurity.

St. Ambrose was one of the first in the West, who varied from the ancient Doctrine,

Doctrine, and embraced the Opinion of Origen, concerning the place of the departed Souls of good Men; which

was, That a before the Death of Christ the Souls of all the Patriarchs and Saints went to Hell, where they remained in Foy and Happiness till our Saviour's Death; when bis separated Soul came

2 Nullus ascendit in cœlum, nisi qui descendit de cœlo; nam & Moyfen, licèt corpus ejus non apparuerit in terris, nusquam tamen in glorià cœlesti legimus, nisi posteaquam Dominus resurrectionis suæ pignore vincula solvit inferni, & piorum animas elevavit. Tom. 2. de fide ad Gratian. lib. 4. c: 1. p. 77, 78.

into those infernal Regions, and breaking the Bonds thereof, he freed those captive Souls, and at his Resurrection triumphantly led them into Heaven, unto which place the departed Souls of all Believers do now immediately and

instantly go.

After him, St. Ferome entertained the fame Notions, That before the Death b Ante adof Christ, all Souls were alike convey-ventum Chried to Hell; that Abraham's Bosom, sti omnia ad where Lazarus rested in Peace and ter duceren-Joy, was a part thereof; that Jacob, tur; unde & Jacob ad in-

feros pariter descensurum se dicit, - & Evangelium, Chaos magnum interpositum apud inferos esse testatur, & revera antequam flammeam illam rotam & igneam romphæam ad Paradifi fores Christus cum latrone reseraret, ciausa erant cœlestia, &c. Tom. 5. Com. in Eccles. c. 3. p. 10. Quod Sancti post resurrectionem Domini nequaquam teneantur in inferno, tettatur Apostolus, dicens, melius est dissolvi & esse cum Christo, qui autem cum Christo est, utique non tenetur in inferno. Tom. 5. Com. in Ecclesiast. c. 9. p. 24.

Tob.

Job, Samuel, and all the other Saints who lived under the legal Dispensation, were detained in Hell, till the Gospel opened the Gates of Paradise, and our Saviour's Blood quenched the Flaming Sword at the entrance thereof, when the Thief entered with our Lord thereinto; after whom followed into that boly City, the Souls of all the Saints who had been before detained in Hell, and unto which heavenly place, the Souls of all good Men immediately, upon their Dissolution, do now instantly pass, being no longer held in Hell since the Resurrection of our Lord.

Austin seems to be sometimes wavering and uncertain in his apprehen-

Illum quippe divitem in ardore pœnarum, & illum pauperem in refrigerio gaudiorum intelligendos esse non dubito: sed quomodo intelligenda sit illa slamma inferni, ille sinus Abrahæ, vix fortasse à mansuete quærentibus, à contentiose autem certantibus nunquam invenitur. Tom. 3. De Genes. ad Liter. lib. 8. c. 4. p. 815.

fions of this Point; a I do not doubt, faith he, but that the rich Man was in an extremity of Torments, and the poor Man in a confluence of Joys; but how that Flame of Hell and Bosom of Abraham

is to be understood, will scarcely be found by humble Seekers, never by contentious Strivers. In some places he doubts, whether Abraham's Bosom, the receptacle of all faithful Souls before the

coming

coming of Christ, was in Hell or no; a I must confess, faith he, that I have not yet found where the Habitation of the Souls of the Just is in Scripture called

a Illud me nondum invenisse confiteor, inferos appellatos ubi justorum animæ requiescunt, — proinde ut dixi, nondum inveni, — nec mihi occurrit inferos alicubi in bono posuisse Scripturam, duntaxat canonicam. Tom. 3. de Genes. ad Liter, lib. 12. c. 29. p. 934.

Hell; and as I have said, so I say again, that I never yet met with the word Hell used in a good sense in the Canonical Scripture: But, in other places he seems to grant, That Abraham's Bosom, the Mansion of the godly before the coming of Christ, was part of

Hell; b whether Abraham, faith he, was in fome Parts of Hell, I cannot well define; for Christ was not as yet come to Hell, that he might deliver from thence the Souls of the precedent Saints; it is probable that there were two Hells, divi-

b Etenim apud inferos utrum in locis quibusdam fuisset jam Abraham, non satis possumus desinire: nondum enim Dominus venerat ad infernum, ut erueret inde omnium sanctorum præcedentium animas, — ergo inter ista fortasse duo inferna, quorum in uno quieverunt animæ justorum, in altero torquentur animæ impiorum, &c. Tom. 8. Part, 2. in Psalm. 85. Enar. p. 71.

ded by the great Gulph; in one whereof, the Souls of the just were at peace,
whilst in the other, the Souls of the
wicked were tormented: And, in his
Book of the City of God, composed in
the extremity of his old Age, he writes,
That

a Non absurdè credi videtur, antiquos etiam sanctos, qui venturi Christi tenuerunt sidem, locis quidem à tormentis impiorum remotissimis, sed apud inferos suisse, donec eos inde sanguis Christi, & ad ea loca descensus erueret; prosectò deinceps boni sideles, — prorsus inferos nesciunt. Tom. 5. de Civit. Dei. lib. 20. c. 15. p. 563.

That a it is not abfurd to believe, that the ancient Saints who believed in Christ to come, although they were in a place most remote from Torments, yet that they were in Hell till the Blood of Christ, and his descent thither de-

livered them from thence; since which time, the Souls of Believers go to Hell

no more.

I might here farther add the Sentiments of Petrus Chrysologus, Gennadius Massiliensis, Gregory the Great, and feveral others of the fucceeding Writers, but I think it will be an unnecessary as well as redious Labour, feeing the generality of the Latin Fathers of the middle Ages, embraced the forementioned Notion of Origen, Ambrose, and others, which was occasioned through the Mutation and Declension of the Latin Tongue, whereby the word Inferi, or Hell, received a considerable Change in its Meaning and Signification, being for the most part taken in an evil sense; according to which Apprehension and Notion thereof, new ways and ends of our Saviour's Descent thither, were imagined and invented. But,

as I have already shewn, the word Hell, according to its primary and original Import, doth principally fignify no other, than the State or Place into which all separated Souls do pass, and there remain till the Resurrection Day; in which sense it is to be frequently understood in the Septuagint, and cannot in any Propriety of Speech be otherwise accepted in that Text, whereon this Article of the Creed is founded, viz. Acts ii. 27. Thou wilt not leave my Soul in Hell, neither wilt thou suffer thine Holy One to see Corruption, because the Soul's being in Hell, is there opposed to and distinguished from the Bodies being in the Grave; and being applied by the Apostle to our Saviour's Resurrection, it is as if he should have thus expressed it in other Words, that although Christ Jesus died, and according to the manner of all Mankind, his Soul went into Hell, the common receptacle of all disunited Spirits, and his Body was buried in the Grave; yet God raised his Body from the Corruption of the one, and loofened his Soul from the Bonds of the other, reuniting those two essential Parts in a most wonderful and glorious Resurrection; according to which Pattern of our Lord and and Saviour, all his Followers shall at the time appointed by the Almighty, be rescued both in Body and Soul from the Power of the Grave and Hell; when,

1 Cor. xv. as the Apostle writes, a shall be brought 54, 55. Is to pass the Saying that is written, Cs, Savale, το Death is swallowed up in Victory. O αδη, τὸ νίκω. Death, where is thy Sting? O Grave,

or, O Hell, as it is in the Greek, being the same word that is used in the Creed, where is thy Victory? That is, at the Resurrection-Day, through the omnipotent Power of God, the Grave shall be forced to yield up her dead Bodies, and Hell her separated Souls, that fo all Souls and Bodies being reunited, they may in their perfect Hu-manity stand before the Tribunal of Jesus Christ, and receive a Sentence suitable to their Works in the Flesh. and the Execution of that Sentence throughout a never ending Life, either in eternal Misery or Felicity; which is the exact Description given by St. John, of the general Refurrection and Judgment-Day, in Rev. xx. 13, 14. And Death and Hell delivered up the Dead which were in them, and they were judged every Man according to their Works; and Death and Hell were cast into the Lake of Fire; this is the Second

Second Death: That is, Death or the Grave surrendred her imprison'd Bodies, and Hell her detained Souls, atter which their Empire and Power over the Children of Men was destroyed and annihilated: From all which, I suppose it appears most evident, That the chief and proper Signification of the Word Hell, is no other than the Place of separated Souls; and that by consequence, the descending of Christ into Hell, imports no more than the Passage of his Soul to that invisible World of separated Spirits, where according to the Laws of God and Nature, it remained in Rest and Peace till his Resurrection-Day.

But then Secondly, The next thing to be enquired into for the full Explication of this Article, is the manner of our Saviour's going into Hell, which in the Creed is expressed by descending thither, raly descended in-

to Hell.

Now as for this Word xalnaber, translated descended, Archbishop Usher assures us, That in the Acts of the A- An Answer postles it is used ten times, and in none to a Challenge of all those Places signifieth any de-suit in trescending from an higher Place unto a land. 4°. Lonlower, but a removing simply from one don, 1631.

O Place

Place unto another; whereupon, the Vulgar Latin Edition doth render it there by the general Terms of abeo, venio, devenio, supervenio; and where it retaineth the Word descendo, it intendeth nothing less than to signify thereby the lower Situation of the Place unto which the removal is noted to be made; if descending therefor e in the Acts of the Apostles, as the faid Archbilhop continues there to write, imply no such kind of thing, What Necessity is there, that thus of force it must be interpreted in the Creed of the Apostles? So that, according to this Interpretation, the word descended implies no more than the simple Passage of the Soul of Christ into Hell, the Habitation and Mansion of all severed and disunited Spirits.

And the Reason of the Use of this Word beyond any other, was, because it was a vulgar Expression and a popular kind of Speech, arising from the generally received Opinion, that the Receptacles of departed Souls were under the Earth, or in the Heart and Bowels thereof; whence called by the Latins Infernum, and by the Greeks nasaxbivia, and to nashing, that is, the neither and lower Parts; and adms quasi

quasi didys, that is, Invisible, because those Places are imperceptible and unfeen by the Living; according to which received Opinion of the Heathens, the Fathers also generally believed Hell to be either under the Earth, or in the Bowels of it; in which Belief they were the more confirmed from that in Resemblance to the Prophet Jonah, who in his Soul as well as Body, was three Days and three Nights in the Whale's Belly; the Son of Man was to be three Days and three Nights in the Heart of the Earth, as it is in Matth. xii. 40. which is a Place that they generally applied to our Saviour's Soul, during the three Days of its Separation from his Body.

About the beginning of the Prophet Jonah's Prayer out of the Fish's Belly, there is this Expression, * For thou Jonah ii. 3. hadst cast me into the Deep, in the midst of the Seas; where, what we render in the midst, St. Jerome more exactly, according to the original Hebrew Word translates it, in the Heart of the Seas; and on it writes, That by the Heart of the Sea, Hell is significanistied; for which, we read in the Gosturi internus,

Evangelio legimus, in corde terræ; quomodo autem cor animalis in medio est, ita & infernus in medio terræ esse perhibetur. Tom. 5. Com. in Jonam. c. 2, p. 138.

Q 2 pel,

pel, the Heart of the Earth; for, as the Heart of an Animal is in the midst thereof, so Hell is supposed to be in the middle of the Earth: For the proof of which, he elsewhere produces that Text of the Psalmist, in Psal. cvi. 17.

^a Quod autem infernus in inferiori parte terræ fit, & Pfalmista testatur, dicens, aperta est terra & devoravit Dathan, &c. Tom. 6. Com. in Ephos. c. 4, p. 178.

b Infernus sub terrâ sit, dicente Scriptură, usque ad sundamenta laci. Tom. 4. Com. 10 Esai. c. 14. P. 47.

c Regionem inferûm subterraneam. De Anim. c. 32. p. 576.

d în fossă terræ & in alto vastitas, & in ipsis viic. ribus ejus abstrusa profunditas. De Anim. c. 31. P. 574.

e Infra terram locus—quo piorum animæ impiorumque ducuntur, futuri judicii præjudicia fentientes. De Trinitat. p. 493. a The Earth opened, and swallowed up Dathan, and covered the Company of Abiram; and that Passage of the Prophet Isaiah, Chap. xiv. 15. b Tet thou shalt be brought down to Hell, to the Sides of the Pit, and long be-fore St. Jerome, Tertullian calls Hell ca subterranean Region, da Vastness in the Body and Depth of the Earth, and an abstruce profundity in its Bowels; and Novatian affirms it to be e a Place beneath the Earth, whither the Souls both of the Godly and Ungodly

are led, receiving in themselves the previous Apprehensions of their future Doom; with which agrees the Prediction of Sibylia, That at the Dissolu-

tion

tion of all things by the general Conflagration at the last Day, a great River of burning Flames shall descend from Heaven, and burn up every Place without the Confines thereof; amongst which she reckons not only Earth and Sea, Rivers and Fountains, but also unmerciful Hades or Hell.

² Καὶ τότε δη ωδραμός τε μέδας ωυςδς ² Lib. p. 200. αἰθομβύοιο

'Ρούσς ἀπερανόθεν, η σάνθα τόπον δαπανήσς, Γιμαν τ' ώπεανόν τε μέδαν, γλαυπίω τε Θάλασταν,

Λίμνας η ωθαμές, ωηδάς η άμάλιχον άδω.

b Irenaus, c Damafcen, and others, believed it also to be a place under the Earth; and Origen likewise one where, terms it da place under ground; though he elsewhere speaks vety doubtfully and uncerty doubtfully and uncerty.

b Sub terra, lib. 4. c. 45. p 280. c Υπδ γίω. Orthodox. Fid. lib. 3. c 29. p. 278.

d Τῷ καλαχθορίφ τόπφ. Vol. 1. Homil. de Engastrim. γ• 35•

ry doubtfully and uncertainly of the Situation thereof, as when he writes,

That Christ descended into Hell, e where so-ever it be, or, in what place soever it is.

e Vosis wolf ten o dons. Vol. 2. Comment. in Johan, Tom. 8. p. 126.

And indeed, though every one be-

lieved Hell to be the receptacle of all Souls, yet they were not agreed in the exact Situation thereof. Tertullian, wherein he is followed by the Schoolmen, placeth it in the very Bowels of the Earth; Novatian, Damascen, and others, fix it beneath the Earth, infra terram, in ylw, by which they understood the Southern Hemisphere; which space we now find to be filled with Earth and Air, as our Northern one is: Others knew not where to fettle it; but in general, every one meant by it that Place, wherefoever it was, into which separated Souls do pass; only whatsoever their particular Opinion was, in conformity to the usual Dialect and common manner of speaking, they termed a going thither a Descent into Hell, as the forementioned reverend Arch-· An Answer bishop instanceth in Cicero, who, where made by a Je- ever he hath occasion to mention any

to a Challenge fuite in Ire- thing that concerneth the Dead, Speakland, p. 392. eth still of Inferi, according to the vul-

gar Phrase; although, he misliked the vulgar Opinion which bred that manner of speaking, and professed it to be his Animos, Judgment, b the Souls when they depart cum è corpoout of the Body, are carried up on high, re excesserint, in subli- and not downward unto any Habitame ferri. tions under the Earth.

lan. Quel. person v

So that when the Creed affirms, that our Saviour descended into Hell, the meaning thereof is no other than this, viz. that his Soul being separated from his Body by a real Transition and local Motion, went into the unseen Region of Spirits, where, according to the Laws of Death, it remained amongst other religious and pious departed Souls till the Resurrection of his Body, which was the third Day after.

Now that this is the true and proper fignification of Christ's descending into Hell, will be farther proved from the Consideration of the Ends for which he descended thither; some of the most pertinent whereof to our present purpose,

are as follows.

First, One End thereof was, to san-Ctify and sweeten unto us the state of Separation; to abate its Dread and Terrour, and to render it the more comfortable and joyful, in that our Head and Saviour endured it before us; for, as Irenæus observes, after that our Lord had passed through the several Ages of Man's Life to sanctify them unto us, he

at length came unto Death, that he might be the First-born of the Dead, and have the pre-eminency in all

Deinde & usque ad mortem pervenit, ut sit primogenitus ex mortuis, ipse primatum tenens in omnibus. Lib. 2. 6.39. P. 137.

things:

things: According unto which Athanasus writes, That Christ condemned Sin in his Life on Earth, took away the Curse on the Cross, Corruption in the

³ Τε θανάτε τ καζάλυσιν ου τώ άδη σαν]ί επιδάς τόπω, ίνα Ε ζύμπανίο άνθοώπε τ (ωληρίαν καλες-γάση), μοςφίω τ ημετέρας είκονο ci εαυτώ επιδειανύμου. 10m I. de Incarn. Christ. cont. Apollin. p. 618.

Grave, and a Death in his Descent into Hell, passing through every Place, and appearing in our several Conditions, that he might work out the Salva-

tion of the whole Man.

Secondly, Our Saviour descended into Hell, because it was a necessary and principal Part of his Humiliation; for, though his Soul was, and the Souls of all good Men now are in a Place of Blifs and Happiness, yet as long as they continue in their state of Separation, they are suffering under the Effects of Sin, from which they shall not be delivered till the Refurrection-Day, when Death and Hell, the Executioners of Sin, shall lose their Sting and Victory; according unto which, Irenaus writes, That as Christ arose from the Dead,

b Sic & reliquum corpus omnis hominis, qui invenitur in vitâ, impleto tempore condemnationis ejus, quæ erat propter inobedientiam, resurgat. Lib. 3. c. 21. P. 213.

b so the Bodies of all good Men shall be raifed, when the time of their Condemnation for Sin Shall be completed; and Athanasius de-

fcribes the ^a Souls of Adam and other good Men, held under the Condemnation of Death, to be crying unto the Lord in that State of

Τῆς ἢ Ε ᾿Αδὰμ Ψυχῆς ἐν καταδίκη θανάτε κα] εχομθήνης, κὴ βοώσης περος τὰ ἐαυρῆς δεασότω, — Ε τό ἀαρες η τάν η τη Θεῷ, — ζυμεθοών]ων. Τοπ. 1. de Salut. advent. Jef. Christ. p. 641.

Separation for his Mercy and Pity: And the faid Father elsewhere remarks, That whereas, when Man fell by the eating of the forbidden Fruit, the righteous Judge pronounced a double Sentence upon him, the one relating unto

his Body, the other unto his Soul; b that respecting his Body being comprehended in those Words, Dust thou art, and unto Dust thou shalt return; and that respecting his Soul in these Words, Thou shalt die the Death; so when a Saviour was sent to

^b Τῷ μρ γήινω εἰρηκῶς, γῆ εἶ, ⓒ εἰς γἱῦ ἀπελούση, ης ਬτως τῶνδέχε) Φθορῶ τὸ (ῶμα δεῶνότα ἐπο-Φηναμβρία, τῆ β ψυχῆ, θανἀτω ἐποθανῆ, ⓒ ἄτω διχῆ διαρεῖ) ὁ ἀνθρωπῶν, ης ἐν δυσὶ τόποις οἴχεδχ καθεδικάθη, διὰ τᾶτο χρείω γείονε Ε΄ ἐπορηπαρθρία ἴπα αὐπὸς δὶ ἐωυτᾶ λύοη τὰ ἐαυτᾶ ἐπίφασιν, ἐν μορρῆ Ε΄ καθαδικαθείνιῶν, ἐν τος τὰ ἐαυτα καθαδικαθτος. ἐπο τος Τος. 1. de Incarn. Christ. advers. Apollinar. p. 626.

redeem him, that he might in his own Person undergo the same Punishment, he permitted his dead Body to be laid in the Grave, and with his Soul he went into that Place of Separation, whither the Souls of all Men were adjudged to go, whereby likening himself to us in those States and Conditions, he thereby redeemed us from them.

Thirdly,

Thirdly, Another End of Christ's Descent into Hell, was to conquer it in his own Person, and as the Head and Representative of his Church and People; that the loosing of his Soul from the Bonds thereof, might be an Earnest and Assurance to all his Followers, that at the appointed Time of their Resurrection, their Souls should be also rescued through his Almighty Power, and obtain an eternal Victory over Death and Hell; from whence the Ancients represented our Saviour like a mighty Champion entring the Territories of Hell,

* Per triduum ista constictatione pugnatum est, quamdiu mors, superatis malitiæ suæ viribus, frangeretur. Jul. Firmic. Matern. de Error. Prof. Relig. p. 48. and a fighting for the space of three Days with him, till he had broken the Strength of his Malice, and quite

destroyed his Power and Force, setting himself free from all his Bonds and Fetters, and rendring them unable to detain his Saints whensoever it shall please him to command them thence; for a Declaration and Manifestation whereof, he brought with him at his Resurrection, several holy Souls from that State of Separation, and reunited them unto their Bodies, who afterwards became into the holy City, and appeared unto many.

b Matth. xxvii. 53. Thus Epiphanius writes, That our

Lord descended with his Soul into the nethermost Parts, where Death and Hell being ignorant of his Divinity that accompanied him, assaulted his Soul, thinking to have con-

quered it as they had the rest of Mankind before; but that herein they were mistaken, seeing that it was impossible for his Soul, by reason of his Deity, to be holden by them: For, as

the said Father writes elsewhere, b he broke the Sting of Death, rent in sunder those Adamantine Bars, and

2 Σων τη Ψυχη, καζελθών έπδ

τὰ καλαχθόνια, — ὁ ἀξχων ὁ άδης κὰ ὁ ΘάναλΟ χειρώσαλζ ἀνθρωπον

DENHOUS IC alvoiar, alvowr T' er Th

ψυχή τη άγία θεότηλα, - έσυ δυδε,

- καλαχείν ψυχων άδωμαλον 🖰 Τω τ ψυχων αυτε καλαχεθώνα δια

T' Deotypa. Advers. Hares. cont.

Ariemanit. p. 337.

by his own Power loofed the Bonds of Hell, bringing from thence with him fome of those captive Souls, as a Pledge and firm Foundation of Hope to all whom he left behind, that in his own due time they should also arrive unto the same Liberty and Resurrection with the others, which is the same with what Sibylla sings.

"Ηξο δ' eis aidlu algeλλων ελπίδα πασι. e Lib.8.p.388.

He shall descend unto the Gates of Hell,

Hell,

Declaring Hope to those who there
do dwell.

Athanasius writes, That the Soul of

Διαρρήξη τὰ δεσμὰ ψυχῶν το άδε καθεχομήμων, τ τ ἀνακάσεως διαπηξαμμω "bog». Tom. 1. de Incarn. Christ. advers. Apollinar. p. 626.

b "Ινα όπε απάςη ή φθορώ, ἐπε ἀναζείλη ή ἀρθαςσία, κζ όπε έξασίλουσεν ο θάναζω, ἐν μοςφῆ ψυχῆς ἀνθερωτίνης πας ἀν ο ἀθάναζω, ἐπιδείξη) τ΄ ἀθανασίαν, ε΄ ετως ήμας μεζόχες καζασήση τ΄ ἐκωτε ἀρθαςσίας, ον ἐλπίδι ἀνασάσεως τ΄ ἐν νεκεῶν, &c. Τοπ. I. de Incarn. Christ. advers. Apollinar. p. 630.

Christ went into Hell b to break the Bonds of the Souls who were detained there, fixing or ordaining the time of their Resurrection; and that he conquered the Grave and Hell, that b where Corruption had been sown, there Incorruption should arise; and where Death had reigned, there he appearing with his human Soul, should exhi-

bit Immortality, and so should make us partakers of his Incorruption, in hopes of the Resurrection from the Dead, when this Corruptible shall put on Incorruption, and this Mortal shall put on Immortality.

Lastly, The chief and principal End of our Saviour's Descent into Hell was, that he might subject himself unto the Laws of Death, and be in every thing

conform-

conformable unto us; for feeing that he became our High Priest to redeem and fave us, it behoved him in all things to be made like unto us, Sin only excepted. Wherefore when he died, his Body like unto ours, was committed to the Grave; and in the same manner, his Spirit fled to the Receptacle of holy and religious Souls, where, as all we must, it awaited his Resurrection-Day; and which is very observable, amongst those infinite and various Ends. which the Ancients imagined, according to their different Conceptions, to be the Reason of this Descent, they frequently alledge this to be the most proper and principal Cause thereof.

Thus Irenaus writes, That our Lord

by his abode in Hell, a observed the Law of the Dead; and Tertullian, That his Body was not only buried, but that be satisfied this Law also, viz. that he underwent the manner of human Death in Hell; and Athanasius writes, That our Death was described by the Separation of the Soul of Christ from his Body,

Lib. 5. c. 26. p. 356.

b Huic quoque legi fatisfecit, formâ humanæ mortis apud inferos functus. De Anim. c. 32. p. 576.

² who

2 Ev 3 7 xxx nuãs strophin cu with mogor, 'T nuére por ones die leg-De Savalor, Tra cu autin में दें देंचहे ημών αξυόση ανάς ασιν, έξ άδε μ τ ψυχω, οπ τάφε η το σώμα έπιδακνύμβρο · όπως ον Δανάτω, หลาสมังก ซ Javalov cu emideigd ψυχῆς, ου ή τὰ τάφω καθαςγήση τ φθορών ου ταδῆ ζώμαθΦ , ἐξ वर्षेष्ठ में नवंश्वर में वंश्वरवरांवर में में वंष-Ααρσίαν επιδεικνύμθης, ον μοςφη Th nas nuas T' nuel éger odsious o-Sov, & 7' xal' nuão o suophilu xaloχίω λύσας. Ibid. p. 648.

a who being found in our Shape and Figure, underwent the manner of our Death, that by it he might prepare a Resurrection for us, Shewing his Soul in Hell, and by his Body in the Grave, that being in Hell the exhibition of his Soul there, be might destroy it, and

being in the Grave by the burial of of his Body there, he might annihilate Corruption, and so might bring forth Immortality and Incorruption from Hell and the Grave, going thither in our Form and Manner, and loofening our Detention there.

b Leges enim 8. p. 55.

Leo Magnus writes, That our Lord inferni mori-b by dying, underwent the Laws of sed resurgen- Hell, as by rising again he did disdo dissolvit. solve them; and that I may not mention any more, Hilary of Poictiers af-

e Ad explendam hominis naturam, etiam morti se, id est discessioni se tanquam animæ corporisque subjecit, & ad infernas sedes, id quod homini debitum videtur esse, penetravit. Enar. in Psal. 53. p. 472.

fures us, That c to fulfil the Nature of Man, he subjected himself to Death, that is, to a departure as it were, both of Soul and Body, and penetrated into the infernal infernal Seats, which was a thing that feemed to be due unto Man; for, as he

elsewhere writes, a it is a Law of human Necessity, that the Bodies being buried, the Souls should descend into Hell, which Descent the Lord did not re-

^a Humanæ ista lex necessitatis est, ut sepultis corporibus, ad inferos animæ descendant, quam descensionem Dominus ad confummationem veri hominis non recusavit. Enar. in Psalm. 138. p. 703.

fuse for the consummation of a perfect Man

So that one principal End of our Saviours going into Hell, was to undergo the Laws of Death, that in every thing both living and dying, and after Death he might fubmit himself to the Rules and States of that Nature which he came down from Heaven to redeem. In his Life-time he appeared in the fimilitude of finful Fleth, not disdaining to undergo all the natural Actions and Infirmities thereof; at his Death, his Body was committed to the Grave, whilst his separated Soul fled unto the invisible World of departed Spirits; which reason of his Descent into Hell, together with the others forementioned, is a more evident proof, that the meaning of this Article in the Creed is no other than this, viz. That our Saviour's Soul being feparated from his Body by Death, went unto the unseen Mansion

of

of separated Spirits in the other World; that as his Body according to the Laws of Death, was laid in the Grave, to in a conformity thereunto, his Soul also passed into the Habitation of departed Spirits, where it remained in its separate state amongst the Souls of Abraham, Samuel, David, and all the godly in Rest and Peace, till the Day of his Refurrection; describing thereby unto all his Followers, the manner of their Death and Refurrection, that their Bodies must remain in the Grave, and their Souls in Hell, or in their separate state, till the time of their Refurrection, when they shall be raised to Life again, and in their perfect Manhood receive eternal Honour and Glory from him.

But, now having at large explained this Article, and shewn the true and proper sense thereof, it yet remains to enquire into the occasion, manner, and time of its being inserted in the Creed. Now that which gave occasion thereunto, was an heretical Opinion of the Arians and Eunomians, but especially of the Apollinarians; between whom and the two former, the Difference was very small, if any at all in this particular, as

shall be hereafter shewn.

These Hereticks violently assaulted the truth of our Saviour's Humanity; but as they were more subtle and learned than that gross and sottish Tribe before-mentioned, against whom our Lord's Birth, Passion, Crucificion, Death and Burial, are inserted in the Creed, fo they managed their Cause with greater Wit and Cunning, and proceeded in a more refined and politick Method: for whereas, those forementioned Hereticks in a direct opposition and contradiction to the senses of all Mankind: denied the Substance and Reality of Christ's Body; these allowed that to be true and certain, whilst in a more dangerous and plaufible way, they as effectually overturned the truth of his Humanity, by maintaing that he had no human, rational Soul, but that his Divinity supplied the room thereof.

Now that the Arians and Eunomians, or at least some of them, led the way to Apollinarius in this Errour, is abundantly attested by Epiphanius, Athanasius, and others, who charged them with this Doctrine as held and believed by all, or, I think, rather by some of them, (seeing it is most probable, that all the Arians were not infected therewith,) That the Body which Christ af-Sumed,

R

fumed, was destitute both of a rational and sensitive Soul; wherein they something differed from the Apollinarians, who allowed Christ a sensitive Soul, and only divested him of a rational one; into which Difference between them, I shall not here enquire, seeing it is sufficient for my present purpose, that they both agreed, in denying Christ an human reasonable Soul: Which that the Arians so did, we are assured by the unquestionable Testimony of Athanasus, who accuse th them of main-

a 'Av7ı ซี "ฮาตโะง c๋ง กุนโง ฉ่งคือต์หม, งมีรุ เหมออ่งเ⊕ c๋ง Xessoj. Tom. 1. de Incarn. Christ.advers. Apollinar. p. 628.

b "Ages β κ Έωνόμι — ἔρασαν, — τ θεότη α τ ψυχῆς εὐης Γοιας Ερίαν. Divin, Decret, Ε-pit. c.12, p. 124.

C Zágra porov † Coñeca Xensov Zin Machae, ednovéra diabebases plyos, Anacephal. p. 528.

d Deum Christum carnem sine anima susceptifie. De Haref. c. 55. p. 182.

taining, That a the heavenly Mind in Christ served instead of an buman Soul; and to the same purpose, Theodorit writes concerncerning both the Arians and Eunomians, That they beld, that our Saviour's Godhead performed the Office of the Soul; with whom, both c Epiphanius and d Austin agree in their charge against the Arians, affirming, That they held, That Christ took only an human Body without a Soul.

But

But that which render'd this Herefy the more confiderable and dangerous, was, that it was defended and patronized by the great Apollinarius, the Ornament and Splendour of the Church in that Age; who, after he had been the most signalized Champion for the Faith, and an illustrious Example of Piety and Virtue, unhappily espoused these and other Notions, which caused him to be branded for an Heretick in that and all

fucceeding Generations.

This Apollinarius, of whom I am now speaking, was Apollinarius the Younger, Bishop of Laodicea; but whether of Laodicea in Syria, or of that in Phanicia of Libanus, is not certainly known. He was by all esteemed the greatest Man of his Age both for Learning and Piety; a most accurate and nervous Defender of the Faith against all its Enemies, whether Heathens or Hereticks. Vincentius Lirinensis represents him as

a very extraordinary Man, a that he had præstantius aa most acute Wit, and sublime Learn- cumine-doctrina? Ouam

multas ille Hæreses multis voluminibus oppresserit? Quot inimicos fidei confutaverit errores? Indicio est opus illud triginta non minus librorum nobilifiimum ac maximum, quo infanas Porphyria calumnias magnà probationum mole confudit: longum est universa ipsius opera commemorare, quibus profectò summis ædisi-catoribus Ecclesiæ par esse potuistet, nisi prophanè illa hæreticæ curiositatis libidine novum nescio quid invenisset. Commenit. c. 15; p. 46, 47.

ing; that in many Volumes he had overthrown Heresies, and confuted Errours opposite to the Faith; that in thirty large and noble Books, he had most convincingly baffled the Calumnies of Porphyry; that it would be too long to recite all his Works, by which indeed he might have been equall'd with the chiefest Builders of the Church, had he not fallen into Heresy. And Philostorgius the Arian Historiographer, gives this larger Character of him, That

a Tems 3 8 201 anders, - março υάνδας ωαρενείκονδες τές ωρήτερου & vsepgv, - &s warda wae autois πολθέναι τ' Αθανάσιον, - Ε μάλισά γε αυτών ο Απολλινάρι, -- τοσαύτης ή αύτοῖς ον το λέξειν κο γρά-Qui dunaprens gons, & to ind & soer åτλον, &c. E Suida in Voc. 'Απολ-Alvagso.

a He, and Basil, and Gregory Nazianzen, defended the Divinity of Christ better than any either before or after them; in comparison of whom, the great Athanasius was

esteemed to be but a Child; that they had not only an extraordinary Faculty both in speaking and writing, but their Lives were fo attracting, that who soever either saw or heard them speak, were drawn and persuaded by them, and that the most considered and esteemed of these Three was this Apollinarius, of whom I am now speak-

The Fall therefore of fo a great a Pillar, must needs have been a very sen-

fible loss unto the Church, and is as fuch bewailed by Epiphanius, in the relation that he gives of his Heresy, and most pathetically by Gregory Nazianzen, who speaking of the Heresies of Eunomius and Apollinarius (the sormer of whom denied the Divinity of our Saviour) saith, That the Heresy of the first was supportable, and to be born withal, but that which

² was most insupportations of πάνηων χαλεπώταθον εν ble and sinking in all το Αρμί δοχοσπεν. Ες εί παρρητία. the Ecclesiastical Ship- April Sozomen. Eccles. Hist. lib. 6. c. 27. p. 676.

wrecks, was the Er-

rour of Apollinarius, that so great and good a Man, the Ornament of his Age, and Flower of the Church, should become an Heretick, and an impugner of the Catholick Faith.

As for the time when he began his Herefy, it is not exactly known; he was not anathematized as an Heretick by Name, till the fecond General Council at Conftantinople, Anno 381; but nineteen Years before that, viz. Anno 362, his Herefy was condemned by a Synod at Alexandria, whereat were prefent Athanasius, Eusebius Bishop of Verceil in Piedmont, with several others, without mentioning his Name; the reason whereof might be, either be-

R 3

cause some Monks were fent by him thither, to purge him from the suspicion

α Παρήσαν ή καί τινες 'Απολλιναρά ε έπισκόπε μονάζον ες σας αύτε είς τετο σεμφθένζες. Athanas. Epist. ad Antioch. Tom. I. p. 580.

of Herefy, as in the a relation of the Synod there is room enough for fuch a Conjecture, or, because they were loth to believe fo great

a Doctor of the Church could fall into fo foul an Herefy. Epiphanius writes, that when he first heard of this Errour, by fome who came from him, he could not believe that fuch a Man had efpoused such heretical Notions, and that

า้อ ลบารี พอดู่ร ทุ่นลีร ล้อเพอเปลี่ยร, แท νοξίνας τὰ βαθέως, του Ε τοιέτε prehensions, who could xoss ardegs, &c. Advers. Hares. Dimerit. P. 421.

they were only b some 6 Extsopp of tes waidas tes Peoples mistaken Apnot fathom the depth and profundity of fo

great a Scholar; or elle they were unwilling to cast so eminent a Man from the Communion of the Church, and therefore would first conde on his Herefy without mentioning his Name, hoping that that might be a means to regain him to the Catholick Faith, of which he had been before fo noted and strenuous a Defender: But now, how long before this Synod he had vented his Herefy, is not certainly known; only it could not be long, and not above three or four Years at farthest.

Now

Now that Herefy of Apollinarius, which respects our present purpose, was according to St. Austin's Expression, That

2 Christ assumed Flesh without a Soul; or, as Cassian words it, That he b had not an human Soul, or a rational Soul; for they allowed him fuch a fenfitive Soul as is in Brutes, but denied him to have a reasonable one, as Vincentius Lirinensis writes, That · Apollinaris affirmed, that there was not in our Saviour's Body an buman Soul, at least not fuch an one wherein was Mind and Reason, but that d instead thereof his Divinity supplied its room and place; so that in short,

a Apollinaris instituit Deum Christum carnem sine anima suscepisse. De Hares. c. 55. p. 182.

b Humanam eum non habuisse animam. De Incarn. Christ, l. 1. p. 1241.

e Apollinaris dicit in ipså falvatoris nostri carne, aut animam humanam penitus non fuisse, aut certè talem fuisse, cui mens & ratio non esset. Comment. c. 17. p. 50.

d 'Αγκέσαι Τ΄ θείαν φύτιν εἰς τὸ πληςῶται τε νε Τ΄ χεείαν. Εpit. Har. Fab. lib. 4. in Har. Apol. p. 107.

the Errour of Apollinarius was this, That though Christ in his becoming Man, was ένσαρκο, that is, was incarnate, had real Flesh and a substantial Body; yet he was not εμψυχώρθο, that is, he had no reasonable human Soul, but his Divinity performed all the Actions and Offices thereof.

R 4 Which

Which Herefy the Fathers apprehended to be attended with most dreadful Consequences; for if Christ had been destitute of an human Soul, and the place thereof had been supplied by his Deity, then several Actions, as Destring, Grieving, and the like, would have been most impiously attributed by the holy Scripture unto his divine Nature; which Argument is urged to very Advers. Has good purpose by a Epiphanius against

ref. in Haref. these Hereticks; and Athanasius most pertinently asks them, how Christ could be forrowful and troubled (as it is so foid of him in John viii 21. That he

faid of him in John xiii. 21. That he was troubled in Spirit) if he had not bad an human Soul?

b Ταῦτα β ἔτε (αριὸς ἀνούτε ἀν είη, ἔτε θεότη Φ ἀτρέπ ε, ἀλλὰ for to ascribe that to Δυχής νόπτιν ἐχέτης.— Τειράφθαι το insensible Matter, was ἀτρεπίον είς λύπλω κὰ άδκαονίαν & ridiculous, or to the imταραχίω το ονιοέιν, ἀσεθές ἐξι.

Τοπ το de Incarn. Christ. advers.

Apollinar. p. p. 528.

blasphemous.

Besides, if Christ, had been void of a reasonable Soul, he would not have had the whole Essence of Man, his Humanity would have been desective and impersect; on which account Vincentius Lirinensis anathematizes Apollinarius

d Auferenti perfectæ humanitatis proprietatem. Commonit. c. 22. p. 69.

for c taking away the Property of the perfect Humanity; and Epiphanius accuses his Followers a of making the Incarnation of Christ imperfect, by holding, that he did not assume a Soul: And it is ob-

² Τινὲς ἢ βυλόρθροι ἐλλιπῆ ποιεῖν ἔνσαμον Χερεῦ παμυσίαν, — εἶπον νῶν ἢ Χερεὸν μὴ εἰληφέναι. Serm. Ancorat. p. 502.

ferved by *Theodorit*, That in the Synodical Epistle of the Second General Council held at *Constantinople*, this Herefy of the *Apollinarians* was condemn-

ed by these words,

b We retain the DoEtrine of our Lord's
Incarnation uncorrupted, neither believing

Τον τ ενανθρωπήσεως Ε κυρίκε
 λόδον, άδιάσρος ον (ώζορος, κτε άψυχον, κτε άπεν, η άτελη τ τ (αρκος οἰνονομίαν α-Sudeχόροι. Eccles. Hift. lib. 5. c. 9. p. 212.

his Body to be without a Soul or Mind, or his Humanity to be imperfect; which it would have been, if he had only assumed a Body; for, by wanting a Soul, he would have had but half the Nature of Man: Upon which, this farther Consequence seems to follow, that he would have been but a partial Redeemer, and have only saved the Body, whilst he left the Soul to perish and be undone.

Clemens Romanus writes, That Christ
gave chis Flesh for
our Flesh, and his Soul chieve the for our Soul: But, which the Hereticks by de-

nying our Lord an human Soul, by consequence render'd his Salvation de-

fective

fective and incomplete, and confined it folely to the fenfitive and bodily part of Man; for as *Athanasius* proves against them, one thing could not be redeem-

Σῶμα ὑπὸς σώμα]⑤, Ͼ ψυχων ἀνῖι ψυχῆς. Tom. de Incarn. Christ. advers. Apollinar. p. 630.

ο Τω λοικω ψοχω τ' ίθωων το σώμα πεπις συμφώω, ές ερφος τη γεχνημήνης έρησε σωθηράς, έκ ελλησως ης παύτω κτη τ' σπένα λόδον ο Θεός λόδω έτε ὶαθείας ήξιώσεν, έτε τιμής μεβίδωκεν. Ecclef. Hift. lib. 5. c. 3. p. 200.

ed by another different therefrom; but the Body must be given for the Body, and the Soul for the Soul; upon which account it is affirmed by Theodorit, That Apollinarius denied Salvation to the rational Soul, by which the Body is governed; for if according to his Opinion, God the Word

did not assume it, he could not then either relieve it, or impart Honour to it: And therefore Damasus Bishop of Rome, in the Synodical Epistle, which he writ on purpose to condemn this Heresy, asserts in opposition thereunto, That Christ the Son of God, our Lord,

C Πληςες άτλω ἀπέδοκε τ σωηνείων, ίνα όλον τ άνθρωπον τως άμαςτως ένεχομηνον πάτης άμας ίτλουθες ώνα. Αρμά Theodor. Ecclef. Hift. lib. 5. c. 10. p. 213.

by his Passion c brought fuch full Salvation to Mankind, that he freed the whole Man which was entangled by sin,

from all Iniquity: And in another Synodical Epistle penn'd before this at Alexandria, Anno 362, in contradiction

to this necessary consequence of this Heresy, Christ is declared to be the Saviour of the whole

Man, 'not of the Body only, but also of the Soul.

² Οὐδὲ σώμα) Φ μόνε, ἀλὰ & ψυχῆς ἐν αὐτῷ τῷ λόιω σωὶποία γέγονεν. Athanas. Tom. 1. Epist. ad Antiochen. p. 578.

These then being apprehended to be the natural consequences of this Heretical Opinion, which was advanced by a Person of an extraordinary Esteem both for Learning and Piety, and fo more probable to infnare and infect others, it cannot be imagined but that the Governours of the Church endeavoured to apply the most effectual Remedy and Antidore there against; and it feems most probable, that for this very End they introduced into the Creed, or Rule of Faith demanded at Baptism, this Clause, That he descended into Hell; that is, that his Soul being separated from his Body, went to the common receptacle of departed human Spirits: Which Point was pirched upon as the most pertinent and proper, because it was thought the most convincing and undeniable proof of the Reality, and certain Existence of our Saviour's reasonable Soul: For though other Arguments were made use of by the Fathers of the Church for the confirmation thereof,

thereof, yet its Adversaries had invented more plaufible Answers thereunto than they could possibly frame unto this pressing and unanswerable Reason now before us. As for instance, if the Orthodox for the proof of their Doctrine, produced that Text of our Saviour, John x. 18. No Man taketh away my Life from me, or as it is in the Greek The Juxles us, my Soul from me, but I lay it down of my self: The ApolliAndrewwirks narians replied, That hereby he meant
Listy Color to human Life, which is maintained

Inter Oper. A-Dial.4. p.239 b Matth. xxvI. 38.

thanas. Tom by the sensitive Soul, as that of Brutes 2. de S. Trin. is. If the Orthodox again argued from Christ's being sorrowful and exceeding heavy, that he must needs have had a reasonable Soul, seeing not only his Divinity, but also his Body was incapable of Sorrow, being in its felf but insensible Matter: Unto this the Apolc'Hues linarians rejoined, That cit might be well enough understood of the Body, จันน co n that that was perceptive and sensible of Suffering: An Example whereof is found in Brutes, who though they have no rational Souls, yet appear to be capable both of Sensation and Passion. And fo for other Arguments with which the Fathers oppugned this Herefy, the Abettors thereof made a shift to frame fome

plu, or aidn-TIV से XEV TO 985. Id. Ibid. ut supra. p. 246.

fome kind of tolerable Reply thereto. But against this Reason no possible Anfwer could be imagined, or the least shadow of an Argument invented; for if Christ descended into Hell, what was it of him that went thither? It could not be his Deity; for that is omnipresent, and was in Hell before as well as elsewhere, and therefore could not now make a local transition thither; neither could it be his Body, for that was committed to the Grave, and under fafe custody confined there: It remains therefore of neceffity, that it must be his Soul; on which it doth as necessarily follow, that that Soul must be reasonable and human, fince the Souls of Brutes expire and die with their Bodies, and only the Souls of Men survive and live in a separate State, and go into Hell, or the common Lodge of all fuch fevered and difunited Spirits.

This Argument therefore being fo unanswerable, we find it frequently urged by the Ancients against this Herely, as by a Epiphanius in his Advers. HacConsutation thereof, and by Theo-res. in Hares. dorit; in one of whose Dialogues, 77. p. 434. when he that supplied the place of an Orthodox Christian asked the other,

Εἰ δέ Γε ὑπολαδῶν ἔποι, ἡ μἰω ψυχὶω ἐκ ἀν ἔλαδεν, ἀλλὰ σῶμα μόνον, — ποίοις ἀν λόΓοις τὰ ἀντίθεσιν διαλύσαιο. Dial. 2. ᾿ΑσύΓχυ].
 P. 33.

a If, faith he, I should fay, that Christ assumed a Body without a Soul, and that his Divinity being united to his Bo-

dy, performed all the Offices thereof, with what Reasons would you confute me? To which, when the Respondent answered, That he would consute him from several Texts of the Holy Scripture, and in particular from that Text

το το τε Δαδίδ είςημίλου, — στι εκ εξκαζαλειφθη
είς άδε ή ψυχή αὐτε, &c. Ibid.
 p. 34.

of the Pfalmist, b Thou wilt not leave my Soul in Hell, neither wilt thou suffer thine Holy One to see Corruption; he replied unto him, That che had most aptly and pertinently cited those Testimonies.

c 'Αςμοδίας με άΓαν, η είνομόνως τὰς μαςθυρίας παςήγαίες. Ibid.

But more especially is this Argument managed for this End by Athanasius, who affirms the Death of Christ to have been a most evident demonstration, that he had an human Soul as well as Body;

d Το μθρτοι μέχει τάθε Θθάσαν, η 3 μέχει άθε διαδάσα, — κ Ε Γ΄ τάθε σωμαζικών έπιδεχομθρε τ' έπίδασιν, Ε 3 άθε άσωμαζον. Τοπ. 1. adverf. Apollinar. de Incarn. Christ. p. 626.

for, faith he, when d his Body went no farther than the Grave, his Soul pierced even into Hell; and whilft the Grave received his corporeal Part, Hell received

ceived that which was incorporeal: And therefore he thus interrogates the

Apollinarians, a How then will you fay, that there was an heavenly Mind in Christ instead of an human Soul? Was his Body divided into

^α Πῶς ὧν λέ[ετε, ἀν]ὶ Ὁ ἔσωθεν ἀνθεώπε, Ὁ ἀν ἡμῖν, νῶς ἐπειφενιος ἀν Χελεῷ; μήτι γε διχῆ μεεκόσες τὰ ἔξωθεν, ⓒ ἀν τάφω ἐπειδείχυ]ο, ⓒ ἀν ἄδη; — πῶς εἰς ἄδω καβήλθεν. Ibid. p. 630.

two Parts? Did one Part appear in the Grave, and another in Hell? How was it possible for him without a Soul to descend into Hell? Where you fee, that he makes it to be ridiculous and absurd to affirm, That our Saviour's Body went into Hell, since that was committed to the Grave: After which he proceeds to prove, That it was impious and blasphemous to attribute it to

his Divinity; b How could the Word, faith he, descend into Hell, or demonstrate the Resurrection therefrom? Did he supply the place of our Souls, that he might fashion our Resurrection? Hoble to imagine such that

^b Πῶς ὁ λόρος τ' κɨς ἄδε ἐπίδασιν ἐποιήσαλο, ἢ πῶς τ' ἑξ ἄδε ἀνάκασιν ἐπεδκάκνυλο; μήτιξε αὐτὸς ἀγλι τ΄ ἡμελέρως ψυχῆς ἀνίκαλο, ἴνα τ΄ ἡμελέρως ἀνακάσεως τ' κἰκόνα ἀρμόση); È πῶς ολόν τε τῶς Θεῦ ταῦτα ἀνοκῖν, &c. Ibid. p. 646.

that he might fashion the Image of our Resurrection? How is it possible to imagine such things concerning God? Such Reasonings are altogether contrary to the Holy Scriptures: For, if this were true, it would follow, That

"Ες αι ἢ έξ ἀνας άντως εξειρήμεγος ὁ λόρος καθ ὑμᾶς ἀνά κη γάς
τινα έξ άδε Τ άς χλω Τ ἀνας άντως
είλη θέναι, ἵνα τελεία ἡ ἡ ἀνάς ασις, κὴ Ε θανάτε ἡ λύσις, Ͼ Τ
ἀνείσε πυσυμάτων ἡ λπόλυσις εἰ ἢ
ταῦτα ὁ λόρος πέπουθε, πε τὸ ἀτςεπ τον κὴ ἀναλλοίω τον Ε λόβε;
Τοm. I. de Salut. advent. Jef.
Chrift. adverf. Apollinar. p. 648.

e the Divinity should be raised; for it is necessary, that some one should begin the Resurrection from Hell, that so there may be a perfect Resurrection, a dissolution of Death, and a dismission of the

Spirits held there: Now, faith he, if the Word underwent this, what is become of that necessary attribute of the Deity, viz. Immutability and Unchangeableness? In all which Quotations, we may observe the force of Athanasius's Argument to lye here, That feeing Christ descended into Hell, and that it was impious to ascribe that Action to his Divinity, and absurd to attribute it to his Body, that therefore of necessity he had an human Soul, by which he performed that Descent in the same manner as the rest of Mankind do: Upon which account, the Author of the Dialogues concerning the Holy Trinity, extant amongst the Works of Athanasius, in that Dialogue, which is professedly against these Hereticks, affirms: That

Έν ἄδε Χυέως, χεώαν ώχεν
 ψυχῆς. Tom. 2. de S. Trinitat. Dial. 4. p. 245.

Christ b could not be in Hell without an human Soul; and that as he could not be in the

Grave

Grave without a Body,

fo neither * could be * Ei un eixer & xweizophilu for
be in Hell without a xlid use is to is en un un side diagle.

Soul.

But this Article of the Descent into Hell, was not only pitch'd upon to be inserted in the Creed, because of its aptness and pertinency to contradict and refute this Herefy, but the Introducers of it might probably have had this also in their view, viz. that it naturally falls in with the Passion and Humiliation of Christ, without disturbing the Order of the ancient Creed; or causing any very sensible variation therefrom; and methodically continues on the fame Argument with the precedent Actions of our Saviour recorded in the Creed, viz. the Proof and Declaration of his Incarnation and Humanity; only with this difference; that whereas the former affert the reality of his human Body, this proves the certainty of his reasonable Soul; that he was a perfect Man, confisting of Spirit as well as Flesh, being thereby prepared to be a complete Saviour of all his Followers, both of Soul and Body.

Now as for the Time when this Article was introduced into the Catho-

W. W.

11

lick Symbol, it must be confessed to have been very late: The first Creed wherein it is usually thought to be found, is in that of the Church of Aquileia, repeated by Ruffinus, who withal assures us, That at that time

In Ecclefiæ Romanæ Symbolo non habetur additum, descendit ad inferna: Sed neque in Orientis Ecclefiis habetur hie Sermo. Expos. in Symb: Apost. §. 20. p. 570.

ther in the Roman nor Oriental Creeds: By which, I suppose, he means the publick Creeds used by those

Churches at Baptism, seeing I find it before his time in the particular Creed of a private Father, viz. of Epiphanius, or rather in an Exposition of the Creed, by him, where, after the Death and Burial of Christ, it follows, That

b ΣυΓκαζελθέσης τῆ ψυχῆ τῆ ἀ-γία εἰς τὰ καζαχθόνια,— κỳ λυτάτης ἀδῖνας ἀδα ἐν ἰσία ἐξασία. Adverf. Haref. lib. 3. in Compend. Fid. Cathol. p. 463.

his Godhead b accompanied his holy Soul into Hell, and by his own Power loofened the Pains thereof; as also in the Creed of St. Cyril of Jerusalem, wherein it is recited, That Christ fuffered, was crucified, and buried, and descended in-

 Γαθόνλα, σαυςωθένω, κ ταφέντα, καλελθόνω εἰς τὰ καμαχθόνια.
 Catech. 4. P. 27.

> to the nethermost Parts. But, putting aside these two Creeds, the Descent into Hell is not to be found in

any Catholick Creed, whether publick or private, till the time of Ruffinus, which was about four hundred Years after Christ, I say, in any Catholick Creed, because it was introduced some time before, by a Party of Arians, in their Creed proposed at the great Council of Ariminum, held under the Emperour Constantius, Anno 359, and confirmed by him: The consideration of the temper and disposition of which Council, may give us some light into the occasion and manner of the Introduction thereos.

Now it is well known, that at that Synod the Arians employed the greatest dexterity and cunning to buoy up their Cause, and to depress that of the Orthodox, making use of all proper means thereunto, as by disguising their own Tenets, unfairly reprefenting the Opinions of others, and above all, endeavouring to leffen the Authority of the Nicene Council, and to antiquate the Form of Faith appointed thereby: For which end, they drew up a new Formulary, wherein the word Ouría, or Substance, that the Son was of the fame Substance with the Father, which was part of the Nicene Creed, was wholly omitted, under pretence, that

that word being not in Scripture, it was very unfit to be made part of a Creed, and that more especially, because it had been found by past experience, that it did rather distract than satisfy Mens Understandings and Apprehensions. But now lest this should too much alarm the Orthodox, whom they were willing to keep quiet and lull a-fleep, they inferted other words, which did feem sufficiently to express their detestation of the Arian Herefy, as that Christ was the only begotten Son of God, begotten of him before all Time, God of God, in every thing like unto his Father who begot him: And to make fure work, that they might in all Points appear to abandon the Herefy of Arius, and all his Followers, and approve themselves to be truly Orthodox, they did not content themselves with a pretended Denial of his Herefy, which related to the Divinity of the Son of God; but they also added in the Confession of their Faith, that our Lord descended into Hell, designing thereby to purge themselves from the imputation of denying Christ's hu-man Soul; which the

* Aธะเฉพระ ณ่ ผู้สูงชั้ง ที่ เกิด ซึ * Lucianista, and others อะติ ปุ่งปู่ม่ คำภาจะงาน. Epiphan. of them did but pro Serm. Ancorat. p. 483.

bably

of them did, but pro-

bably they themselves did not; that fo by protesting heartily and sincerely against one Herefy, of which they were suspected, they might be the more easily credited as to their denial of the rest: And thus as on the one hand they cleared and vindicated themselves, fo it is not improbable, but that on the other hand they had an eye to Apollinarius their great impugner, (who had very likely about this time, given some jealousies of his Inclination to the forementioned Herefy,) and threw in this Article on purpose to confound the Orthodox, by affording them a subject of new Debates between themselves, and to disgrace Apollinarius, and by a too usual, though a most unreasonable Consequence, the Catholick Faith its felf, of which he had been hitherto a most noted and eminent Defender. Now, I say, for these and such like Reasons, it is most probable, that in the Confession of the Arians at Ariminum, mention is not only made of

Christ's a being crucified and dead, but alfo that he descended into Hell, to perform those things which were necessary for him there

² Σταυρωβίνζω κ΄ ἐπθωνόν]α, κὶ τὰ καζαχθόνια καζελθόν]α, Ͼ τὰ ἀπότε οἰκονομήσων]α, ὸν συλωρομί ἄδε ἰδόν]ες ἔφριξαν. Αραά Socrat. Ecclef. Histor. lib. 2. c. 37. P. 133.

to do, at whose sight the Porters of Hell trembled: And the Year following, the Acacians, a particular Sect of the Arians, in a Synod at Constantinople, affented to the foresaid Consession of Ariminum, with some sew Explications and Additions; amongst which they added the word Buried, and thus read that which relates to the

Σταυζωθέιζω η λόπθανότζω, η ταφένζα, η εἰς τὰ καζαχθόνια διεληλυθότα, ὅν τινα ης αὐτὸς ὁ ἄδης ἔπζηζεν. Idem. Ibid. c. 41. p. 154.

Humiliation of Christ,

^a Crucified, Dead, and
Buried, descended into
the nethermost Parts,
whom Hell also feared: After which, A-

pollinarius declaring himself more openly for this Herefy, the Orthodox found themselves under a necessity of abandoning and renouncing him; wherefore, his Herefy was condemned by a Synod at Alexandria, Anno 362, and by another at Rome, Anno 373: And last of all, according to the Example given them by the Arians, an Antidote was inferted thereagainst in the Creed, and the reality of Christ's rational Soul was declared by that undeniable Argument of his descending into Hell; as in Epiphanius's Exposition of the Creed, after our Saviour's Death and Burial, it follows; a That

² That his Deity accompanied his Soul into Hell, delivered from thence the captive Souls, broke the Sting of Death, rent in funder those Bars and Adamantine Chains, and by his Power loofened the Bonds of Hell; from-

· Θεότη] Φ, - συ[κα] ελθέσης τῆ Δυχή τη άγία είς τὰ καλαχθόνια, อิงธิธกร อ่าลัยอง ซึ่ ซึ่ ปุ่งหลัง ล่าหนลλωτίαν, κλασάσης κένλοςν θανάτε, διαδόηξάσης τὰ κλείθρα κὸ τές μοχλες τες άδαμανγίνες, κ λυσάσης ώδίνας άδε εν ίδια έξεσία. 'Ανελθέσης σων τη ψυχή, μη έαθείσης f Juxus eis adlw, unde f oagnos έωρακήας διαρθοράν. Advers. Haref. lib. 3. in Compend. Fid. Cathol. p. 465.

whence he returned with his Soul, not leaving his Soul in Hell, nor suffering his Flesh to see Corruption: And St. Cyril of Jerusalem writes on this

Article, That our Saviour b descended into b Καζηλθεν eis τὰ καζαχθόνια, ίνα the lower Parts, that naxes ev rulewon tes, diraiss. Catech. 4. p. 27. from thence he might

redeem the Just: After which, the first Creed wherein we find this Article, is in that of Aquileia, recorded by Ruffinus, in which indeed this Clause is expressed with greater Latitude than in our modern Symbol, it being there, descendit ad Inferna, or, he descended into the lower Parts; wherein the Burial might be included and designed, and that more especially, because the Sepulture of our Saviour is not therein expressed; but immediately after his Crucifixion under Pontius Pilate, comes this Clause, that he descended into the

lower Parts; but afterwards, when the Descent was received into the Roman and Oriental Creeds, the Burial was there retained, and instead of the lower Parts, it was faid that he descended into Hell: Which two Confiderations make it unreasonable to be imagined, that the Descent in our Creed should be applied to the Burial of Christ's Body; for that having been already mentioned, it would be a Tautology in fo brief a Compendium to repeat it again in other words; and according to the Propriety and Idiom both of the Greek and Latin Tongues, the most natural and easy Interpretation thereof, and which best agrees with the Order and Method of the Creed, is the same with what hath been already related; which to prevent Mistakes, I shall again repeat, viz. That by affenting to this Clause, that Christ descended into Hell, it was thereby intended for the Reason aforesaid, viz. the afferting the reality of his human Soul, to declare our Belief that as upon the Separation of his Body and Soul by Death, his Body was buried in the Earth; so his spotless and immaculate Soul by a true and local Motion, went unto the invisible and bleffed Habitation of holy and pious Souls, Souls, where it remained in Peace and Happiness with the separated Spirits of the Faithful, in a triumphant and believing Expectation of the time of his Resurrection, which was the Third Day after; when his Soul was delivered from the Power of Hell, and his Body from the Corruption of the Grave, according to that Text of the Pfalmist on which this Article is sounded, cited by St. Peter in Asts ii. 27. Thou wilt not leave my Soul in Hell, neither wilt thou suffer thine Holy One to see Corruption.



5 15 3 E C ...

in priving 1 it

Time C H A P. V.

The Refurrection of Christ being a necessary Fundamental of our Religion, was always part of the Creed; by which our Belief is declared; that Christ rose from the Dead, and returned to Life again. The farther consideration whereof, is referred to the Article of the Resurrection of the Body. The reason for which our Lord's Resurrection is said to be in and not after the Third Day. The Ascension of our Saviour was introduced against an Opinion of the Apelleians, viz. that at his Ascension, his Body was resolved into its first Principles, and ascended not up on high, or into Heaven. The nomination of which place, might probably have been designed in contradiction to a Conceit of Hermogenes, that his Body went into the Body of the Sun. Sitting at the right Hand of God the Father explained; by which, we must not imagine Christ confined to that singular Posture, but it signifies his Advance-

AH)

Advancement to the full exercise of his regal Office; all things being subjected unto him by the Father, who was infinitely able to do it, seeing he is Almighty; which word in the Greek, is different from that used in the beginning of the Creed, and in this place denotes the irresistibleness and efficacy of God's Power. This Clause is first found in the Creed of Tertullian's, and was inserted, either as a continued proof with the Ascension, that our Lord's Body was not difsolved a little after his Resurrection, or rather was designed against some Hereticks, who imagined the Body of Christ to be in a stupid and unconcerned posture in Heaven, and not to be sate down at his Father's right Hand, exercising all Power and Authority for the good of his Church. A brief Explication of From thence he shall come to judge the Quick and the Dead. Three Interpretations of the Quick and the Dead; the last most natural, that by the Quick are meant those who Shall be alive at the coming of our Lord, and by the Dead, those who shall then be actually void of Life. This

This Article was designed against the Marcionites and Gnosticks; of whom, the one blasphemed the final Judge, the other the Judgment. Marcion with his Master Cerdon, held, That the true God, and his Son Christ Jesus, were all Mercy and Love, and would never judge the World; which Opinion opened a Flood-Gate to all Impiety; in opposition whereunto, the Creed declares, That he shall come to judge. The word Judging explained, and supposeth a liberty and freedom of Action in the Person judged, and a Rule by which he is judged; both which were denied by the Gnosticks, as by the Valentinians, Basilidians, Carpocratians, and others, who all sprung from Simon Magus, and united in these two Heresies, That Man was fatally necessitated to all his Actions, and that he should not be judged according to his Works, but according to his spiritual Seed, Election, and the like: Which Tenets were attended with most abominable Consequences; and therefore against them both, it was inserted in the Creed, That Christ shall come to judge the Quick and the Dead: Wherein,

Wherein, First, The liberty of Man was acknowledged, which is farther evident from that the word Αὐτεξέσι, or, that Man hath a Power over himself, was in several of the ancient Creeds part of this Article; the Fathers could not imagine a just Judgment, without supposing a Freedom of the Person judged. Secondly, It is farther declared by this Clause, that Men shall be judged according to their Works; for which reason, whilst the Heresy of the Gnosticks raged, it was expressed with a suitable Peri-phrasis to prevent any equivoca-ting Evasions. A brief Repetition of the true intended sense of this Article.

Mediatour and Redeemer in the inglorious and mean Estate of his Humanity, throughout the several steps of his Humiliation, home to the last and most abasing of them, viz. the separation of his two essential Parts by Death, and the particular confining of them to their respective Mansions and Habitation: His Exaltation now follows:

follows; and that Sun of Righteoufness, which did set in Redness and Obfcurity, now arises with Light and Splendour; the Earth could not detain his Body, nor Hell his Soul, but each delivered up their Prey; for according to the next Article in the Creed, the third Day he rose again from the Dead; that is, his Body was delivered from the Grave, and his Soul from Hell, and being reunited, constituted the same complete Man and Person that was before. The certainty of which Resurrection, is absolutely necessary to the Christian Religion, feeing without that it would be no better than a vain and frivolous Imposture, or a mere Cheat and De-Infion.

The great Advantage and Benefit that the Gospel promises, is Remission of Sins; the assurance whereof, depends upon the certainty of our Saviour's Resurrection; for that declares the virtue of his Sufferings, and the efficacy of his Undertakings for us; that God's Anger is now appeased, and he become propitious to Mankind. The Death of Christ is the ground of our Absolution and Pardon, but his Resurrection accomplished the Collation of *Rom.iv.25* them; as * he was delivered for our Offences,

Offences, so he was raised again for our Justification; on which account St. Paul argues, That if Christ bad is Cor. xv. not been risen, our Faith is in vain; 17 we are yet in our Sins. The Guilt thereof, or Obligation to Punishment is not yet removed, seeing our Surety still remains under Death, the effect and con-

fequence of them.

Wherefore, feeing that on these and other Accounts which might be mentioned, the Resurrection of Christ is so necessary a part of the Christian Faith, we may well conclude that it had a place in the Creed from the beginning of Christianity: To the belief whereof, we may be farther induced from this confideration, That it was the peculiar End and Design of the Apostolical Office, to b be witnesses of Christ's Resurre- b Acts i. 22, Etion: And St. Paul look'd upon it to xiii. 31. be so necessary an Article, that he doth as it were, make Salvation and the whole Christian Religion to depend on it alone; "The Righteousness of Faith, Rom. x. 6,9. faith he, faith thus, id est, it is the purport of the Christian Institution. That if thou Shalt confess with thy Mouth the Lord Jesus, and shalt believe in thy Heart that God raised him from the Dead, thou shalt be saved.

By

By this Article it is declared, That we believe that Jesus Christ our Saviour, did truly and really arise from the Dead, and return to Life again: But now; forafmuch as this Article is coincident with that of the Resurre-Etion of the Body, and the particular Refurrection of Christ the Head, cannot well be distinctly considered from the Resurrection of his Members, but the one implies and proves the other: I shall therefore refer the farther confideration thereof to the Article of the Resurrection of the Body, and only take notice in this place, of the time when Christ arose, which the Creed declares to be the Third Day.

Wherein it is observable, that it is faid to be αν τη τρέτη ημέρα, in the Third Day, and not after the Third Day: For, as Augustin remarks, our

Lord a was not three

Ipfum triduum non totum & plenum fuisse. Tom. 3. de Trinit. lib. 4. c. 6. p. 402.

whole Days in the Grave, but only the entire fecond Day, and

part of the first and last; the whole time of the disunion of his Soul and Body by Death, being not above fix and thirty Hours or thereabouts: Upon which account, the Compilers of the Creed did with good reason so cautiously ex-

press

press the time of his Resurrection to be in the Third Day, and not after

three Days.

Isidore the Pelusiote, hath an whole Epistle concerning this Matter, to answer their silly Enquiries, as he terms them, who would fearch into the Cause of Christ's Resurrection before he had remained three whole Days in the Grave; where, after the Allegation of

several Reasons there-

fore, he adds, a But if I would descend to the exact and accurate Explanation of this Question, I would say that Christ only said, that he would rise again the third Day; and so you have Friday, Saturday, which

² Εἰ τὰ κɨς αὐτων τὰ ἀκοίδεκαν κωθαρξυεοζ χρὴ ἐμεῖνο ἀν ἀποιμι, τὰ τεκτη ἐἰπεν ἀνακήσαοζ, ἐχεις τὰ ζάδατον ἀνέκη, ἐχεις τὸ ζάδατον ἐνες ἐκεις τὰ ζάδατον ἀνέκη, ἐκατέρων μι ἀψάμενος, τὰ τὰ ἐπεν ἀνακήσαοζ, κὰ κιξὶ τρεῖς ἡμέρας, λόσας τὸ ζητὶ τὰ τὰν τῆτον, κὰ τὰ τοκοίν ημέρας, λόσας τὸ ζητὶ τὰ τὰν τῆτον, κὰ τὰ τοκοίν ἡμέρας ἐξερῶ αὐτὸν, &C. Lib. 2. Ερίβ. 212. p. 165.

concludes with Sun-set; and after Saturday he arose, being in the Grave part of the first and last Day, and the whole of the middle Day; for in three Days, he said he would rise again, and not after three Days. Destroy this Temple, saith he, and in three Days I will raise it up again: And in like manner the Prophet predicting it, saith, After two Days

Days will he revive us, and in the third Day he will raise us up, and we shall live in his sight. From whence it appears, that it is not without reason, that the Creed so exactly mentions the time of our Saviour's Resurrection, seeing it was not after three Days, but in the third Day that he rose

again.

After our Lord's Refurrection, his Ascension into Heaven follows, which imports, That he left this World, and mounting through the Air, ascended into the Heaven of Heavens, the Throne of God, and the Habitation of the Most High; on the truth whereof depends our future Ascension: For, if our Saviour be not ascended into Heaven, it will be impossible for us ever to ascend thither. This is the Foundation of our Hope, the Anchor of our Soul, both fure and stedfast, That the Forerunner, even Jesus, is entred for us within the Veil, that he is gone to prepare a place for us, and will come again, and receive us unto himself; that where he is, there we may be allo. As for the occasion of its being introduc'd into the Creed, it feems to have been taken from the Apelleians, a Spawn of the Marcionites, fo

fo called from one a Apelles a Scholar of Marcion's, who, as Tertullian writes, did not affirm with Marcion the
Body of Christ to be fantastical and imaginary,
but that when he came
down from Heaven, he
framed unto himself a

sidereal and an aereal Flesh, which at his Ascension he restored to its primitive place; and having thus dispersed every part of his Body, his Spirit alone was received into Heaven: Or, as Epiphaniu's reports their

Herefy to be, That our Lord b in his coming down from Heaven to Earth, gathered unto himsef a Body from the four Elements in which he was truly crucified, and after his Resurrection shewed the same Flesh to his Disciples; after which,

having finished the Dispensation of his Incarnation, he restored unto every one of the Elements that which he had received from them; and so distant the following

Apelles discipulus Marcionis,
— Christum neque in Phantasmate dicit suisse, — sed in eo quod è superioribus partibus descenderet, ipso descensu sideream sibit carnem & aëream contexusse, hunc in resurrectione singuis quibusque elementis, quæ in descensus suisse que in descensus suisse sui

folving his fleshly Body, he ascended into Heaven, from whence he came. Now, I say, it is very probable, that in opposition to this Notion, the Ascension was inserted in the Symbol or Rule of Faith: Whence, Epiphanius in his

of this Argument of the Ascension; and elsewhere he thus explains this Article

 ό Ανελθών εἰς ἐρανθς, — ἐκ λόπθέμθμ© τὸ ἄ[ιον σῶμα, ἀλλὰ ζωνενώσας, εἰς τὸ ωνούμα]ικόν. Απαcephal. F. 53 I. it self, b He ascended into Heaven, not divesting himself of his holy Body, but uniting it unto a spiritual one:

Not much different wherefrom, Irenaus thus repeats this Article in one of his care this equal that we must believe the fleshly els τθς τθς βοριθς reception of Jesus Christ our Lord, into x2058 '1978. Heaven: Which was a most formal and Lib. 1. c. 2. avowed condemnation of the said Appelleians, who owned that his Soul, but denied that his Flesh ascended into Hea-

d Solet autem quosdam offendere, — quod credamus assumptum terrenum corpus in cœlum: nesciunt quomodo dicum sit, seminatur corpus animale, surget corpus spirituale. Tom. 3. de side & symbol. p. 189.

ven; d being offended, as St. Austin writes, at the Christian Faith, that an earthly Body should be assumed into Heaven; not knowing what is written, it is

sown a natural Body, it is raised a spiritual Body.

Now the place unto which our Saviour ascended, is said to be Heaven; by which, we are not to understand the Clouds, sometimes called the Clouds of Heaven, nor the starry Heaven, but the third Heaven, the Heaven of Heavens, the Throne of God, and the more immediate Habitation of the Almighty: The nomination of which place, might probably have been designed in contradiction to a soolish conceit of an ancient Heretick called Hermogenes, who, according to the relation of Theodoret,

affirmed, That *the Body of our Lord was was placed in the Sun; wherein he was afterwards followed by the Seleuciani, and others, abusing to that end that Text of the Psalmif,

b Augustin. de Heres. c. 59. p. 193.

Fab. lib. 1. c. 19. p. 92.

 οὖτΦ ξ κυςίε τὸ σῶυα ον τῷ ἡλίω ἐπεν ἐπηεθῆναι. Ερίτ. Haret.

Pfal. xix. 4. In them hath he fet a Tabernacle for the Sun; reading it according to the mif-translation of the Septuagint, έν τῷ ἡλίω ἔθερο τὸ σκίωωμα

αὐτῶ, c in the Sun hath he fet his Tabernacle; from thence concluding, That our Saviour's Bo-

c Accipientes occasionem de Psalmo, ubi legitur, in Sole pofuit Tabernaculum suum. 1d. 1b,

dy was after his Resurrection, conveyed to the Sphere of the Sun, where it was to remain till his second coming:

T 3 Which

Which filly Imagination feems to have been confuted by this Article, that he ascended into Heaven, as also by what follows next to be considered, viz. that he there sitteth at the right Hand of God the Father Almighty, till he shall come to judge both the Quick and the Dead. Which Clause I shall first give the Explication of, and then enquire into the time and occasion of its introduction into the Creed, And sitteth on the right Hand of God the Father Almighty.

By his *sitting*, we are not to imagine him confined to that singular posture of Body, in a distinction from all

a Acts vii. 55. others, feeing Stephen faw him a standing on the right Hand of God; but by it we are to understand his Habitation, Mansion, and continuance at the Father's right Hand, as Augustin upon

b Sedere intelligite habitare, quomodo dicimus de quocunque homine, in illà Patrià fedit per tres annos. Tom. 9. Symb. ad Catechumen, lib. 1. p. 1388.

this Article writes, That by sitting, we are to apprehend dwelling, as we say of any one, that he sate in that Countrey

three Tears, that is, that he dwelt there

so long.

By the Father's right Hand, we are not to fancy, that he hath really any fuch Parts as Hands, or the like; for heing

being a Spirit, he is incorporeal, without any bodily Members or Organs; but it is a metaphorical Expression, denoting a place of Power, Honour and Happiness, signifying that our Lord is advanced in Heaven, to a place of great

Dignity, Bliss and Authority; * IVe believe, saith St. Austin, that he sits at the right Hand of God the Father, not as though God had an human Shape, a right and a left side; but by the right, we are to understand the highest Happiness, where is Peace and Fove as the

a Credimus quòd sedet ad dextram Dei Patris, nec ideo tamen quasi humanâ formà circumscriptum esse Deum Patrem arbitrandum est, ut de illo cogitantibus dextrum aut sinistrum latus animo occurrat, — ad dextram ergo infummà heatitudine, usi & justitia, & pax & gaudium est; sicut ad sinistram hœdi constituuntur, id est, in miseria, &c. Tom. 3. de Fide & Symb. p. 190.

Happiness, where is Righteousness, Peace, and Joy; as the Goats are placed on the left, that is, in Misery and Torment.

But that which, I suppose, was chiefly designed in the Creed, by this Phrase of sitting at the right Hand of God the Father, was this, viz. a Declaration of the complete Advancement of Christ our Lord, to his regal Office, and of his plenary Exercise of his Kingly Power; God b setting him at his own bephes. 1.20, right Hand in the heavenly places, 21, 22. far above all Principalities, and Powers, and Might, and Dominion, and

T 4

every Name that is named, not only in this World, but also in that which is to come, putting all things under his Feet, and giving him to be the Head over all things unto the Church. In which sense it is interpreted by the Author of the Creeds, which are common-

a Ipfam dexteram intelligite potestatem, quam accipit homo ille, &c. Tom. 9. Symb. ad Catechum. lib. 2. p. 1405.

ly ascribed to St. Auftin, That by theright Hand is to be understood that Power which Christ as Man received;

that is, the Power of his mediatorial Kingdom, exercised in the Preservation of his Church, and the subduing of his Enemies; which Authority and Rule shall be so exercised till the general Judgment-day, when his whole Church shall be completely faved, and all his Enemies shall be eternally vanquished and destroyed; after which time. It has

b r Cor. xv. and destroyed; after which time, b he

24. Shall deliver up the Kingdom to God,

even the Father; but, until that time,

Pfal. cx. i. ctill all his Enemies are made his Footfool, he shall sit at the Father's right
Hand; that is, he shall as Mediator,
exercise an absolute Authority, and an
unlimited Dominion over all: Which
sense I do the rather chuse to put upon
these words, because the word Almighty
is farther added in this Article; wherein

it is faid, That he fitteth at the right

Hand

Hand of God the Father Almighty; which word, though the same with that in the beginning of the Creed, both in Latin and English, yet is different from it in the Greek. In the beginning of the Creed, where it is said, I believe in God the Father Almighty, the Greek word is Πανθοπεάτως, which properly fignifies God's supreme and universal Dominion; but in this Article the Greek word is MarlodwanG, which, in strictness and propriety of Speech, denotes the efficacy and irrefistibleness of his Power and Operation, that he hath all things at his command and beck, able to dispose of them without any controul or hinderance, as he himself pleaseth according to the counsel of his Will: And so in this place this Attribute seems to have been superadded to the Person of the Father, to confirm us in the belief of his Son's sitting at his right Hand, or of his universal Disposal and Government of all things, in the execution of his regal Office as Mediatour, because the Father, who hath advanced him to that place of Dignity and Rule, is Almighty, of infinite Force and Efficacy, whom no Creature can refist, but all are infinite Weakness and Imbecillity in comparison of him.

Now,

Now, as for the time and occasion of the Introduction and Settling of this Article in the Creed, there is very little said concerning it in the Primitive Writers; it is in neither of the Creeds of Irenœus; but is first found in those of Tertullian, and since his time used in most others.

According to the Interpretation which fome of the Ancients give thereof, it feems to have been defigned for no other end, than to be a continued proof of the same Point with the precedent Clause of the Ascension, viz. that Christ did not lose or dissolve his human Body after his Refurrection, but that with the fame human Body he not only afcended into Heaven, but is also sate down at the right Hand of his Father, where he shall remain till the restitution of all things, when he shall come to judge the World, both the Quick and the Dead: According unto which, in the Epistle of Damasus to Paulinus, amongst the several Anathemas against the Hereticks of those Times, there is

* Ε΄ τις μη έ΄τη, ότι ἐν ζαςκὶ, ἐνίπες ἀνέλαδε, καθέσες ἐν τῆ δεξιᾶ Ε΄ Παγοβε, — ἀνάθεικα έτω. Αρμά Theodoris. Eccles. Eist. lib. 5. c. 11. p. 215. this relation to our purpose; ^a If any one shall not say, that Christ in the same Flesh which he assumed here, is sate

down

down at the right Hand of the Father, let him be accursed: Where it is evident, that the Explication that he gave of this Article was, that Christ fince his Alcension into Heaven, continues still there with the very same Body that he had on Earth; which exactly agrees with the Explanation of the Creed to the said Damasus, extant amongst the Works of St. Ferom, but falfly attributed to him; wherein this Articles is thus un-

folded, a He sitteth at the Father, that nature of Flesh remain-

a Sedet ad dextram Dei Patris, the right Hand of God minente ea natura carnis, in qua refurrexit. Tom. 9. p. 71.

ing, in which he was born, and suffer-

ed, and also rose again.

But, inafmuch as this Point was afferted by the foregoing Claufe of the Ascension, and this of our Lord's Session at his Father's right Hand, is first found in the Creeds of Tertullian, it is no improbable, but that it was chiefly defigned against another fort of Persons mentioned by the faid Father, who did indeed own the Ascension of our Sa-

viour's human Body into Heaven, but affirmed it to remain there in a Stupid and unconcerned

b Adfirmant carnem in coelis vacuam fensu, ut vaginam exempto Christo sedere. De carne Christ. p. 24.

manner, void of Sense, and without Christ, as a Scabbard is when it is without a Sword: Which Doctrine is directly condemned by this Article, which affures us, That our Mediatour liveth not in a regardless and unactive Temper in Heaven, but that fince his Ascension, he is sate down at his Father's right Hand, having all Power and Authority committed into his Hands, which he continually exerts for the weal of his Church in the Government of the whole World, and of every Occurrent therein; which is not much different from the Explication that Epiphanius gives of this Article, which is, That

2 Έκαθισεν ἐν δεξιᾶ Ε΄ Παλοὰ:, into I
πέμψας κής υνας εἰς ἐλλω Τοίκε into I
αμίω, Σίμωνα Πέτερν, — μῷ τύτυς at ti
ἢ πάνλας, — Παῦλον, — ἀλλεξάμε God
νῶ δια Φωνῆς ἰδίας ἀπ' ἐξανε. Απα-

cephal. p. 524.

Christ being ascended into Heaven, a sate down at the right Hand of God the Father, and from thence sent forth Preachers, Apostles and

Evangelists into the whole World, as Peter, James, Mark, Luke, Barnabas, Stephen, Paul, and others; the last of whom he chose out by his own Voice from Heaven: So that he is not idle and unemployed in Heaven, but is sate down at the right Hand of God the Father Almighty; from whom he hath received all Power and Authority, which he constantly exercises for his

own

own and his Father's Glory, and the good of his Church and People, and will still continue so to do to the end of the World, when he shall come from Heaven to judge both the Quick and the Dead.

Which is the last Particular that is attributed unto the Son, and comes next in order to be considered; wherein I shall give first a brief Explication thereof, and then shew the occasion and time of its being introduced into the Creed.

Now as for the Explication thereof, its fense is very plain and obvious, viz. that at the end of the World our Lord Jesus Christ shall come from Heaven to judge according to their Works, all Mankind, both the Quick and the Dead; which latter words I shall a little more explain, because there was some difference in the Notions of the Ancients concerning them, which it may not be unnecessary to mention.

Isidore the Pelusiote, reckons up three Explications of this Phrase; the first whereof is, That by a the Quick and a To xest the Dead, may be understood the Bo-years, rest

χων κο σώμα είς κελειν έλουσεος, κο έτε εν θαθέσα κεχωρισμόμου, άλλ ώσσες κοινων τ΄ ενθαύθα ζωνάθειαν έποιήσωνθο, έτω & τ΄ οπείθεν δίκω ήνωμθρως ύρεξμειν. Lib. 1. Ερίβ, 222. p. 53.

dies and Souls of Men, that one shall not be separated from another, but as they have behaved themselves alike here, so they shall receive an equal Reward hereafter: According unto which Interpretation, Russinus also writes,

^a Vivos animos, corpora mortuos nominavit. Expof. in Symb. §.32. p. 574.

5 Ζῶνλας τὰς ἀκίζωον βίον κὰ Θεο-Φιλῆ μεθελθόνλας, κεῖναα τὰς νεκεω-Θένλας τοῖς ἀμαεθήματι, &c. Iſidor. Pelufiot. Ibid. ut antea.

c Vivos justos, mortuos injustos. Tom. 3. Enchir. ad Laur. c. 53. p. 225.

d Justos & peccatores fignificari, ficut Diodorus putat. Inter Oper. August. Tom. 3. de Eccles. Dogmat. p. 261.

to it, That by the Quick and Dead, are signified the Godly and Vugodly.

But the third Interpretation is the

That by the Quick may be understood Souls, and by the Dead Bodies.

The fecond is, That by the Quick and Dead, are meant the good and bad; which Opinion is not condemned, but judged probable both by St. c Auftin and Gennadius Massiliensis; the latter of whom informs us, That d Diodorus Bishop of Tarsus, who flourished about the Year 380, attributed this sense under the Society and David are

most natural and genuine, That by the Quick, are meant those who shall be alive at the coming of our Lord,

and

and by the Dead, those who shall be then void of Life, and must then be raifed to be brought to Judgment; which

Explication is followed by * Augustin, b Gennadius Massiliensis, and others.

a Tom. 3. Enchir. ad Laurenc. c. 53. p. 225.

b Inter Opera August. Tom. 3. De Eccles. Dogmat. p. 261.

So that taking in these three Explications of the Quick and the Dead, the meaning of this Article is no other than this, That all Mankind in Soul and Body, both good and bad, the dead as well as those then living, shall appear at the last Day before the Tribunal of our Lord Jesus Christ, to receive from him according to what they respectively did in the Flesh.

Now as for the occasion of the inferting this Article in the Creed, or the reason or cause of it, I apprehend it to have been two sold; that it was designed to be an Antidote against two sorts of most pestilent Hereticks, viz. the Marcionites and the Guosticks; the former of whom blasphemed the Judge, and the other subverted the Judgment: Against whom it is most pertinently repeated in the Creed, That Jesus Christ himself shall come to judge the World, that is, to dispense Rewards and Punishments to every Man according to his

a Marcion præter Creatorem alium Deum folius bonitatis inducit. Tertull de Prascript. adverf Haret. p. 82. vid. Origen. Di-

alog. 1, 0 2.

6 Cerdon introducit initia duo, id est, duos Deos, unum bonum, & alterum fævum; bonum fuperiorem, fævum hunc, mundi creatorem. Tertull. de prascript. advers. Haret. p. 95. Cerdonianidogmatizant Deum legis ac Prophetarum non esse Patrem Christi, nec bonum Deum effe, sed justum, Patrem verò Christi bonum. Aug. de Heres. c. 21. p. 95.

his Works. As for a Marcion and his Followers, they together with their Master b Cerdon, imagined two Gods; the one an unknown, good, and merciful God, the other a just and severe God, the Maker and Creator of the World; the former, or the good God, they affirmed to be the Fa-

ther of our Lord Jesus

Christ, and that both he and his Son were nothing else but Pity, Grace and Love; that the Son's design in coming into the World, was only to fave those from the severity of the Maker of the World who Should fly unto him; but as for others, though they should be the most flagitious and abominable Sinners, yet he would never condemn or punish them: Which monstrous and horrid Tenet, opens a Floodgate to all Licentiousness and Impiety; for if God be only good, and not also just, who will ever obey his Commandments, and especially such of them as are contrary to Men's fenfual Inclinations and carnal Interests? If there be

no fear of a future Punishment, the Sensualists and Voluptuous are without contradiction the wisest and most prudent Men; and it would be a most unaccountable Folly to mortify the Flesh, to renounce the World, yea, and to deny Interest, Life, and all, to serve and obey that God, who is not at all displeased with any of our disobedient Actions; and being not displeased, will never punish us, though we freely plunge our selves in all sorts of Debaucheries and Impurities: On which account, in a just Detestation of this impious Doctrine, Tertullian elegantly cries out,

Hear this, all ye Sinners, and ye who are not so yet, that ye may be so: Such a kind God is found, who is neither offended nor angry, nor revengeth, who hath no Fire burning in Hell, nor gnashing of Teeth in utter Darkness; he is alto-

a Audite peccatores, quique nondum hoc estis, ut esse possitis; Deus melior inventus est, qui nec ossenditur, nec irascitur, nec ulciscitur, cui nullus ignis coquitur in gehenna, cui nullus dentium frendor horret in exterioribus tenebris, bonus tantum est; denique prohibet delinquere, sed literis solis: in vobis est, si velitis illi obsequium subsignare,—timorem enim non vult. Advers. Marcion. lib. 1. p. 145.

gether good; he prohibits Sin in words only; it is at your pleafure, whether you will obey him or no; for, he doth not defire to be feared by you.

wherefore, in contradiction to this destructive Tenet of all Religion and U Piety,

Piety, the Rule of Faith declares, That God is not only good, but that he is also just; that he is a Judge as well as a Saviour; that he and his Son are Justice and Equity, as well as Grace and Mercy; that Christ Jesus did not only die and rise again for the good and weal of Mankind, but that he will also come to judge the Quick and the Dead, to examine into all Men's Carriages and Behaviours, and to reward them fuitably thereunto; not only to give *Rom. ii. 8,9. * Glory and Honour, Immortality and

eternal Life to the Penitent and Believing, but also to render Indignation and Wrath, Tribulation and Anguish to every Soul of Man that doth evil.

Now that this was the reason for which the Name or Person of the supreme or final Judge is mentioned in the Creed, feems very probable from the frequent use which the Fathers make of this Argument of Christ's coming to judge the World, to prove, that God is just and righteous as well as kind and good, as may be feen in the Writings of bTertullian, cIrenaus, and others: Marcion lib.4. And it appears to be more evident from the third Book of Irenaus, which is chiefly levelled against the Marcionites; in the beginning whereof, after an ac-

b Advers. p. 221. E Lib 4. c. 78. P. 313.

count

count given of Polycarp's reception of Marcion at Smyrna, calling him the First-born of Satan, and of the care taken by the Apostles and Apostolick Men, to preserve the Faith of the Gospel pure and entire from all manner of Heresies whatsoever, he proceeds to repeat the Catholick Creed, wherein this Article is expressed with this circumso-

cution, That he shall come to be the Saviour of those who are saved, and to be the Judge of those who are judged, sending in-

a Venturus salvator, eorum qui salvantur, & judex eorum qui judicantur, & mittens in ignem æternum transsiguratores veritatis, & contemptores Patris sui & adventús ejus. Lib. 3. c.4. p. 172.

to eternal Fire the corrupters of the Truth, and the despisers of his Father and of his Coming; which, on the same account is mentioned by Tertullian in terms not much unlike, viz.

That be shall come in brightness to receive the Saints into the Fruit of eternal Life, and to adjudge

b Venturum cum claritate ad fumendos fanctos in vitæ æternæ, — fructum, & ad prophanos judicandos igni perpetuo. De Prafeript. advers. Haret. p. 73.

the Prophane to everlasting Fire; in which two Creeds, the Actions of the Judge being so emphatically enumerated, after the confutation and recital of the Heresy of the Marcionites, that he is not only the Saviour of the Godly, but also the Condemner and Punisher

 U_2

of the Wicked, it is not unreasonable to conclude, that the Person of the Judge was inserted in the Creed against the faid Hereticks; by which, in contradiction to them, it is declared, That God is not only good, but also just; that as he will render a reward of Happiness and Bliss unto the Righteous, so Theff. i. he will also a come from Heaven flaming Fire, to take Vengeance on them, who know not God, nor obey his

7, 8.

Gospel.

But, as there is remarkable in this Article the Person spoken of, viz. the Lord Jesus Christ, he shall come; so there is also observable, that which is predicated concerning him, which is, that he shall judge both the Quick and the Dead; by which is signified, that he shall at the last Day, examine into all Mens Carriage and Behaviour, and render them a just Reward suitable to their Deeds; that unto the Holy he shall give Life everlasting, but on the Wicked he shall pour his Wrath and Vengeance, every one receiving according to his Actions in this Life, as they were good or evil; which supposeth that Mankind, the subject of this Judgment, was in this Life a voluntary and spontaneous Creature, not forced by any fupefuperiour Agent, but freely determining himself to all his Actions and Operations: For Judgment implieth a Freedom and Liberty in the Person judged, as *Justin Martyr* writes, That although the *Christians* believed that the holy Prophets foretold future Events, yet

they did not a thereby establish a fatal necessity, or a forcible predetermination to future Actions, but altogether disowned and rejected it; it being contrary to that fundamental Truth taught

³ Όπως ή μή τινες ον τ περλελειμόμων υφ' ήμων, δοξάτωτι καθ είμας μήμης ανάΓκλω φάτκειν ήμας τὰ γινόμυμα χυέοζ, — τῆτο διαλύομο. Τὰς τιμως είας κὸ τὰς κολάτεις & τὰς αίαθὰς ἀμοιδὰς, κατ ἀξίαν τ περάζεων ἐκάς κ λποδίδολ διὰ τ περλημόν μαθονίες, κὰ ἀληθες λποφανόμεθα. Αροίος. 2, p. 80.

them by the Prophets, that there should be Punishments and Rewards rendered to every Man according to the Merits of his Works; where he evidently declares, that in the Opinion of that Age, a righteous Judgment, as our Lord's will be, did necessarily imply a liberty and freedom of every Action that should be judged: For, as the said Father continues farther to write in the same place,

b If it be determined by Fate, that this Man shall be good, and the other wicked, then neither is the one to be

 b Ei ηδ είμας η τόνδε τινα άγαθόν είναι, κὸ τόνδε φαϊλον, ἔθ ἔτ⊛λόπδεκ]ὸς, ἐδὲ ἐκείν≫-, μεμπ]έΦε, Ibid. p. 80.

a Où 28 6 00 ER 70 axx 010 6 δρα η τεγράποδα, μηδεν διωάμψα क्षान्त्र किल्लानिसा, हमार्गान्स वे छ होत्र τ άνθεωπον, εδε ηδ ην άξι αμοιδές η έπαίνε, εκ άφ' έσωτε έλομης το αίαθον, αλλά τάτο γρομίο, έξ εί κακὸς ὑωῖεχε, δικαίως κολάσεως ἐτύΓχανεν, ἐχ ἀφ΄ ἐαυτᾶ τοιᾶτΟ ὼν. 16id. p. 81.

commended, nor the other to be blamed: But, faith he, God bath not created Man as Trees and Four footed Beafts, who do nothing by choice and discretion; neither would Man de-

serve a Reward or Praise, if he were made good, and did not of himself choose the good; neither if he were wicked, could be be justly punished, except he voluntarily made him-

felf so.

And as the word Judging supposeth the liberty of the Person judged, so it also implies a Rule by which the Judgment is to be made, which the Scripture affirms, is to be according to eve-6 Matth xxv. ry Man's Deeds, that b they who have done wickedly, shall be adjudged unto everlasting Punishment, but the Righteous unto Life eternal: So that when this Article is repeated, it is thereby declared, That Man being a free and voluntary Agent, acting without constraint or force, shall at the general Judgmentday receive a Sentence from Jesus Christ, either of Bliss or Woe, suitable to the Works which he did here on Earth, whether of Piety or Wickedness, Obedience

46.

dience or Disobedience. But now both these necessary Truths, the liberty of Man, and his being judged according to his Works, were denied by the Valentinians, Basilidians, and others, comprised under the general Name of Gnosticks; as I shall in the next place endeavour to shew, beginning first with the Valentinians, who were the chiefest Sect amongst them; whose System concerning the nature and state of Man is as

follows. ^a They maintained that there were three kinds of Men, Spiritual, Earthly, and Animal, whom they exemplified in Cain, Abel, and Seth; of which, the earthly fort would be necessarily reduced to Corruption; the Animal should ascend

ΤΑνθεώπων ή τελω χύη ύφις αν ή, αν όμωσικόν, χοϊκόν, ψυχικόν, καθώς ἐρξύοιλο Καΐι, "Αδελ, Σήθ, —
το με χοϊκόν, ἐὰν τὰ βελλίονα ἐλη ή,
κὰ τις τὰ μεσότης τόπω ἀναπαυεως, ἐὰν ή τὰ χάρω, χωρήσεν τὸ
αυτό ποὸς τὰ δμοια, τὰ ή πνόματικὰ, — ψυχών αὐτῶν, — κα μεσότηλι — ἀναπαυτορήων. Iren. lib. I.
C. I. P. 2. : § . 14.

nimal should ascend to a place of Rest if it did well, but should be reduced to the same Fate with the earthly, if it did ill; and the Spiritual

Should certainly be saved: Those who were earthly or material, though they lived never so good Lives, yet

b Tò หี บังเฉอง, หลา ล่าล์โนใน อักร์งัก ภาชีว ภัยโยกเท, ล้าย หะอิยุนเล่น อักรอิสรู้ส ลอรี สงอใน ล้อยิลอุกเลร อันบล์หุมอง. Ibid. p. 20.

should necessarily perish, because they were not capable to receive any Breath

4 0)

of Incorruptibility; that is, they were not capable to receive any of the spiritual Seed of Achamoth, which they affirmed to be the alone cause of Salvation, whereof I have already spoken under the Article of Maker of Heaven and Earth, unto which I refer the Rea-

Τὸ ἢ Ψυχικὸν, — ἄτε μέτον ὃν
 τε πνουμα]ικὰ Ͼ ὑλικᾶ ἀμᾶσε χωςᾶν ὅπε ἀν κὰ τὰ πρόστκλιτιν ποιν-ση). Id. Ib. p. 20.

der. The Animal, who were the middle fort between the earthly and the spiritual, should have their Portion ac-

cording to their Inclinations and Actions; if they did ill, they should have the same condemnation with the

material; but if they did well, b their Souls should be hereafter received into the middle place, as they called it,

Τὰς ἢ Ϝ δικαίων ψυχὰς ἀναπαύσεοζ κὰ αὐτὰς ἐν τῶ Ϝ μεσότη τόποι, μηθὲν ρῶ ψυχικὸν ἐντὸς πληςώμω] Ὁ χως είν. Id. Ibid. p. 22.

which they placed between the imaginary Seventh Heaven and their Plenitude, and there remain in rest and quiet; from whence they should never pass into the Plenitude, because no ani-

΄ Ημάς ψυχικές ὀνομάζετε
 ΄ ἀναΓκαὶαν ἡμῖν τὰ ἐΓκραίτειαν κὰ ἀγαθωὶ πράζεις, ΄ ἐνα δὶ αὐτῆς ἔλθωμλρε
 ἐς τὰ τὰ μεσότη ⑤ς τίπον. Id. Ibid.
 p. 22.

mal Being can be admitted there. This animal fort of Men, they affirmed to be the Orthodox Christians; for whom, Acts of Pie-

ty and Virtue were absolutely necessary, that by them they might arrive unto the middle place, or the Haven of

their Joy and Happiness: Which animal Kind was again thus subdivided by them; some they said were evil, and others good by Nature; of which the one remained good, being capable to receive the Spiritual Seed, and the other continued evil, being incapable so to do: b The Nature of Nature, as Tertullian expresseth it, being esteemed by them to be immutable and irreformable.

c The Spiritual kind of Men, were they themselves who had received the spiritual Seed from Achamoth, by which alone they affirmed, they should be saved, and not by their good Works and Actions; dupon which account they exceeded in Pride and Ar-

² Καὶ αὐτὰς με τὰς ψυχικὰς στάλιν δπιμερίζον ες λέβσιν, ας μ Piod alabas, as 3 Quod worneas, κή τας με άδαθας ταυτας είναι, τας δεκλικάς & στέρμαλΟ γινομβρας, τας 3 φύσς ωρνηρώς μηθέπολε αν έπιδέξαος ενώνο το απέςμα. Id. Ib. p. 24.

b Immutabilem enim & inreformabilem naturæ naturam pronunciaverunt. Advers. Valentin. lib. p. 304.

c Αύτες ή μη δια πράξεως αλλά δια το φύσο συδιματικές είναι σάντη τε & σάνλως ζωθήσεος δογμαλίζεσιν. Iren. lib. 1. c. 1. p. 20.

d 'Earle's है ज्याहण्ये हैंगा महत्रसंबद λπκαλενίες κ σεςμαία οκλογής. Id. Ibid. p. 22.

rogance,

rogance, calling themselves perfect, and the Seeds of Election; afferting, that they should be saved by virtue of their spiritual Nature alone: On which

Nec operationes necessarias fibi existimant. Tertul. advers. Valentin. p. 305.

b "Ως γ το χοϊκον άδιωαĵον σω τηρίας μεταχείν, — έτως ωάλιν το พงชบแลให้งง ริยังชรเง อ่ ฉบังอ่ ย้งผ άδωναζον Φθοράν καλαδέξααζ, κάν οποίαις (υ[κα]αςζίων] πράξ. τιν . όν η τρόπου χευτός όν βοςξοξώ κα]ατεθείς εκ δοπδάλλός τ΄ καλλονίω αυτέ, άλλα τ ίδιαν Φύσιν διαφυλάτηα, Έ βος δός ε μηδέν άδικησαι δυναμθρε τ χευσον, έτω ή κρα αύτες λέξεσι,κών ον οποίαις ύλικαις ωράξεσι καλαγίων), under outes a pashanleat, un j din-อีล่มมะเท รั พาธบนสาเมนา ของรนรเท. διο δη κ, τα άπειξημθρα πάνδα άδεως οί τελειόταζοι ωράτζετιν αύτῶν, τως केंग वां प्रवादियां विविधिष्टियाहिंगी, महेद ποιδίλας αύτα βασιλέταν Θέδ μή rynogramicer. if ig eignyour graφόρως έθίετι, μη ή μολύνεδη τω autar nysporos, &c. Iren. advers. Haref. lib. 1. c. 1. p. 20. §. 11, 12. account, a they esteemed good Works unnecessary for them, maintaining, that neither good Actions could profit them, nor evil Actions injure them; that b as the material Nature, though never so good in its Actions, could not be saved, so their spiritual Nature, though defiled with never so many Enormities, should never see Corruption; for as a piece of Gold, which is buried in the Dirt and Mire, loses not its Beauty, but retains its Nature that cannot be injured thereby, so in the like manner they

affirmed, that they could not be harmed by any impious Practices what soever, or lose their spiritual Seed thereby; from whence the most perfect amongst them fearlessy perpetrated those Sins

of

of which the Scriptures testify, that they which do them shall never enter into the Kingdom of God, eating indifferently things offered to Idols, not holding themselves to be desiled thereby, committing without any scruple or horrour all manner of most execrable Impieties, Incests and Villanies, most

impudently avouching, That a they were not Saved by good Works, but by their spiritual Seed; which spiritual Seed being all perfected, their Mother Achamoth shall leave the middle place wherein She hath hitherto lived, between the Seventh Heaven and the Plenitude, wherein the Eons dwell, and enter into the Plenitude itself, and be joined or copu-

2 ဝပ် ကို အစ္တိန္ဂိုန္ မေန ဆည်ကိုစွယုံ မေတάγο, άλλα το απέρμα, - όταν 5 ωάν το απέρμα τελειωθή, τ μ'Αχαμωθ τ μηθέρα αυτών μεθαθήναι & 2 μεσότη कि तंत्र A र्हिडा, में chros สภายผมสาดา ยางองย์ยัง, หา อากาลย์ยัง T vumpior autis T Calinga, T in ωάν ουν γείονος, - τες 3 πνουματικές, - άκραθήτως κ άρράτως έντος πληγώμα] Ο είσελθόν ως, νύμφας δποdolineray rois wer & owlings alvéλοις. - Τας τ δικαίων ψυχάς, - cv דע ז ענדסדון די די די די דצומי ב γρομβρων έτως το έμφωλεύον τις κόσμω σύρ οπλάμψαν κ) έξαθθέν, κ หลายอุโมอล์เมียงง, พลัสลง ยังไม อเมลναλωθήσεος αὐτῆ κ είς το μήκετ ยึงม xwensen อเอลระยงเ. Id. Ibid. p. 22. §. 12, 13.

lated with the Saviour, who was made by all the Eons; after which the spiritual shall in an incomprehensible and invisible manner, enter within the Plenitude also, to be given for Spouses to the Angels that are about the Saviour; and those of the animal who did well, shall go into the middle Space: Space: Which things being once transacted, a Fire which now lies hid in the World, shall kindle and break out, and with its self consume all that is material, so that it shall be no more.

But as the Valentinians, fo the Bafilidians also, who were another Sect of the Gnosticks; embraced in a great meafure the very same Opinions, introdu-

Πάσα η ή τ ωίς εως ε ἀπιςίως ὶδιότης, — ωροη εμθρίω εχετα τ ἀπ ε τὰ ωάνηα δωαι ε φυσικιώ ἀνάκιω γλοοβύω. Clem. Alexand. Stromat. lib. 2. p. 265.

Φυσικίω ήγεν τ πίσεν οἱ άμ Φὶ τ Βασιλείδω, — φύσεως πλεονέκ-

Thux. Id. Ibid.

cing a precedent natural necessity to all Mens Actions, whether of Faith or Unbelief, affirming, That b Faith was a natural Gift, or, the prerogative of Nature; to which they

were forcibly predetermined or premoved, and should be thereby faved, although their Lives were never so irregular and debauch'd: Upon which account, it is no wonder that in a conformity to these Principles, they openly indulged themselves in all manner of Wickedness,

Contemnere autem & idolothyta & nihil arbitrari, fed fine aliqua trepidatione uti eis. Habere autem & reliquarum operationum ufum indifferentem & universæ libidinis. Iren, lib. 1, c. 23. p. 78.

c eating without any fear or scruple things offered to Idols, and freely committing all other Acts of Villany and Uncleanness, esteeming all Actions to be indif-

indifferent; that no good work could advantage, or bad work prejudice them,

feeing they a were by nature Believers and elected, and b had a liberty to be wicked by reason of their perfection; and although they did sin, yet they should necessarily be saved by

^a Trids Obod πισειβ Δαλεπθείδιες, ώς Βασιλείδης νομίζα. Clem. Alex. Strom. lib. 5. p. 398.

b Bนราเคยโลขั้นที่ ผู้ ห้างเ อินต์ที่ผา อินัยต่อง 6 ซี อันนุยาลึง อีเน้ ซี รอกสอ รทุโน, ที่ พย่งในธุ ๆ อ (พิธีทธอนุนิกันง อุบัตร์, หลุงงนที่ นั้นผู้ยาพรายโลน ซี อันอุบางง อันกอ-วู่ไม่. Idem Ibid. lib. 3. p. 312.

necessarily be saved by virtue of their

natural Election.

Not much unlike whereto were the horrid Tenets of the Carpocratians, another subdivision of the Gnosticks, who

blasphemously imagined conly Faith and Charity to be necessary to Salvation; that all other things were indifferent; that according to the opinion of

c Per fidem & charitatem falvari, reliqua verò indifferentia cùm fint, secundum opinionem hominum quædam quidem bona, quædam autem mala vocari, cùm nihil natura malum sit. Iren. lib. 1. c. 24. p. 81.

ing to the opinion of
Men, some things were called good,
and others bad, when in reality there
is by Nature nothing evil: From
whence, together with the former Hereticks, they plunged themselves in all Licentiousness and Debauchery; it being
related concerning them by d Clemens d strom. 1. 3.
Alexandrinus, That after their natural p. 314.
inclinations to Lust, were excited through
the delicacy and abundance of Meats at

the delicacy and abundance of Meats at their Suppers or Love Feasts, they extinguished

tinguished their Lights, and both Men and Women promiscuously joined and perpetrated the most filthy and obscenest Villanies

But, what need I mention any more of the particular Sects of these mon-strous Gnosticks, seeing, though each Division was signalized by some peculiar Notion, yet they generally combined in the forementioned Heresies and Abominations, taking the first occasion thereof from their grand Patriarch and Master

² Quippe quein adferit talem hominum manibus ipfam fuis creare naturam, quæ proprio quondam motu, & necessare cujusdam voluntatis impulsu nihil aliud possit, nihil aliud velit, nisi peccare. Vincent. Lirinens. Commonit. c. 34. p 110.

b Hi qui in eum & in Selenen ejus spem habeant, & ut liberos agere quæ velint: secundum enim ipsius gratiam salvari homines, sed non secundum operas justas. Iren. lib. 1. c. 20. p. 76.

Simon Magus, who affirmed, That God so created the Nature of Man, as that by its proper Motion and necessary Impulse, it neither could, nor would do any thing else but sin; b that those who believed in him, and in his Whore Selene, might live as they list, seeing Salvation was to

be obtained according to his Grace, and not according to his good Works.

So that the whole swarm of Gnosticks, or at least the greatest part of them, united in these two dangerous Tenets, That Man was fatally necessitated and predetermined to all his Actions whether

good

good or bad, and that he should not be judged at the last Day according to his Works, but be disposed of according to his spiritual Seed, Election, or solitary Faith, which was naturally conferred upon him, and was not attainable by any endeavour or industry of his own. Now, what more monstrous and abominable can be expressed or imagined? By the first of these Opinions, God is made the Author of Sin, represented as an unkind, cruel, and an unjust Being, punishing and tormenting his Creatures for that Nature which he irrefistibly forced on them; the Nerves and Sinews of all human Industry and Diligence are cut and broken, the nature of Rewards and Punishments is entirely taken away; and many other Blasphemies are the natural confequences of so wild an Opinion. By the second, the necessity of an holy Life is taken away, Licentiousness and Impiety are introduced, all manner of Wickedness is patronized and encouraged.

Wherefore, in contradiction to these Notions, that all true Christians might be confirmed and settled in contrary Principles thereunto, the Fathers of the Primitive Church inserted in the Rule of Faith, That Christ shall come to

judge

judge both the Quick and the Dead; thereby declaring the Liberty of Man in all his Actions, and that the final difposal of every Man shall be according to the Works which he hath done in the Flesh.

Now that a Declaration of the Freedom of Mens Actions was designed hereby, will be most evident from this consideration, viz. that in some of the ancient Creeds the word Autegrass, or, that Man hath a Power over himself, was part of this Article; as in a Creed of Origen's; one of the Fundamental

καὶ ὅτι αὐτεζόσιοι ὅνῖες xο-λαζόμεθα μι ἐφ΄ οἶς ἀμαςθάνομμη, τιμώμεθα ἢ ἐφ΄ οἶς ἀὖ πράτθομμο.
 Com. in Johan. Tom. 32. γ. 397.
 Vol. 2.

Truths affented to is, That a we being auto 28's or, or, having the command over our felves, shall be punished for

what we do ill, and be rewarded for what we do well: And in another of his, translated by Ruffinus, it is declared to be the Ecclesiastical Doctrine re-

b Animam esse rationabilem, liberi arbitrii & voluntatis, — unde & consequens est intelligere non necessitati esse subjectos, ut omni modo etiamsi nolimus, vel bona vel mala agere cogamur. In lib. Sei dexão inter Oper. Hieron. Tom. 9. p. 156.

ceived from the Apofiles, That bevery Soul is rational, of a Freewill and Determination; from whence it follows, that we are not subject to Necessity, and compelled against

gainst our Will do either good or evil: And in his Dialogues, where Entropius, the Moderator of the Dispute between an orthodox Christian and his feveral heretical Opponents, Gnofticks; and others; sums up the Christian Faith; he repeats this as one Article thereof, * That God shall judge all men justly ac- a tog of old & cording to the Freedom of their Wills, which analys or, the Power that they have over them- is xelow agent felves. In all which Creeds, the infert- Lindidere?). ing of the word Αὐτεξέσι in this Article, or that Man bath a command over himself, doth most clearly convince us, that the forementioned Herely of the Gnosticks, was designedly levelled ar, and condemned thereby.

And, even in those other Creeds wherein that word was not expressed; the same sense was always supposed and couched under the word Judge; for the Fathers apprehended it an impossible thing, that there should be a true Judgment where a Man was irrefistibly necessitated to all his Actions: For, as Clemens Alexandrinus writes against the Basilidians, b If Faith be the preroga- pieces when tive of Nature, then there can be no vinlinua, soe

Dial.5. p.160.

amoising dixai-

as नर्शहरी वंग्वांनाकि वंग, वे धने काइडानवड से हम वानाकि वे काइडानवड क्वंडव है में में कांडरकर में वेमाडांवर विविष्ण, में वेवक्वित्र्विषठ, अर रेमवांग्क अरह μι ψόζω των πέσοι ἀν, όςθῶς λοΓιζομθύοις, περηθεμθίλω έχετα τ ἐκ Ε τὰ πάνζα δωμάζε φυσικλώ ἀναίκλω χλιομβίλω. Strom. lib. 2. p. 264.

just retribution, neither to him that believeth not, because it is not his fault, neither to him that believeth, because he is not the cause thereof; and the property and difference of Faith and Unbelief being under a precedent natural necessity from the Almighty, cannot be either commended or blamed by all due considerers: Wherefore they did with good reason apprehend, that a profession of our Saviour's coming to judge the World, was a sufficient declaration of the Freedom of Man, who is to be the Person judged; as in the forementioned Passage of a Justin Martyr the faid Father writes, That the Christians did not believe a Fatal Necessity, or a forcible Predetermination to their future Actions, but altogether disowned and rejected it, because it was contrary to that fundamental Truth taught them by the Prophets, that there should be Punishments and Rewards rendered to every Man according to the Merits of his Works: Where he concludes, that the very notion of Judgment did necessarily suppose the freedom and liberty of those Actions for which the Person shall be judged. Upon which account, St. Austin, the great Assertor of the Grace of God, and of the necesfity of its concurrence in the Conversion

a Apolog. 2. p. 80.

of a Sinner, doth from this Argument contend for the liberty of Man, as well as from others he pleads for the efficacy of God's Grace: An instance whereof is in a Letter of his to one Valentinus, the Head probably of a Monastery, wherein some over zealous and imprudent oppolers of Pelagius, had advanced the Power of divine Grace, to that height, as to take away all Freedom from Man, which he condemns as dangerous and erroneous; and directs to the middle way as the fafest, wherein the Grace of God is so afferted, as that the liberty of Man is not denied; and the liberty of Man is so maintained, as that the Grace of God is not diminished: There are come, faith he, unto us from from your Congregation, two young Men, Cresconius and Felix, who report, that Quildam in your Monastery is divided; that a some vobis sic gra-

so preach up Grace, as to deny Man to dan prædi-

gent hominis esse liberum arbitrium; & quod est gravius dicunt, quod in die judicii non sit vel redditurus Deus unicuique secundum opera ejus; etiam hoc tamen indicaverunt, quod plures vestrum non ita sentiant, sed liberum arbitrium adjuvari fateantur per Dei gratiam; ut recta faciamus atque sapiamus, ut cum venerit Dominus reddere unicuique secundum opera ejus inveniat opera nostra bona, quæ præparavit Deus in illis ambulemus. Hoc qui sentiunt benè fentiunt, - primo enim, - Christus non venit ut judicaret mundum, sed ut salvaretur mundus per ipsum; postea verò judicabit Deus mundum quando venturus est, sicut tota Ecclessa in Symbolo confitetur, judicare vivos & mortuos: si igitur non est Dei gratia quemodo falvat mundum? Et si non est liberum arbitrium, quomodo judicat mundum? Tom. 2. Epift. 45. p. 179.

have

to have a Freewill; and which is worfer that at the Day of Judgment, God will not render to every Man according to his Works; but that others difagreeing from them, confess, that our Free-will is helped by the Grace of God, to think and do those things which are right, that when the Lord shall come to render unto every. Man according to his Works, he may find our Works good which God hath prepared, that we may walk in them; those who think so, think well: Wherefore, as the Apostle did the Corinthians, so I beseech you, Brethren, by the Name of the Lord Jesus Christ, that ye all say the same thing, and that there be not Schisms amongst you, for our Lord Jefus Christ came not first to judge the World, but that the World through him might be faved; but hereafter he shall judge the World, when he shall come, as the whole Church professes in the Creed, to judge both the Quick and the Dead. If therefore, there be not God's Grace, how can he save the World? And, if there be not Freewill, how can he judge the World? Where he appeals, as it were to the common fense of all Mankind, that there could not be a proper and righteous Judgment, as to be fure our Lord's will be, be, except the Party judged were free and voluntary in all his Actions. From all which, it doth evidently enough appear, that by this Article, the compilers of the Creed designed to obviate that part of the Herely of the Gnosticks, which introduced a fatal Necessity, and

denied the Liberty of Man.

But, as this part of the Gnosticks Herefy which regarded the Liberty of Man, fo also that other part which denied the future Judgment to be according to Mens Works, was warded against by this Article, that Christ shall come to judge both the Quick and the Dead. These Hereticks, as it hath been already related, affirmed, That Men should not be tried and rewarded at the last Day, according to their Works and Actions; that it was no odds whether they lived virtuously or wickedly, but that according to their spiritual Seed, Election, Predetermination, and the like, they should be either condemned or absolved: Wherefore, as an Antidote to prevent the infection of fo pernicious a Tenet, it was inferted in the Creed, That Christ shall come to judge the World, that is, to render unto all Men Rewards suitable to their Deeds; which is most undeniably evident from that, whilst the Herely of the Gnosticks raged and infested Church; the Rulers thereof, to prevent any equivocal Evasions, expressed this Article with such a Periphrasis and Circumlocution, as the Gnosticks could never affent to without a direct and formal renunciation of their horrid Notions, and by which the whole World might apparently fee, that their Herefy was intentionally aimed at thereby; Examples of which are in the two Creeds of Irenaus, the great Scourge and Confuter of those Hereticks. In the first whereof, which is immediately fubjoined to his large account of that Herefy, as a Preservative there-against, this Article is thus expressed, That he

* Κρίστη δικράκη ου τοῖς στάσι σειήση) τὰ με πνουμαλικά τ πουείας, τὸ ἀθγόλης της Επιδεδημετας τὸ ου διπευρίω της το ανόμες το τος βλασθέμες τ ἀθγώπων είς τὸ αιώνιου πέρ στιντή τοῖς 5 δικαίοις τὸ οπίοις κ. τω ουγολας αὐτό τρηγονόσι, — ζωλώ καριζάμψω, ἀρθαρσίων δωρύτη), κὸδοξάν αιώνιου πεκποιήση. Lib. 1. 0.2. p. 36. shall come from Heaven, * to render a righteous Judgment unto all; that be shall send into everlasting Fire evil Spirits, and the Angels which are fallen and apostatized, and all impious, unrighteous, ungodly and

blasphemous Men; but that on the righteous, holy, and obedient observers of his Commandments, he shall confer Life, Immortality and everlasting Glory: And in his second, That

be

" he shall come in Glory to be the Saviour of those who are saved, and the Judge of those who are judged, sending into everlasting Fire the corrupters of his

Truth, and the despisers of his Father and his Coming. Not much unlike to which, we have another instance in a Creed of Tertullian's, wherein this Article is

p. 172.

thus worded, That Christ hall come in splendour to receive the Saints into the fruit of eternal Life, and the heavenly Promises,

b Venturum cum claritate ad fumendos fanctos in vitæ æternæ & promissorum cælestium fructum, & ad profanos judicandos igni perpetuo. De Prascript. advers. Har. p. 73.

a In gloria venturus salvator

eorum qui salvantur, & judex

eorum qui judicantur, & mittens

in ignem æternum transfiguratores veritatis, & contemptores Patris

fui, & adventûs ejus. Lib. 3. c. 4.

and to adjudge the prophane to everlasting Fire. But afterwards, when the Hereiy of the Gnosticks decreased, and their Numbers lessened, or were altogether extinct, it is probable, that the Governours of the Church not willing to enlarge their short Confession of Faith beyond what was absolutely necessary, omitted this Circumlocution, and contented themselves with a bare Declaration, That Christ shall come to judge the World, seeing unto all sensible and unprejudiced Persons, that is a sufficient and manifest Acknowledgment, that e-

X 4 very

A CRITICAL HISTORY of

312

yery Man shall at that Day be suitably rewarded according to his Actions here.

To conclude therefore with this Article; from what hath been written, it doth appear, that by the repetition thereof, it was defigned that we should yield our assent to this Proposition, That Jesus Christ, the Son of the true and only God, shall at the end of the World descend from Heaven, to render a righteous Judgment unto all Mankind, either of Absolution or Condemnation, according to their Works and Actions, which in this Life they freely and voluntarily acted and committed.



CHAP. VI.

I believe in the Holy Ghost. Why the word Believe is again repeated. This Article was always part of the Creed. Why so little is said of the Holy Ghost, when so much is said of the Father, and of the Son. His Divinity intended by the Creed's requiring us to believe in him; whereas, we are only simply required to believe the ensuing Articles; as in particular the Holy Catholich Church, which is next of all considered. In the Greek, wherein they are followed by the Modern French and Dutch, the word Believe is again repeated before the Article of the Church; which, for different Ends, was variously placed in the Primitive Creeds. Its usual Order after the Holy Ghost. This Article first mentioned by Tertullian. The most ancient Creeds read only the Holy Church. The term Catholick being added by the Greeks to be an explication or determination thereof. By the Church, is to

be understood the universal One, which is affirmed to be One, Holy and Catholick. That the Unity of the Church was here intended, appears from that the Greek Creeds read in one Holy Catholick Church. The Church Universal is to be considered as One, either as to Faith or Charity; in which sense it was, for several reasons which are mentioned, inserted in the Creed in opposition to Hereticks and Schismaticks. The Church termed Holy from the Purity and Holiness of her Doctrine, which is assented to thereby. The Affection Catholick, which signifies Universal, not always in the Creed; first introduced by the Greeks, to prevent too narrow and limited Conceptions of the Church. A brief repetition of what is assented to, when we repeat this Article, the Holy Catholick Church. Whereunto is added as an Appendix, the Communion of Saints; which was introduced about St. Austin's time, in opposition to the Donatists. By Saints, are to be understood particular Churches and the Members thereof. For what reason they were called Saints.

By Communion, is signified the mutual Society and Fellowship of particular Churches and their Members. Various Methods used by the Ancients to maintain their Communion. The Donatists refused Communion with other Churches; and for that Schism, were justly condemned and rejected by them. In opposition unto whom, this Article may be considered either as a Mark to know a true particular Church by, that she is one that is acknowledged so to be by other Churches, or rather as the Quality, Property and Practice of such an one to hold Communion with other particular Churches: In which sense it is also added as an Explanation of the Holy Catholick Church, and was intended to declare, that there ought to be a due Communion and Fellowship between the particular Churches and Members of the Catholick and Universal One.

THE Faith of an orthodox Christian, respecting the Father and the Son, having been already declared,

Jungitur confessioni nostræ ad perficiendam sidem, quæ nobis de Deo est, Spiritus Sanctus. Tom. 3. de Fid. & Symbol. p. 190.

That our Belief, as Augustin writes, might be perfected concerning God; the Creed proceeds to add, that we

where the word Believe is again repeated to relieve our Memories, after that so many Particulars concerning the Son had intervened.

This Article hath been always part of the Creed, and is coeval with Christianity and the Administration of Baptism, as appears from the very Form of Baptism, the ground and foundation of the Creed, which is not only to be solemnized in the Name of the Father, and of the Son, but also of the Holy Ghost, where the Holy Ghost is joined with the Father and the Son: From

ex ipsa cogetur veritate will not from hence conclude the equafuscipere in-lity of the sacred Trinity, seeing there differentiam

fanctæ Trinitatis, dum una sit sides in Patre & Filio, & Spiritu Sancto, & lavacrum detur atque sirmetur in nomine Patris & Filii & Spiritûs Sancti; non arbitror quemquam tam vecordem atque infanum suturum ut persectum Baptisma putet, quod datur in nomine Patris & Filii, sine assumptione Spiritûs Sancti. De Spiritu Sancto, lib. 2. inter Oper. Hieron. Tom. 6. p. 224.

3 In Actibus Apostolorum qui

Joannis baptismate fuerint bapti-

zati, & credebant in Deum Patrem & Christum, quia Spiritum

Sanctum nesciebant, iterum bap-

tizantur, imò tunc verum accipi-

unt Baptisma; absque enim Spiritu Sancto impersectum est my-

sterium Trinitatis. Tom. 3. ad He-

dib. Epift. 150. Quaft. 9. p. 420.

is but one Faith in the Father, Son, and Holy Ghost? And Baptism is given in the Names of all three. I do not think that any one will be so foolish or mad, as to imagine that Baptism to be perfect, which is given in the Name of the Father and of the Son, without the addition of the Holy Ghost. Consonant unto which, is that observation of St. Jerom, That those Persons mentioned in the Nineteenth of

the * AEts, who were baptized with John's Baptism, and believed in God the Father, and Christ Jesus, because they knew not the Holy Ghost, were again baptized, yea then received the true Baptism.

tism; for, without the Holy Ghost, the Mystery of the Trinity is imperfect.

It may perhaps feem strange to some, that when there is so much declared in the Creed relating to the Father and the Son, so little should be said concerning the Holy Ghost. But, for this there is a sufficient and manifest reason, which is, That there was not so great a Controversy in the Primitive Church concerning the Divinity and Person of the Holy

Holy Ghost; for, though the Gnosticks and some others blasphemed him, yet their Assaults were more surious and violent against the Father and the Son, which obliged the Church to use her greatest care for the preservation of that part, which was most exposed and attack'd.

This Observation was made long ago against the Macedonians, or Pneumatomachists, the first Hereticks, who owning the Divinity of the Son, directly attacked that of the Holy Ghost alone; of whom Epiphanius writes, That they

Holy

εκθμά] δίςηβήσον] κὰ ἀπ' αὐτῆς ἐλε[χόμθροι, ἐ γέ[ονε ἢ τότε τολ Ε΄ πνόμα] ἡ ζήτησις, περς γδ τὸ ὑποπίπ]ον ον κακςῷ Ͼ κακςῷ, αὶ σωνοδοὶ Τ΄ ἀσφάλειαν ποικῖ), ἐπεὶ ἐν ὁ ἸΑςει εἰς τὰ ὑρο Τ΄ δυσφημίαν ἀπε]είνε]ο, τετει ἐν κακ μῷ πὸκοσῆς διαλογῆς ἀκεί εἰς τὰ ἀνο το γεγθήν), ὁρο ἢ ἀπ΄ αὐτῆς τὸ ὁμολογίας, ὅτι ἔτε ον τέτω δίςηθήσον] τὶ λέ[ον]ες οὶ εἰς τὸ πνεῦκα βλασφημέν]ες, — δίθὸς γδ ἡ ἔκθεσις ὁμολογεῖ, κὰ ἐκ ἀξνεῖ], πις διομβρ γδ εἰς ἐνα Δεὸν πα]έρα παν]οκράτορα, τὸ ἢ πις δίο μὰ ἀχεῖ], πις διαλος εἰς τὸ ἀκλοὶ ἡ πίςις εἰς τὸ Θεὸν, κὰ εἰς τὸ ἀξιον Ἰποδη Χεις τὸν, ἐχ ἀπλος εἰς τὸ ἀλλοὶ εἰς Θεὸν ἡ πίςις, κὰ εἰς τὸ ἀ[ιον πνεῦμα, κὰ ἐχ ἀπλος εἰς ται, ἀλὶ εἰς μίαν δοξολογίαν, & C. Αδυεν [. Hare]. in Har. 74. adver [. Pneumatomach. p. 384.

Holy Ghost therein, because there was no Controversy then about him; and that Synod principally insisted upon the Articles of Faith relating to the Deity of the Son, which at that time were impugned and denied by Arius and his Followers; yet nevertheless, there was sufficient said of him to declare him to be God, in that as we are directed by that Creed, not only simply to believe the Father and the Son, but in the Father and in the Son, terminating our Faith upon them; so also, we are obliged in the like manner to believe in the Holy Ghost.

Which Criticism and Distinction between believing and believing in, is not to be despised, seeing the Primitive Writers lay great stress thereon; and do from thence conclude and prove the Divinity of the Holy Ghost, and intimate to us, that the Framers of the Symbol did on this account omit to prefix the Preposition in, before the other Articles which immediately succeed, as it is observed by

Ruffinus, That a it is not said in the a Non dixit, Holy Church, nor in the Remission of Ecclesiam,

Sins, nec in remif-

catorum, nec in carnis resurrectionem; si enim addidistet in Præpositionem, una eademque vis suisset cum superioribus, nunc autem in illis quidem vocabulis, ubi de divinitate sides ordinatur, in Deum Patrem dicitur, & in Jesum Christum Filium ejus, & in Spiritum Sanctum; in cæteris verò, ubi non de Divinitate sed de creaturis

ac mysteriis Sermo est, in Præpositio non additur; ut dicatur in sanctam Ecclesiam, sed sanctam Ecclesiam credendam esse, non ut in Deum, sed ut Ecclesiam Deo congregatam: & remissionem peccatorum credendam esse, non in remissionem peccatorum—Hâc itaque præpositionis syllabà Creator à creaturis secernitur, & divina separantur ab humanis. Expos. in Symb. Apost. §. 35. p. 575.

Sins, nor in the Resurrection of the Body; for, if the Preposition in bad been added, it would have had the same force with what went before. But now in those words, where our Faith in the Deity is declared, it is said to be in God the Father, and in his Son Jesus Christ, and in the Holy Ghost; but, where the Creed Speaks of Creatures and Mysteries, the Preposition in is not added; as we do not say in the holy Church, but that we believe the holy Church, not as in God, but as a Church congregated by God; and we do not say in the Forgiveness of Sins, nor in the Resurrection of the Body; but the Forgiveness of Sins, and the Resurrection of the Body: By this Preposition therefore, the Creator is distinguished from the Creatures, and divine Things separated from humane. So that the not prefixing the Preposition in before the holy Gatholick Church, and the other Articles which follows directs uss according to the laid observation of Ruffinus, to believe them after another manner than

than the precedent Articles before whom that Syllable is prefixed; that on the former we are to believe as God, whilst we are only fimply to believe the latter as Mysteries revealed by God; or as the Author of a Sermon, who paffeth under the Name of St. Austin, expresseth it, with particular relation to the Article of the Holy Catholick Church: Which I now come in the next place to consider, That the Creed obligeth

us a to believe the Church, but not in the men in Ecclesiam credere debeis not God, but the

a Ecclesiam credere, non ta-Church; for the Church mus, quia Ecclesia non Deus est, fed domus Dei eft. Tom. 10. Serm. de Temp. 181. p. 535.

House of God. By all which, it appears, that the Ancients observed a great difference in the manner of their believing the feveral Articles of the Creed, laying a great stress upon this little Preposition in; and which is yet farther observable, the Greeks contented not themselves with the bare suppression thereof before the Holy Catholick Church, but to make the Distinction more evident and remarkable, inferted also another I believe between that and the precedent Article of the Holy Ghost: From whence it comes to pass, that the Modern Greek Creeds thus run; wherein they are exactly a Je croi au Saint Esprit, je croi la Sainte Eglise univer elle.

6 Ick geloove in den Heyligen Greft, Ick geloove een Heyligen Algemeyne Christelicke Kercke. actly followed by the present * French and * Nether Dutch, Πισδίω εἰς ωνεῦμα άδιον, Πισδίω τα άγιαν καλησίαν καθο-

rich. I believe in the Holy Ghost, I believe the Holy Catholick Church.

But, to insist no longer on these Criticisms, and to come to the Article of the Holy Catholick Church; there is this thing in the first place to be remarked concerning it, That the ancient Creeds vary in the Order and Place thereof. In several of them it is the very last Article of all; as in a Creed of Cyprian's, wherein we prosess to believe

c Remissionem peccatorum & vitam æternam per sanctam Ecclesiam. Epist. 76. §. 6. p. 248.

d Hujus conclusio Sacramenti per Sanctam Ecclesiam terminatur, quoniam si quis absque eà inventus suerit, alienus erit à numero Filiorum, nec habebit Deum Patrem, qui Ecclesiam noluerit habere Matrem. Tom. 9. Symb. ad Catech. lib. 4. p. 1438. the Remission of Sins, and Life everlasting through the holy Church. The reason whereof is alledged by one who falsly passeth under the Name of St. Austin, to be, decause if any one be found out of the Church, he is not to be reckoned in the number of Sons; for he shall not have God for his Father, who will

not have the Church for his Mother; or, as it may be gathered from St. Cyprian,

the

the defign thereof was, to fignify, That a Remission of Sins and Life everlasting, could not be obtained but in and through the Holy Catholick Church.

^a Nam cum dicunt, credis remissionem peccatorum, & vitam æternam per sanctam Ecclesiam? Mentiuntur in interrogatione, quando .non habeant Ecclesiam, tum deinde voce sua ipsi confitentur, remissionem peccatorum non dari, nisi per sanctam Ecclesiam posse. Epist. 76. §. 6. p. 248.

But in the generality of Creeds, this Article possesseth the same place that it doth in ours, immediately following our Faith in the Holy Ghost; the reason whereof is thus given by Tertullian, in whom the clear mention of this Ar-

which is the Body of them. Not much

ticle is first found, b That after the pledging of our Faith and Hope in the Trinity, the mention of the Church is necessarily added, because where those three, the Father, the Son and the Holy Ghost are, there is the Church,

statio fidei, & sponsio salutis pignorentur, necessario adjicitur Ecclesiæ mentio, quoniam ubi tres, id est Pater, & Filius, & Spiritus Sanctus, ibi Ecclesia quæ trium corpus est. De Baptism. p. 599.

b Cum autem sub tribus & te-

different wherefrom, St. Austin writes, That 'the right Order of Faith required, that the Church should be Subjoined to the Trinity, as an House to his Occupier, a Temple to its God, and a City to its

Builder.

c Rectus Confessionis Ordo poscebat, ut Trinitati subjungeretur Ecclesia tanquam habitatori domus sua, & Deo Templum sua um, & conditori civitas iua. Tom. 3. Enchir, ad Laur, p. 226.

But, besides the different placing of this Article in the Primitive Creed, there is this to be remarked concerning the words thereof, that the more ancient Symbols had only the Holy Church,

\$. 6. p. 248.

a Vitam æter- as is to be feen in a Cyprian and fevenam per fan-ral others: The word Catholick being am. Epift. 76. afterwards added by the Greeks, as I shall hereafter shew, by way of Explication or Determination; from whom it was received by the Latins, and by them inferted in their Creed, wherein we now read the Holy Catholick Church. Into the meaning and intent whereof, I now proceed to enquire; in which, the fense of the word Church is first to be confidered, and the Affections thereof, which are in number three, Unity, Sanctity, and Universality. As for the Church, which we are obliged to believe, though it be capable of various Significations, yet the Affection of Universality being joined with it, makes it evident, that it must be here necesfarily understood of the Visible Catholick, Universal Church, which comprehends within its Bounds all Men and Women, who throughout the whole World make a visible Profession of the Christian Religion, and own the Doctrine delivered by our Saviour and his Apoftles:

files; who, though necessarily divided into many separate Congregations and particular Churches, yet compose but that one general Church, which is here affirmed by the Creed to be One, Holy and Catholick.

Now that the *Unity* of the Church is herein contained, appears not only from that this Article is in the fingular Number, and from that the Fathers put this constant Interpretation upon it; but also from that in some Creeds, to render it the more obvious and indisputable, the word *One* is added thereto, as in the *Nicene*, or rather *Constantino-*

politan Creed, a I believe One Holy Catholick and Apostolick Church: And in the Exposition thereof, by Alexander Bishop of Alexandria, he expresses it by b the One and only Catholick and Apostolick Church.

• Πιεσύω μίαν άγίαν καθολικίω η Σπεολικίω επκλησίαν.

b Miav vg μόνω καθολιαων τ λουστολικών εκκλησίαν. Apud Theodorit. Ecclef. Hift. lib. 1. c. 4. p. 18.

Now, though in feveral respects the Universal Church may be said to be One, yet, that I may not go beyond the bounds of my designed Task, I shall only take notice of what was principally intended by the inserters of it in the Creed, which was, That the Church

3

is One as to her Faith and Doctrine; that though she be enlarged through the Face of the whole Earth, yet all her Members universally affent to the same necessary Truths of the Gospel, and that she is One as to her Love and Charity; there being an harmonious Agreement and Union between particular Churches which complete and perfect the Universal One.

That the Unity of the Churches Faith was hereby defigned, will more evidently appear, when I shall come to consider the occasion of its being placed in the Creed: For the present, I shall only cite a pregnant Passage in Irenaus very pertinent to this purpose, which is, that immediately after his repetition

* H canh of the Creed, he writes, * That the σία κα περούν Church, although dispersed through the δλω τιξ κότμω Church, although dispersed through the διωσταρμόνη, i- whole World, yet, as if she dwelt in πιμελώς Φυ- one and the same House, did diligently λάος δ, ως είνα preserve this Faith, believing it, as if κ όιωοιως ωι- she had but one Soul, and one Heart, soid τέτοις, and uniformly preaching and teaching ως μίαν ψυ- and uniformly preaching and teaching χω, ης τ ωὐ- it, as if she had but one Mouth; for, των εχεσω

καςδία, η (υμφώνως ταυτα κηςύοσή η διδάτκή & Σαδίδωτι, ώς εν σόμα κεκλημβήν η η αί κζ. Τ κόσμον διάλεκλοι ανόμοια, άλλ ή δωίαμις τ το Σχοδότεως μία η ή αὐτή, η έτε αι ου Γερμανίαις ίδουμβία ο ακλησίαι άλλως πεπισδίκασιν, — έτε ου ταις Ίδηρίαις, έτε ου Κελλοίς, έτε κζ. τως άναλολώς — άλλ ώστες ό ήλι . — ου όλω τω κόσμω εξι η ο αὐτός, έτω η το κόρυμα το άληθείας πανλαχή φαίντ, η φωλίζη πανλας άνθρώπες τες βελομβέες εξι επίγωτιν άληθείας έλθεν. Lib. I.

6. 3. p. 36:

although there be different Dialects in the World, yet the force of Tradition is one and the same; for neither do the Churches in Germany, Spain, France, the East, Egypt, Libya, or the middle of the World, believe otherwise; but as the Sun is one and the same in the whole World, so the preaching of the Truth shines every where, and enlightens all Men who are willing to come unto the knowledge

thereof.

But the Unity of the Church Universal, besides the Uniformity of her Faith, included also the Love and Concord, Union and Communion, which was and ought to be between her particular Members and Churches, which is now partly contained in the following Clause of the Communion of Saints, and is partly to be considered under this Article, to render the Interpretation thereof complete and entire. In reference whereunto, it directs us to this observation, That although the Universal Catholick Church be composed of different and almost innumerable Members and Churches, yet that she is but one Body; and those particular Parts are, or ought to be united amongst themselves by Love and Charity, without Factions and Schisms, Y 4

Schisins, and so agree as to the execution of their Ecclesiastical Power and Government, that whatsoever is justly determined in one Church according to the common Laws received by them, is not thwarted and contradicted by another; and, if any schismatical and factious Spirits rent and divide one Church, they are not favoured or countenanced, but disallowed and condemned by others; all Churches harmoniously concurring in this Bond of Love and Charity, diligently avoiding every thing that might break this kind of Unity of the Church Universal.

Now that which gave occasion unto the Fathers to introduce the *Unity of the Church* thus expounded into the Rule of Faith, were the Heresies and Schisms wherewith they were pestered and affaulted, designing to oblige hereby all orthodox Christians at their Baptism, to declare, That they would firmly adhere to the one and undivided Church of *Christ*, which preserved the Faith of the Gospel pure from Heresies, and the Union thereof free from Schisms and Divisions.

Now that the Unity of the Churches Faith, and an Adhesion thereunto, was for the forementioned Reason designed hereby,

hereby, appears from the frequent References which the Fathers in their Difputes against Hereticks, make unto the Faith and Doctrine of the Church, and especially of those Parts of her who were planted and confirmed by the Apostles, challenging the Hereticks to be determined by their Arbitrement, and exhorting the Faithful to follow their Prescriptions and Directions: Which Method is frequently used by Tertullian (in whose Works is the first mention of this Clause as an Article of the Creed,) as in his Book of Prescriptions against Hereticks, where he several times refers his Readers to the Faith received in the Church, and admonishes them to * reject as Lies, what soever Doctrines were contrary to the Truth doctrinam de of the Churches; as also by Irenaus, mendacio who from time to time b challenges the præjudican-dam quæ sa-Hereticks to stand to that Tradition, piat contra which from the Apostles, by the suc-veritatem Eccession of Presbyters, was preserved in Prescrip adthe Church; 'in which Church, God vers. Haret.

b Eam traditionem, quæ est ab Apostolis, quæ per successiones Presbyterorum in Ecclesiis custoditur, provocamus eos. Lib. 3. c. 2. p. 170.

c In Ecclesia posuit Deus, Apostolos, Prophetas, Doctores, & universam reliquam operationem Spiritus, cujus non sunt participes omnes qui non concurrunt ad Ecclesiam, sed semetipsos fraudant à vitâ per sententiam malam, & operationem pessimam. Ubi enim Ecclesia ibi & Spiritus; & ubi Spiritus Dei, illic Ecclesia, & omnis gratia. Lib. 3. c. 40. p. 226.

placed

placed Apostles, Prophets, Doctors, and the rest of the Spirit's Operation, whereof they are not Partakers who do not run unto the Church, but deprive themselves of Life by their evil Faith and wicked Works; for!, where the Church is, there is the Spirit; and where the Spirit of God is, there is the Church, and all Grace: So that by affenting to the Unity of the Church in this sense, it was thereby intended to declare, That forfaking all Hereticks, and renouncing Communion either with them or their Herefies, we will adhere and firmly stick to the Faith and Doctrine received in the Apostolical and Universal Church.

But, as the *Unity of the Church*, as including its Faith, was intended against Hereticks, the Corrupters thereof; so also, as comprehending its Love and Charity, it was designed against Schismaticks, who were the Subverters thereof, and the Introducers of all Difunion, Confusion and Disorder; which will be manifest from this consideration, That all the legal Acts of a particular Church were esteemed and reckoned to be the Acts of the Universal One, and were not contradicted, but ratified and

and approved by other particular Churches; and if any did unjustly separate from, or cause Disorder and Confusion in a particular Church, they were condemned as Dividers from, and Disturbers of the whole Catholick Universal Church: A particular Church being part of the Universal, every Disorder and Breach therein had a general and universal Influence; as the Pain and Anguish of one Member affects and disturbs the whole Body, fo the Division of one particular Church was reputed to break the Unity of the Universal and Catholick one: From whence the Schism of Novatian, which at first respected only the Church of Rome, is frequent-ly represented by Cyprian, who was his Cotemporary, to be a Rent and Separation from the Catholick Church; as when several of the Roman Confesfors had engaged with him in that schismatical Conspiration, he informs their legal Bishop Cornelius, that he had written unto them an extraordinary Letter ? to return to their Mother, that a Ut ad Mais, to the Catholick Church; in which trem suam, id Letter, he most sensibly bewails their est, Ecclesiam grievous sin in consenting to the Election revertantur. of a schismatical Bishop, which was not Epist. 43. only against the Law of the Gospel, but p. 101.

· Contra institutionis Catholicæ unitatem. Epift. 44. S. I. p. 102.

b Vosmet ipsos à Christi grege & ab ejus pace & concordià separatis,-ad Ecclesiam Matrem revertamini. Id. S. 2. p. 102.

also against a the Unity of the Catholick Institution; and as pathetically intreats them, b not to separate themselves from the Flock of Christ, bis Peace and Concord, but to return to

the Church their Mother; and when it pleased God to incline their Hearts to come back to their former Station;

cAd Ecclefiam Catholi-§. 1. p. 107.

he terms it c a returning to the Cacam regressos tholick Church: So that although their esse. Epist. 47. Schism had only an immediate reference to their own particular Church, yet by reason of their mutual intercourse between each other, and its subversion of the common Order observed by them, it was esteemed to be a Contradiction to the Unity, and a Separation from the Communion of the whole Catholick Church; for which, reason, those who schismatically disturbed the Peace and Union of those Churches to which they appertained, were never maintained and encouraged by other Churches, or received to Communion by them: An Instance whereof we have in the Legates of Novatian, Author of a Schism in the Church of Rome, with whom, neither a Cyprian

a Cyprian Bishop of Carthage, nor b Antonius another African Bishop, would communicate, left thereby they should impair the Unity of the Universal Church, which though c divided into many Members, yet was but one Church: And which is

2 Et cum ad nos in Africam legatos misisset, optans ad communicationem nostram admitti, hinc sententiam retulerit, se foris esse cœpisse, nec posse à quoquam nostrûm sibi communicari. Epist. 67. §. 2. p. 198.

b Cum Novatiano te non communicari. Cyprian. Ep. 52. S. I.

c Una Ecclesia, - in multa Membra divisa. Id. Ep. 52. §. 16. p. 119.

yet farther observable,

that the Unity and Order of the Church in this respect, might be preserved entire and inviolable, who foever was excommunicated in one Church, was not received into Communion by another, till he had given satisfaction unto that Church by which he was cenfured. An eminent Example whereof, is to be feen in the Heretick Marcion, who being ejected from the Church by his own Father, the Bishop of Sinope in Pontus, applied himself to the Church of Rome to be admitted to Communion there, who rejected him with this Anfwer, That d they could not do it with doi dwaout the permission of his Father, be- entleans &

मामांश काळी हुई

Cs τέτο ποιήσω, μία η έςιν ή ωίςις, κ μία ή όμονοια, κ έ δωμάμεθα εναντιωθίδω τή καλώ (υλλείτεςγώ, πατελ ή σώ. Epiphan. advers. Hares. Marcion, p. 135.

cause the Faith is one, and the Concord one; and we cannot contradict our reverend Fellow Minister, but your Father. From all which, it evidently enough appears, That as by affenting to the Unity of the Church, an aversion to Heresies and Hereticks was thereby intended to be declared; so by the same, it was likewise design'd to profess an adherence to the Catholick Communion, in contradiction to Schisms and Schismaticks; which is not much different from what St. Austin writes on

Credimus & fanctam Ecclefiam, utique Catholicam; nam &
Hæretici & Shifmatici Congregationes suas, Ecclesias vocant; sed
Hæretici de Deo falsa sentiendo
ipsam fidem violant, Schismatici
autem discessionibus iniquis à fraternà charitate dissiliunt, quamvis
ea credant quæ credimus: Quapropter nec Hæreticus pertinet ad
Ecclesiam Catholicam, quoniam
diligit Deum; nec Schismaticus,
quoniam diligit proximum. Tom.
3. Fid. & Symbol. p. 195.

this Article, * We believe, faith he, the Holy Church, to wit, the
Catholick one; for Hereticks and Schifmaticks call their Congrez
gations Churches; but
Hereticks, by false Opinions concerning God,
violate the Faith; and
Schifmaticks, by unjust Separations, de-

part from brotherly Love, although they believe what we believe: Wherefore, a Heretick doth not belong to the Catholick Church, because she loves God; nor a Schismatick, because she loves her Neighbour.

But as the Church is one, fo the Creed affirms it also to be holy: For which Appellation, many Reasons might be given; which, to prevent a Digref-fion from my defigned Task, I shall omit to mention, and only take notice of that which probably the Framers of the Creed intended by it, which is not much different from the intent of the precedent Affection of the Church, viz. its Unity; for as by that is declared a Renunciation of the perfidious Tenets and Practices of Hereticks and Schifmaticks, fo by this is acknowledged the Truth and Regularity of the Doctrines and Actions of the Catholick Church: that whatsoever she proposeth to our Faith, (still with this supposition, that it is according to the holy Scriptures,) is certain, pure, and unquestionable: In qua una

Which Interpretation I gather from the est fides, & Exposition of Ruffinus, who writes on ma, in quâ uthis Article, That a the Church, in nus Deus cre-

ditur Pater, &

unus Dominus Jesus Christus, Filius ejus, & unus Spiritus Sanctus, ista est sancta Ecclesia, non habens maculam, aut rugam: multi enim & alii Ecclesias congregârunt, ut Marcion, ut Valentinus, ut Hebion, ut Manichæus, ut Arius, & cæteri omnes Hæretici, fed illæ Ecclesiæ non sunt sine maculà aut ruga persidiæ; & ideo dicebat de illis Propheta, odivi Ecclesiam malignantium, & cum impiis non sedebo; de hac autem Ecclesia quæ sidem Christi integram servat, audi quid dicat Spiritus Sanctus in canticis canticorum, una est columba mea, una est persecta genitricis suæ. Qui ergo hanc sidem in Ecclesia susceperit, nec declinet in Concilio Vanitatis, & cum iniqua gerentibus non introeat, Exposit, in Symb. §. 37. p. 575.

which there is one Faith, and one Baptism, in which there is believed one God the Father, one Lord Jesus Christ his Son, and one Holy Ghost, is the Holy Church without spot or wrinkle; for, many others have gathered Churches, as Marcion, Valentinus, Ebion, Manichæus, Arius, and all other Hereticks, but these Churches were not without the spot or wrinkle of Perfidiousness: Wherefore the Prophet said of them, I have hated the Church of evil Doers, and will not fit with the Wicked. But of this Church which keeps the Faith of Christ entire, hear what the Holy Ghost saith in the Song of Songs, My Dove, my Undefiled is but one, she is the only one of her Mother: Let him therefore who hath received this Faith in the Church, not turn aside to the Council of Vanity, neither let him go in the way of evil Doers. After which, the faid Father enumerates several Hereticks, as Marcion, Ebion, and others, who turned aside to the Council of Vanity, and embraced impious and blasphemous Opi-

Ab his om-nions; a from whom, faith he, let the nibus fidelis Believer turn aside and hold the holy

ditus, San-

ctam verò Ecclessæ fidem teneat, quæ Deum Patrem omnipotentem, & unigenitum Filium ejus Jesum Christum, &c. profitetur. Ibid. §. 38. p. 576.

Faith

Faith of the Church, which is, I believe in God the Father Almighty, &c. From whence it appears, that the Church is metonymically called Holy, from the Holiness and Purity of her Faith: In which sense, Cyprian beseeches God the Father Almighty, and his Son Jesus Christ our Lord, That

a the Unity and the might not be corrupt- S.I. p. 107.

² Ut Unitas ejus & Sanctitas non Sanctity of the Church tis obstinctione vitietur. Epist. 47.

ed by the obstinacy of

Perfidiousness, and heretical Pravity. So that by affirming the Church to be holy, the Faith and Doctrine thereof is thereby declared to be pure and undefiled.

The third Affection of the Church yet remaining to be considered, is Catholick; which, as it hath been already remarked, was not originally in this Article, but was introduced by the Greeks as an Explication or Determination thereof: The first Creed wherein it is found, being that of b Alexander Bilhop of b Kalodinkin-Alexandria; after which, it is in both Enxangian.
of the Creeds of Epiphanius, repeated rit. Eccles. in his Book, entituled, Sermo Ancora- Hist. 1. 1. 6.4. tus, and of several other Greeks, from p. 18. whom it was received by the Latins; fignifying the same with Universal, de-Z

termining

termining the One and Holy Church spoken of in this Article, to be that which is diffused throughout the whole World, from one end of the Earth unto the o-

a Catholicam dicit toto orbe diffusam, quia diversorum Hæreticorum Ecclesiæ ideo Catholicæ non dicuntur, quia per loca, atque per suas quasque Provincias continentur, hæc verò à Solis ortu usque ad occasum unius sidei spiendore disfunditur. August. Tom. 10. cerm. de Temp. 181. p. 535.

ther; that it was not like the Churches of Hereticks, confined within certain Places and Provinces, but enlarged by the splendour of one Faith, from the rising of the

Sun to the going down thereof: Whereby Provision was made against too narrow and limited Conceptions of the Church; it being too natural even to good Men, and that especially when transported with Heat and Zeal, to make the Entrance into the Church parrower than ever the Founder thereof intended it. I would not willingly animadvert fo far on any of the Primitive Fathers, who were Men famous in their Generations, the Ornament and Splendour of their respective Ages, as to fay, That some of them, in favour to their own particular Churches, did sometimes reduce the Unity and Sanctity of the Church to so narrow a compass as seems to be unbecoming the Charity and Moderation of a Christian, espousing such straiten'd

straiten'd Notions as excluded many from the number of the Church Militant here, who might be reasonably presumed should be Members of the Church triumphant hereafter: But this I will venture to fay, That to prevent too rigid straiten'd Conceptions of the Church, and the mistaking of any particular Part thereof for the whole, it is highly probable, that the term Catholick was added in the Creed as an Explication of the two former Affections of the Church, to inform and fettle the true Believer's Judgment in free and moderate Principles, that the One and Holy Church, is not to be confined to any particular place or corner, but is diffused throughout the Face of the whole Earth; that from one end of the World unto another, all those who make a profession of Jesus Christ, and retain Christian Love and Charity, however disagreeing from each other in leffer and inferiour Points, are the constituent Parts and Members of this One Holy Church.

Wherefore, to conclude this Article of the Holy Catholick Church: By the Repetition thereof, it was intended to declare our firm Persuasion, That Jesus Christ hath one visible Body or Church here on Earth, comprehending all the

Z 2 Professors

Professor of his Name throughout the whole World, who retain the Purity of the Faith, and the Unity of the Spirit, in the Bond of Love; unto which Church, as pure and peaceable, in opposition to all real Hereticks and Schifmaticks, we will by the Grace of God, stick and adhere.

To the Article of the Holy Catholick Church, there is added as its Appendix, The Communion of Saints; which is not found in any Creed before St. Austin's time, nor in any, as I do think, of his genuine and undoubted Writings, seeing in his Enchiridion to Laurentius, he

a Post Commemorationem sanctæ Ecclesiæ in ordine Confessionis ponitur remissio Peccatorum. Tom. 3. c. 64. p. 230.

assures us, That in the Order of the Confession of Faith, the Forgiveness of Sins was placed after the Com-

memoration of the Holy Church; though it be very likely, that about that time, or not long after, this Clause was introduced, not only because it is first found

in a ^b Creed recited in a Sermon, commonly attributed unto him, but also because at that time, a probable Occa-

fion presented its self for which it should be introduced, viz. the Schism of the Donatists; Donatists; as I shall immediately shew, after that I shall have first explained the two Terms of this Clause, Communion and Saints.

And first of all, The Term Saints is not in this Place to be strictly confined to those who are really and internally holy, but is to be understood of all the several Members of particular Churches professing Christianity; as St. Paul directs his Epistles to the Saints which were at * Ephefus, b Philippi and Eph. i. r. colosse; that is, as Dr. Hammond expounds it, to the Societies of Christians belonging to those Places, or constituting those Churches: The word Saint or Holy being attributed to the whole, because a great part of them had without doubt, received a Principle of true and inward Sanctity: Or, they might be rather called Holy upon the account of their feparating themselves from the heathenish and idolatrous World, to the Worship of the true God, through Jesus Christ: For it is well known, that the primary Notion of the word Holy fignifies no other, than the Separation of a Thing or Person from a common and prophane, to a peculiar and religious Use: For which Reason, the Children of Israel, though often the great-

est part of them were vicious and cor-

rupt, are frequently called in the Old Testament an holy People or Nation, because God had chosen them out from the rest of the World, and set them apart for his peculiar People. In which manner also, the Creed here styles the Members of particular Churches Saints or Holy, because by their Profession and Baptism, which is a Sign and Seal of Purification, and is metonymically cal-* Acts xxii. 16. led a a washing away of Sins, they are separated from the rest of the World, and devoted to the pure and holy Service of the true God, through Jesus

Christ.

Now the Term Saints being thus explained, it will not be difficult to apprehend the meaning of the other Term Communion; which naturally appears to be this, that there is and ought to be a mutual Intercourse and Society, Fellowship and Communion, in all usual and regular Ways, between the feveral respective Churches and Congregations of Christians and Believers, whereby they declare unto the whole World, that although both Necessity and Conveniency oblige them to assemble in distinct Places, and compose different Societies, that yet nevertheless, they are all Members

bers of one and the same Body, of which Christ Jesus is the Head; that they are all guided by the same Spirit, communicate in the same Institutions, and governed by the same general Rules; fo that whatfoever is regularly performed and determined in one Congregation, is affented to by all others; and whosoever is received to Communion in one Church, is freely admitted in any other.

Various were the Methods used by the Ancients, to maintain an inviolable Communion and Correspondency between themselves, and to preserve the Union of their particular Churches whole and entire; amongst which the Communicatory Letters which the Bishop elect of any Church sent to other Bishops, and they returned to him, were not the least, as a Cornelius advised Cyprian Bi-legimus. Ashop of Carthage, of his Promotion to pud Cyprian. the See of Rome; who, on the contra- Epist 42. S. I. ry, b returned him a Communicatory b. 1. Heras no-Letter, acknowledging him to be Bishop stras ad te diof that Part of the Universal Church, reximus. E-and promising to hold Communion with p. 99. him as a Brother and Fellow Member; which Communicatory Letters, c Anto- Apud Cypri. nius an African Bishop, resused to send 3.1. p. 113. to Novatian, a Schilmatical Pretender

2 Id. Epist. 41 §. 1. p. 96. to the Bishoprick of Rome; who, according to the ulual Custom, a advised by Letter the Canonical Bishops of several Churches, that he was advanced unto the Episcopal Throne; though all or most of them would not fend back their communicatory Letters unto him, lest they should thereby dissolve the Communion and Fellowship, which ought to be carefully preferved between all the particular Members and Congregations of the Holy Catholick and Universal Church: And besides these, there was also another fort of Communicatory Letters, granted by the Bishops and Governours of Churches, to fuch of their Members as travelled, called by Tertul-

lian, b The Communica-

b Communicatio Pacis, & appellatio Fraternitatis, & contesseratio Hospitalitatis. De Prascript. advers. Harer. p. 76.

tion of Peace, the Title of Brotherhood, and the common Mark of Hospitality; by virtue

whereof, they were admitted to communicate in all Churches through which they passed: And which is yet farther observable, if it happen'd that a Bishop in his Travels came to a strange Church which was not his own, he was for the Testification of their Union and mutual Society, permitted by the Bishop of that Church to consecrate the Sacramen-

tal elements of the Lord's Supper, as a Polycarp did at Rome, by the confent of Anicetus the

* Έν τῆ ἐκκλησία παρεχώρησεν δ ἀνίκη] Φ τ δύχαρισίαν τῷ Πολυκάρτω &c. Iren apud Euseb. Eccles. Hist. lib. 5. c. 24. p. 193.

then Bishop of that Church.

Many other Methods were also made use of, to preserve the Harmony and Communion between particular Churches, which I shall wholly omit, and content my felf with the brief mentioning of one or two more relating to their Discipline, which are more particularly affented to by this Article: One whereof is, that although there might be a Disagreement in some lesser and inferiour Points, yet they still retained Peace and Order; and one Church did not imperiously claim and exercise a Jurisdiction over another; but, whatfoever was regularly and according to Form performed in one Church, was allowed to be valid and obligatory by all others: Whofoever was baptized, ordained, or the like, in one Church, was not obliged to receive those things de Novo, if his Circumstances and the Divine Providence should necessitate him to be Member of another. To offer to prove this concerning Baptism, will be the greatest Impertinency, seeing every one knows, that the Baptism of Hereticks was deem-

Presbyter ad-Scribatur Prefbyterorum Carthagmerfium numero. Cyprian. Ep. 35. p. 84.

ed valid, and was never reiterated: And as for Ordination, there is an Instance Numidicus thereof in one Numidicus; who being a Presbyter before, was admitted into the Number of the Presbyters of Carthage without a new Ordination. And as for other things, they are so univerfally known, that it will be fuperfluous to add the Proof of them. The other Instance therefore of their mutual Communion and Fellowship, respecting their Discipline, was, that whosoever were justly and legally censured in one Church, were not in opposition thereunto countenanced and supported by another; but as they were excluded their own Congregation, so they were also debarred from the Communion of all others, and never admitted into the Fellowship of any regular Christian Society, till by their Amendment and Satisfaction they were received again into their own Church unto which they appertained. An Example whereof, I have already given in the Excommunication of Marcion, by his own Father the Bishop of Sinope in Pontus, which I shall not here again recite.

But having thus briefly explained the Terms of this Clause, The Communion of Saints, and shewn some of the Methods

used by the Ancients, to continue and promote their Society and Communion, I shall in the next place more particularly enquire into the Occasion of its being inserted in the Creed, and shew what was chiefly and more particularly

defigned thereby.

Now, as it hath been faid before, the Introduction of this Claufe was occasioned by the Donatists, who although orthodox in Matters of Faith and Doctrine, yet by reason of a Quarrel at the Election of Cecilian to the Bishoprick of Carthage, about the End of the Tenth Perfecution, engaged themselves in a long, violent, and deplorable Schism; arriving to that height of Pride, Uncharitableness and Faction, as to affirm their Party, which was confined within the Bounds of Africa, and rejected by all Transmarine Churches, who were the greatest Part of the Universal One, to be only and folitarily the one Holy Catholick Church; and that all others were without its Pales and Limits, having no right to administer any of the Institution's thereof; that whatsoever they performed, were Invalidities and mere Nullities. Upon which account, they most schismatically and unchristianlike, proceeded to rebaptize, and to perform

form every thing de Novo upon those who revolted from the Catholick Church unto their narrow-hearted Sect; thus proudly and unjustifiably cutting off all other Churches from their Society and Union, whilst other Churches most justly rejected them from their Fellowship and Communion. In opposition unto which, this Clause of the Communion of Saints was inferted in the Creed; whereby these two things were declared, viz. the Mark and the Property of true particular Churches, that on the one hand, a Sign to know a regular particular Church by, is entertaining of Communion with it by other Churches; and that on the other hand, it is the Property and Practice of fuch a particular Church, to maintain all regular Communion and Fellowship with others: Of both which I shall briefly discourse.

First, this Clause may be considered as a Mark or Sign by which to know a regular particular Church, that such an one must be esteemed so to be, which is acknowledged as such by the other particular Churches and Members of the Catholick and Universal One: A sufficient ground for which Interpretation, is in the Conference of St. Austin with Fortunius a Bishop of the Donatists, who,

with

with the rest of his Faction, excluded all the Orthodox from being Members of the Catholick Church, limiting the Bounds of it by their own Party, who were all confined within the Borders of Africa; for which reason, saith St. Au-

stin, I ask'd him, Which was the Church? Whether that, which according to the Prediction of the holy Scriptures, should spread it self throughout the whole Earth, or that which a small part of Africa should contain?

^a Quænam esset Ecclesia, utrùm illa quæ sicut sancta ante Scriptura prædixerat, tanto se terrarum orbe dissunderet, an illa quam pars exigua vel Afrorum vel Africæ contineret? Hic primò asserere conatus ess, ubique terrarum esse communicatorias, quas formatas dicimus, posset quo vellem dare, &c. Tom. 2. Epist. 163. p. 854, &c.

Unto which, he first endeavoured to asfert, That their Communion was throughout the whole Earth. Unto which St. Austin replies, That the easiest way to conclude that Question, was for each Party to produce their Communicatory Letters from other Churches. In pursuance whereof, Fortunius produced a certain Book, wherein, he said, was contained a Letter from the Council of Sardis to the Bishops of the Donatist's Communion in Africa; which Letter being read, there was found in it the Name of Donatus amongst the other Bishops, to whom it was directed: Upon

Upon which, St. Austin asks him, Whether this Donatus was the same with him from whom they received his Name? For it might be, that he was a Bishop of another Heresy, seeing the Name of Africa is not so much as mentioned in the Letter; adding moreover, that it could not be proved that it was this Donatus, since it could not be made out, that this Letter was fent to the African Churches: For, although Donatus be an African Name, yet one of another Countrey might be called for also, or an African of that Name might be a Bishop elsewhere. Besides, supposing that this Letter had been really fent to Donatus the Schismatical Bi-Shop in Africa, this would be no Proof of the Catholick Churches Communion with, and Acknowledgment of them, seeing the Members of that Council did visibly incline to the Arian Faction; and as such were resisted and opposed both by Athanasius Bishop of Alexandria, and Julius Bishop of Rome. From all which, as also from other Passages of the faid Father, which I might farther cite, it is most clear, That in contradiction to the Donatists, who were rejected from the Fellowship of other Churches, the Communion of Saints, or the

the Communicatory Letters from other Churches, and the rest of the Methods and Tokens of Union then used, were esteemed as Marks and Signs of a particular Church, that was regularly framed and constituted.

But, Secondly, I think, that in contradiction to the same Hereticks, this Clause is rather to be understood, as expressing and declaring the Quality, Property, and Practice of a particular Church, regularly constituted and governed. The Donatists arrived to that height of Pride, Impudence, and Uncharitableness, as to unchurch all other Churches besides themselves, affirming, that those of their own Party, who were confined to a little Part of Africa, were alone the One Holy Catholick Church: and that for all the other Churches both of Europe and Asia, as well as of the other Parts of Africa, they were not Churches of Jesus Christ, but Synagogues of Satan; for which reason, they afferted all their Ordinances and Administrations to be mere Nullitics, that their Baptisms, Ordinations, and every thing else, were all invalid and of no worth. In consequence whereof, they performed every thing de Novo on those who revolted to them from the mari fine of a male

the Catholick Church, avowedly renouncing all Communion and Fellowship with every Church, which was not of their Party and Faction. Wherefore in opposition to these proud Opinions and schismatical Practices, it is very probable that the Communion of Saints was added as an explanatory Clause of the Holy Catholick Church, to fignify unto us thereby, that though there was but one Universal Church, yet the particular Churches and Members thereof, maintained a strict Union and Correspondence with each other; that whatfoever was regularly performed in one Church, was esteemed valid and obligatory by all others; or, that though the Universal Church was necessarily divided into many Particulars, yet the Christian Unity was not thereby destroyed, but was still preserved by their reciprocal Agreement and Communion: Consonant whereunto, St. Austin writes against these Schisma-

^a Neque enim quia & in orbem terrarum plerumque regna dividuntur, ideo & Christiana unitas dividitur, cum in utraque parte Catholica inveniatur Ecclesia. Tom. 7. Part. 2. de Unit. Eccles. c. 12. p. 651.

ticks, That * the Chrifian Unity is not divided, because the World is divided into several Kingdoms, seeing in every place where there are Christians, the Ca-

tholick Church is found. And in another place, the faid Father feriously addresses dresses

dresses himself to the Donatistical Laity, befeeching them not to be held any longer in their factious and uncharitable Practices by the slight and cunning of their Teachers, but to return to the Communion of the Catholick Church, from whence they had schismatically separated themselves: The holy Scriptures, faith he, mention the place where the Church should begin, viz. at Jerusalem; from whence it should go out to the Ends of the Earth. Turn over the sacred Writings, and you Shall find, that from Jerusalem it spread its self into places far and near. The Names of the Places and Cities are expressed and known, wherein the Church of Christ was founded by the Labours of the Apostles: From whom, some them received Epistles;

a which Epistles, saith he, they themselves, that is, the Clergy of the Donatists, read in your Congregations, and yet will not communicate

with the Churches of those Places and Cities who received those Epistles. After which, the said Father elegantly introduces the Apostolical Churches, expostulating with the Donatists for their uncharitable resultant to hold Communion

Aa

with

a Quas Epistolas & ipsi in ves stris congregationibus legunt, & ta-

men non communicant eorum lo-

corum & civitatum Ecclesiis, quæ ipsas Epistolas accipere meruerunt.

Tom. 7. Part. I. cont. Donat. poft

Collat. c. 2. p. 728.

a Clamant Ecclefiæ Pontifices Bithyniæ, Afiæ, Cappadociæ, cæterarumque Orientalium Régionum, ad quas beatus Petrus Apostolus scriptit; O Pars Donati, non novimus quid dicatis: quare nobis non communicatis? Si Cæcilianus mali aliquid fecit, quare nobis præjudicat? 16. 6. 3. p. 728.

b Clament etiam fimiliter Ecclesiæ septem Orientales, ad quas scribit Apostolus Johannes, Ephesii, Smyrnæ, Thyatiræ, Sardis, Philadelphiæ, Laodiceæ, Pergami, & dicant, Quid vobis secimus, Fratres? Quare, Christiani cum Christianis pacem habere non vultis? Quare in nobis communia Sacramenta, rescinditis?

with them; ^a Let, faith he, the Churches unto whom the bleffed Apofile Peter wrote, of Afia, Bithynia, Cappadocia, and the other Eastern Parts, Speak, and they will say, O ye, Party of Donatus, we know not what you fay: Why will ye not communicate with us? If Cecilian bath done any evil, why must it prejudice us? b Let the seven Oriental Churches also speak, unto whom the Apostle John wrote, of Ephefus, Smyrna, Thyatira, Sardis, Philadelphia, Laodicea, and Pergamus, and they will

fay, What have we done unto you, Brethren? Why will not you Christians have Peace with Christians? Why will

c Dicante- you annul in us the common Sacraments? tiam Eccle- tiam Eccle- fiæ, ad quas c Let also the Churches unto whom the

Paulus Apoftolus scribit, Romanorum, Corinthiorum, &c. literas ad nos datas
fratres quotidie legitis, qui vultis addiuc esse de parte Donati, in ipsis Epistolis per nomen pacis nos Apostolus salutavit, dicens Gratia
vobis & Pax à Deo Patte & Domino nostro Jesu Christo; Quare
pacem in nostris Epistolis legendo didicistis, & cam nobiscum tenere
noluistis? 1614. 1014. p. 1219.

Apostle

Apostle Paul writ, of Rome, Corinth, Philippi, Colosse, and Thessalonica, speak, and they will say, You, Brethren of the Party of Donatus daily read the Epistles sent to us, in which the Apostle saluted us by the naming of Peace, saying, Grace and Peace be unto you, from God the Father, and from our Lord Jesus Christ: Wherefore then have ye learned Peace by reading it in our Epistles, and yet will not maintain it with us? And, after the fame manner, the faid Father might have represented all the other Parts of the Catholick Church, reasoning with the Donatists for their Pride and Folly in refufing to hold Communion with them; it being an horrid Piece of Arrogance to limit the Church to their own Party, and an intolerable Act of Schism, to require the reiteration of Baptism and other Administrations (which are to be received but once,) on those who were to be admitted into their Church, as if their former Baptism, &c. had been vain, wholly infignificant, and nothing at all.

Wherefore, that such schilmatical Notions and Practices; so destructive of Christian Charity, and subversive of the Unity of the Catholick Church, might be repressed and contradicted, it is most

A 2 2

probable?

probable, that the Communion of Saints was added in the Creed as an Appendix to, or Explanation of the Holy Catholick Church; by which it was intended to declare, That although there be feveral particular Churches and Congregations throughout the Face of the Earth, yet that there ought to be a Communion and Correspondence between them, as far as is necessary, possible and convenient; that whatfoever is regularly performed and determined in one, either as to Acts of Worship or Discipline, must be allowed by all others to be valid and good, feeing particular Churches are no other than so many distinct Limbs, Members, or Parts of the Catholick and Universal one.



CHAP. VII.

A brief Explication of the Forgiveness of Sins: Not constantly repeated in the Creed till the Days of Cyprian, though sometimes expressed, and always supposed from the very beginning of Christianity. Two Interpretations are given thereof, a primary, and a secondary one. To have a clear Idea of the primary one, which respects Sins committed before Baptism, it will be necessary to reflect on the great Wickeaness of the World before the Publication of the Gospel; by means whereof they were under Guilt, which the Heathens knew by the Light of Nature, but could not tell how to remove it. The Jews had no universal Assurance of the Pardon of Sins: Wherefore the Apostles were sent forth to reveal an infallible way for the obtaining it, viz. by believing, and being baptized; which is proved to be the primary sense of this Article, always supposed, or else expressed in the Greed from Aa3 the

the very first preaching of the Gospel, viz. that all past Sins are for the sake of Christ, remitted to all penitential Believers at Baptism: Wherein these two Things are contained: First, That our Sins are forgiven for the sake of Christ: Secondly, That the time of their Forgiveness is at Baptism. Remission of Sins ascribed to Baptism, which is always to be understood with due Regards to the Qualifications of the Persons baptized. Why Sins are said to be forgiven at Baptism. The secondary sense of this Article, respected Sins committed after Baptism. The rigorous Notions of the Basilidians, Montanists, but especially of the Novatians, who denied the Pardon of God, or at least of the Church, to scandalous Sins perpetrated after Baptism. The wretched consequences of this Opinion, as an Antidote there-against this Clause was constantly recited in the Creed. Both the Senses of this Article repeated. The Resurrection of the Body is in some Creeds the last Article, and may be considered in conjunction with the Refurrection of our Saviour. The

The Resurrection from the Dead being a necessary Point of our Religion, and withal being early opposed both by Heathens and Hereticks, it hath been always a Part of the Creed from the Apostles Days. In the Greek and Latin Creeds, as also in the Modern French and Dutch, it is the Resurrection of the Flesh. Several Hereticks would equivocatingly affent to the Resurrection of the Body, who denied that the same fleshly Substance should rise again. Against whom it was emphatically inserted in the Creed, that there should be the Resurrection of the Flesh; that is, that the very same fleshly and material Body Should rife again, though the Qualities there-of Shall be changed and altered. Life Everlasting diversly placed in the ancient Creeds, pertinently put at the end of the Apostles, because it is the end of our Faith, and the determination of every Man to his proper place. The Gnosticks affirmed, that the greatest part of Mankind should be annihilated at the Day of Judgment; against whom, it is declared by this Article, that after that there will be Life ever-A a 4 lasting:

lasting: Wherein is included, the eternal Misery of the Damned, and the everlasting Happiness of the Blessed.

HE next Article that in order follows to be enquired into, is the Forgiveness of Sins; the Terms whereof are so plain and easy, as that they scarce need any Explication at all. Wherefore, that which I understand by it, is in brief no other than this, that God for the fake of Christ, will freely remit and forgive all manner and kind of Sins, and release their obligation to Punishment, unto all fuch as shall unfeignedly repent and believe the Gospel; wherein I insert the sake of Christ, as also Repentance, Faith, and receiving of the Gofpel, as a Cause and Conditions of the Remission of Sins, for reasons which will hereafter follow.

As for the time of the constant repetition of this Article in the Creed, it was not till the Days of Cyprian, seeing it is not in any of the Creeds of Irenaus, Tertullian or Origen, but is first of all to be found in a Creed of the forementioned a Eather, after which it is general.

^a Credis – ed ^a Father; after which, it is general-remissionem, ly to be met with in all succeeding Epist. 76. §.6. Creeds, which was no doubt, occasion-p. 248. ed by the severe and rigorous Notions

of

of the Basilidians, Montanists, but especially of the Novatians, as I shall hereafter shew.

But, though this Article was not constantly demanded with the other Parts of the Creed at Baptism, till the Days of Cyprian, yet long before his time, even from the Promulgation of the Gospel, it was always supposed, and sometimes expressly mentioned to be part of the Faith unto which the baptized Person gave his affent: In which respect I may truly fay, That this Article is as ancient as any in the Creed; and, that the primary fense thereof is to be fetched from the holy Scriptures, and the Circumstances of the Apostles, and of the Fewish and Pagan World at that time, as well as a fecondary Interpretation, which afterwards occasioned its fixed and continual repetition, is to be searched for elsewhere.

As for the first and primary Sense, which hath respect to Sins committed before Baptism; the best way to have a clear Idea and Apprehension thereof, is to reslect on the state and condition of the World before the preaching of the Gospel, and the Commission given unto the Apostles with respect and reference to them.

The whole World, as is well known, was at that time drowned in Impiety, Profaneness and Ignorance, fallen into the last Irregularity and Dregs of Licentiousness, giving themselves over to all manner of Uncleannesses and Abominations; by which Sins, they were necessarily fallen under insupportable loads of Guilt, and bound over to the inevitable Punishment and Vengeance of the Almighty, when he should come in his Majesty and Glory to render a righteous Reward unto every Man according to his Works: Of which the very Heathens themselves were convinced, having found out by their natural Ratiocinations that they were Sinners, and obnoxious to the divine Anger, as appears by their Sacrifices, and other Rites. But now, this was that which surpassed their most raised Intellects, How their Sins should be forgiven, and their obligation to Punishment by reason of them, be cancelled and annulled. They had indeed some general Hopes of God's Mercy founded on the common Bounty of his Providence towards them, in giving them Rain from Heaven, and fruitful Seasons, filling their Hearts with Food and Gladness, and the like, which they endeavour'd to increase by their SacriSacrifices, and other religious Rites and Ceremonies; but they had no positive assurance of the Remission of their Sins, and of the divine Reconciliation unto their Persons, being still obscured and bewildred in their Notions and Apprehensions concerning it, never arriving unto any certain Conclusion; That tho' the Goodness of God did now attend them, yet that his Justice, Anger, and Vengeance, should not overtake and seize them another Day, and severely punish them for all those innumerable and abominable Sins, which they themselves were sensible, they had most daringly

committed against him.

And as the Heathens knew not how their Sins could be forgiven, and their Persons absolved from the Guilt thereof, so neither had the Jews any persect and certain Apprehension thereof: The Mosaical Law had appointed Sacrifices for Sins of Ignorance, and for small and ordinary Transgressions; by the regular and conscientious Offering whereof, those Sins were remitted, and the Anger of God appeased: But, as for greater and capital Sins, such as Murther, Adultery, and the like, there was a Sentence of Death denounced against them; for the reversing of which, the

Law

Law had made no Provision. Unto which it is not improbable, the Pfalmist David had respect in Pfalm li. 16. For thou desirest not Sacrifice, else would I give it; thou delightest not in Burntoffering; the Sacrifices of God are a broken Spirit, &c. intimating thereby, That if the Law had prescribed Sacrifices and Burnt-offerings for his enormous Crimes of Adultery and Murther, he would have offered them unto God; but, feeing that no Provision was made thereby for the Expiation of those scandalous Transgressions, he would present unto the Almighty a broken Heart, and a contrite Spirit, hoping that those might prove acceptable and pleafing to him.

This being then the condition of the World at the time of our Saviour's appearing therein; they being all, both Jew and Gentile, concluded under Sin, and not knowing which way to free themselves from the guilt and condemnation thereof, the Apostles were sent forth with full Power and Authority to invite them both to come into the Christian Church, which they were now founding; assuring them, that they should therein receive a complete and perfect Remission of all their Sins and Crimes,

Crimes, though never so innumerable and abominable: The Entrance whereinto, was by Baptism, supposed to be accompanied with Faith and Repentance, when for the sake of *Christ*, all the Sins of the baptized Person should be entirely obli-

terated and forgiven.

Thus our Saviour a little before his Ascension into Heaven, authorized his Disciples to a go into all the World, a Mark xvi. and preach the Gospel to every Crea- 15, 16. ture; he that believeth, and is baptized, shall be saved: And at the same time informed their Understandings, that the holy Scriptures of the Old Testament had long before foretold concerning him, b That Repentance and b Luke xxiv. Remission of Sins should be preached in 47. his Name among all Nations, beginning at Jerusalem. According unto which Prediction and Command, when they were all inspired by the Holy Ghost on the Day of Pentecost, the principal end and drift of St. Peter's Sermon then preached, is fummed up in these words, Repent and be baptized every one of Acts ii. 38. you, in the Name of Jesus Christ for Remission of Sins. And the same Apostle concludes his Sermon to Cornelius with this Position, as the design and sum of his whole precedent Discourse, That

* through

Brethren, that through this Men is preached unto you Forgiveness of Sins; and by him, all that believe are justified from all things, from which they could not be justified by the Law of Moses. And the same Apostle, relating the manner of his Conversion, writes, That Ananias, a devout Man of Damascus, after he had restored his Sight unto him, exharted him speedily to be-

Acts x. 43 through the Name of Christ, who sever believeth in him, shall receive Remission of Sins; which was also done by St. Paul in his Sermon to the Jews at Antioch in Pisidia, wherein he invited them to the Obedience of the Gospel from this confideration, That it revealed unto them a Way and Method for the full Pardon of all Sins whatfoever, even of those for which there was no Remeb Acts xiii. dy provided by the Law of Moses; b Be 38, 39. it known unto you therefore, Men and

come by Baptism, a Member of the Christian Church, that so he might receive Remission of Sins: c And now, c Acts xxii. why tarriest thou? Arise, and be bap-16. tized, and wash away thy Sins, call-

ing on the Name of the Lord. From all which it appears, that the Forgiveness of Sins was always either expressed or supposed in the Creed demanded at Bap-

tism, seeing Persons were baptized for

that

that very end, that all their Sins might be remitted and pardoned, through the merit and virtue of that Blood which was fignified to them, and really applied unto all due Recipients, by the Water in that Ordinance of Baptism: From whence it naturally follows, that the primary sense of this Article is, that at Baptism all past Sins are remitted and forgiven for the fake of Christ, unto all believing and penitential Receivers thereof. According unto which, it is thus expounded by feveral of the Fathers, as in a Creed extant amongst the Works of Athanafius, whose Author was probably Vigilius Tapsensis: After the Profession of our Saviour's Death, Resurrection, Afcension, Session at God's right Hand, and coming to judge the World, it fol-

lows, That a by his Death and Blood we receive Remission of Sins: And the Explication which Maximus Taurinensis gives hereof, is, That we must believe the Forgiveness of Sins, because it is the only remedy that frees Mankind from the sentence of everlasting Death; for which end,

^a In hujus morte & fanguine remissionem peccatorum confecuturi. *Tom.* 2. lib. 11. ad Theophil. p. 588.

b Credenda est peccatorum remissio, quia hoc unum est remedium, quod hominum genus à sententia perpetuæ mortis absolvit; idcirco unigenitus Altissimi sumere dignatus est carnem, contentus est crucem, ut te qui crimina tua evadere, — non poteras, indulgentia faceret innocentem; ideo immaculatus occisus est agnus, ut ejus cruore humani generis macula tergeretur. Homil. in Symbol. p. 240.

the only begotten Son of the Most High was contented to be incarnated and crucified, that by his Mercy he might make thee innocent, who couldest not avoid thy Sins and Crimes; and the spotless Lamb was slain, that by his Blood the spots of Mankind might be washed away. And St. Austin writes in his Exposition of this Article, That

Baptismatis munere, quod at Baptism, all our contra Originale peccatum dona- Sins are forgiven, whetum est, - activa quoque peccata ther original or actual; tum en, - activa quoque re-tollit. Tom. 3. Enchir. ad Lau- with which agrees the rent. c. 64. p. 230. Nicene or rather the

Constantinopolitan Creed, wherein this Article runs thus, 'Ομολογῶ εν Βάπλισμα eis άφεσιν άμαθιῶν, I acknowledge one Baptism for the Remission of Sins. And the Creed of St. Cyril of Ferusalem, which is, b I believe in one Baptism of Repentance for the Remission of Sins: So that these and others explained this Article in this primary Sense thereof, viz. that all Sins of whatfoever kind, fort, or degree, are for the fake of Christ, perfectly remitted at Baptism, to all the due and worthy Receivers thereof: Wherein are these two Things contained; First, That our Sins are forgiven for the fake of Christ: And, Secondly, That the time of their Forgiveness is at Baptism.

First,

b Eis έν βαπ-2:0 Ma MEJavoias eis apeow apagliav. Catech. 18. p. 220.

First, It is herein contained, that our Sins are forgiven for the fake of Christ; unto which consideration, the Water in Baptilm, and the washing of our Bodies therewith, direct us, fince it is impossible that the sprinkling of that should purge away the stain and guilt of Sin, in any other way or manner, than as it hath reference to, and is a Reprefentation of the Blood of the Lamb of God, which was spilt to take away the Sins of the World: For, Water under the Evangelical Dispensation, is in it felf no more available to the purifying of the Conscience and the pacifying divine Wrath, than the Blood of Bulls and Goats was under the Mosaical and Legal OEconomy; of which the Apofile expressly faith, That 'it was not a Heb. x. 34. possible they should take away Sins. Wherefore, the Apostles and Primitive Doctors preached Remission of Sins through the Blood of Christ, as the alone Remedy of fallen Mankind, as is to be seen from the precedent Quotations: Unto which many more might be added, as that of Acts v. 31. where St. Peter and the rest of the Apostles affirm in their Speech to the Council, That God had exalted Jesus with his right Hand to be a Prince and a Sa-Bb viour,

viour, for to give Repentance to Israel, and Forgiveness of Sins; and that of Ephes. i. 7. That we have Redemption through his Blood, the Forgiveness of Sins: And many other fuch like Texts might be easily enumerated, directing us to this necessary and fundamental Truth, That the Blood of Christ typisied by the Water of Baptism, is the alone Cause of the Remission of our Sins and Trefpasses; which is not much different from the Exposition given by Petrus Chryfologus of this Article, which is, That

a Ipse fibi donat veniam, qui fibi peccata per Christum remit- who trusteth that his ti posse confidit. In Symb. Apost. Sins can be remitted Serm. 57. P. 52.

.....

a he pardons himself, through Jesus Christ.

But, Secondly, There is farther to be considered the Time of the Forgiveness of Sins, which, by the Constantinopolitan or Nicene Creed, is positively said to be at Baptism; I acknowledge one Baptism for the Remission of Sins: And by the Apostles Creed is supposed to be, feeing this Article was then affented to, by which the Person to be baptized, did declare, That he firmly believed, that by the Virtue of the Blood of Christ, all his Sins should be washed away by the Sacramental Water: And, the very end for which Perfons fons were baptized, was, That their Iniquities might be pardoned, according unto the Exhortation of St. Peter
to the convinced Jews, a Repent, and Acts ii. 38.
be baptized every one of you, in the
Name of Jesus Christ for Remission of
Sins. From whence it comes, that Forgiveness of Sins, and other suchlike noble Epithets and Appellations, are frequently attributed by the Fathers unto
Baptism; as by Tertullian, it is called

b a Washing away of our Sins, a Salvation into eternal Life, a Dissolution of Death, e a taking away of Guilt, and consequently of Punishment also; by Clemens Alexandrinus, d Grace, Illumination, Perfection; by Dionysius Alexandrinus, e a Purgation from the Filth of old and impure Leaven, fa participation of Adoption and Grace; by Justin Martyr, & Regeneration, that being no longer Children of Ignorance, but of Election

b Fælix Sacramentum aquæ noflræ, quia ablutis delictis priftinæ cæcitatis, in vitam æternam liberamur.—— Lavacro dilui mortem. De Baptism. p. 597.

c Exempto, scilicet reatu; eximitur & pona. Ibid. p. 599.

d Χάρισμα η Φύτισμα η τέλαι. Pedag. lib. 1. ε. 6. p. 69.

ธิ 'Aงสาใบงลัง 5; — ἀφέσεως τε ἀμας Γιών, ὑπὶτὲς ὧν περημάς Γορίμ τύτ χωρίμ ἐν τῷ ὕδα Γι. Apolog. 2. p. 94;

and Knowledge, we obtain the Forgiveness of our past Sins in the Water; and many other fuch like Appellations are every where to be met with in the Writings of the Fathers: By which we must not imagine, that they apprehended Baptism of its felf, without any regard to the Qualification of its Subject, to be sufficient and effectual for the blotting out of Sin, and the pardoning of Iniquity, but their meaning was, That whofoever was Evangelically prepared for that Ordinance by a fincere Repentance and an unfeigned Faith, unto him, and him alone, was Baptism efficacious to the remitting and washing away of his Sins and Crimes; according to that of our Saviour, a He that believeth, and is baptized, shall be saved; and that of St. Peter, b Repent, and be baptized every one of you, in the Name of Jesus Christ, for Remission

2 Mark xvi. 16.

c Acts ii. 38.

of Sins: In which Texts Faith and Repentance are made necessary Ingredients of effectual and faving Baptism. For, as

с Ті 28 бред спеля Е ватτίσματω, ο τ΄ σάςκα κο μότον τὸ Κῶμα φαιδείώκι; βαπλίστης τ΄ ψυχλω άπ' όξγης κ δπο πλεονεξιας, ठेकां द्विंग्ड, ठेका Mires, में रिंड का कर्मμα καθαρόν έτι. Dialog. cum Tryph. p. 231.

Justin Martyr well realons, What profit is there in that Baptism, which only makes the Flesh and Body shining? Baptize, or wash

the Soul from Anger and Covetousness, from Envy and Hatred, and then the

Body is clean.

a Simon Magus, as Origen observes, a Simon lowas baptized, and yet was not wash-tus est -veed for Salvation. As it was not the erat lotus in Water, but the Blood of Christ which falutem. Howathed away Sins in Baptilm, to neither mil. 6. in Ewere the Sins of any washed away thereby, but of those who repented and believed. For which reason, the Discipline of the Church took care, that none should be admitted to Baptism till they had by a convenient time of Tryal given sufficient proofs of the Sincerity of their Faith and Repentance; during which time, they were gradually instructed in the Articles of the Christian Faith, and endeavoured more and more to amend their Lives by an increase in an holy and godly Conversation: After which, as Origen re-

marks, b When they had to the utmost of their Power lived better, then they were initialib. 3. p. 147. ted in the Christian

Mysteries.

The Antecedents unto Baptism are thus briefly related by Justin Martyr,

b"Oon Swamig BENTION BESIEVEROUS, το τωικάδε καλέμβρ αύτες έπι τας wae ημίν τελεβάς. Contra Celsum, 2 'Οσοι ὰν πειθώσι κὰ πισόωτιν αληθή ταύτα τὰ ὑΦ ἡμῶν διδατκόμβμα, κὰ λεγόμβμα εἶναι, κὰ βιῶν ὅτως διωκοῖς ὑπιχνῶν), εὐχε-Θαί τε κὰ αἰτεν νησόοντες ῷξὰ Ε Θεῶ τ΄ περημαρημβρών ἄφεσιν διδάτκονται, — ἐπειδι ἄγοι) ὑΘ ἡμῶν ἔνθα ὕδως ἐςί. Αροί. 2. P. 93.

Fasting and Prayer, to beg of God the Remission of their past Sins, and then they were baptized. Agreeably whereunto Tertullian

b Ingressuros Bapt suum orationibus crebris, jejunis, & geniculationibus, & pervigilus orare oportet, & cum confessione omnium retro delictorum. De Baptism. p. 604.

writes, That b Persons to be baptized, were to give themselves to Prayer, Fasting, and Watching, and to make a Confession of all their

That a who soever were

persuaded of the Truth

of the Christian Reli-

gion, and would en-

deavour to live accor-

ding to the Rules there-

of, were instructed by

past Sins. And in his Book The Panitentia, the said Father more largely discourses of the state of Repentance that was to be undergone before Baptism. Unto which, and the other Primitive Writings relating hercunto, I refer the Reader; seeing it is universally known, that none were permitted to be baptized, before they had by a sufficient time of Tryal, given such evident Proofs of their Conversion and Repentance, as every Man according to the Rule of Charity, would judge them to be sincere and real.

But

But then, besides this state of Probation, at the time of Baptism its self, in a most solemn manner before God and Man, they acknowledged and protested their Repentance and Faith; declaring before the whole Congregation, that they renounced the Devil, the World, and the Flesh; that they believed all the Articles of the Christian Faith; and that by the Grace of God, they would walk according to the Rules thereof, all the Days of their Lives. Upon the Profession of which Repentance and Faith, preceded by a convenient Tryal of the Reality thereof, they were admitted to Baptism for the Remission of their Sins; which, by the Blood of Christ, were in that Ordinance unto all, fo repenting and believing, freely remitted and forgiven.

But, if any impenitent and unbelieving Persons were washed with the Baptismal Water, it profited them nothing at all, but on the contrary, extremely prejudiced them by the aggravation of their Guilt, and consequently by the augmentation of their Woe: For which reason, Origen gives this seasonable and pertinent Exhortation to the Catechumens, that is, to those who were in the preparatory State for Baptism;

2 Venite, Catechumeni, agite pænitentiam, ut in remissionem peccatorum Baptiima coniequamini, in remissionem peccatorum ille accipit Baptisma, qui peccare defistit. Si quis enim peccans ad lavacrum venit, ei non fit remiffio peccatorum. Propterea, obfecro vos ne absque cautelà & diligenti circumspectione veniatis ad Baprismum, sed ostendaus primum fructus dignos pænitentiæ; facire aliquod temporis in conversatione bona, mundos vos à cunctis fordibus vitilique servate: Et tunc vobis remissio peccatorum siet, quando cœperitis & ipfi propria peccata contemnere. Hom. 21. in Lucam.

tisin; a Come, saith he, ye Catechumens, and repent, that ye may be baptized for the Remission of Sins: He receives Baptism for the Remission of Sins, who hath left off to Sin; for, if any one comes sinning to that Laver, his Sins are not forgiven him. Wherefore, I befeech you, do ye not without caution and diligent Circumspection

come to Baptism; but, first of all bring forth Fruits meet for Repentance; spend some time in a good Conversation, keep your selves clean from all Filtbiness and Vice: And then your Sins shall be forgiven, when ye your

selves begin to contemn them.

So that from all these Citations it is most evident, that the Fathers esteemed Repentance and Faith to be necessary unto the Essicacy of Baptism, without which it can be of no saving prosit or advantage at all; and that, whensoever they termed Baptism a cleansing or forgiving of Sin, or the like, they understood it solitarily and only with relation

to those who were duly qualified by Faith and Repentance for the reception of it; as for the most part, they are in Charity supposed to be, who are baptized in a Church wherein Discipline and Government is maintained and exercised.

But, to return to the time of the Remission of Sins committed in an Heathenish and Unconverted State, which by the Nicene Creed is expressed, and by that of the Apostle's supposed to be at Baptism; the Reason thereof was, because every one did at the time of his Baptism, solemnly renounce and forsake the Devil, the World, and the Flesh, and entirely devote and confecrate himfelf to the Worship and Service of God, through Jesus Christ; and as a visible Evidence, Sign and Token thereof, did on his part receive the Sacramental Water of Baptism, appointed by Jesus Christ for that very End; whilst on the other part, God through the same Ordinance, fealed and conveyed Grace and Pardon unto every Person thus sincerely qualified and disposed: For the very End of this Institution was, That on the one hand, Men might testify their Repentance and Faith in Christ thereby; and that on the other hand, God might by the same, convey and assure them of the full

full Pardon and plenary Forgiveness of all their Sins whatsoever. For which reason it comes to pass, that by Cyprian and others, Baptism and Remission of Sins are used as convertible Terms, because in the former, God was pleased to confer the latter on all those who were

prepared and fitted for it.

But that I may conclude with the chief and primary Interpretation of this Article, it is evident from what hath been faid, to be no other than this, That all Sins whatfoever, committed before Baptism in an Heathenish or Unregenerate Estate, are in that Ordinance for the fake of Christ, and the Satisfaction made by his Blood to the Divine Justice, entirely forgiven and remitted, unto all those who unseignedly repent of their Sins, and believe the Gospel.

But, besides this Explication of the Article before us, there is yet another secondary Sense thereof respecting Sins committed after Baptism, which occasioned its constant and perpetual repetition in the Creed; being therein placed to be an Antidote against the heretical Rigours of the Basiliaians, Montanists, but chiefly and principally of the Novatians, who maintained such severe and cruel Notions, as too inju-

rioufly

riously reflected on the Mercy of God, and the Merits of *Christ*, and were extremely prejudicial to Mens Salvation, naturally forcing them to Despair and

a The Basilidi-Horror. ans affirmed, That not all Sins, but only involuntary ones, and Sins of Ignorance, should be pardoned. The Montanists b denied the Pardon of God, or at least of the Church, to all scandalous and beinous Sinners. But, those who were most noted for their Rigour and Severity, were the Novatians, who maintained, That c there was no Mercy for him who should fall after Baptism; that is, either

* Οὐδὲ πάσας ὁ Βατιλείδης Φησὶς μόνας ἢ τὰς ἀκεσίες κὰ τῷ ἄγνοιαν ἀφίεας. Clem. Alexand. Strom. 1. 4. C. 390.

b Nè Montanus & Novatus hîc rideant, qui contendunt non posse renovari per pænitentiam eos qui crucifixerunt sibimet Filium Dei, &c. Hieron. Tom. 2. lib. 2. advers. Jovin. p. 164.

c Melà 3 το λεθού μπκέτι δύναοζ έλεθος το Σκπεπίοχότα. Epiphan. advers. Hares. Cathar. p. 214.

that God would not pardon those who should scandalously sin after they were baptized; or rather, that the Church could not forgive them, and receive them into Communion again, but must for ever exclude them from her Society, and leave them to the Judgment of God hereaster.

St. Austin

Triplex autem consideratio agendæ pænitentiæ in sancta Scriptura invenitur; nam neque ad baptismum Christi, in quo omnia peccata delentur, quisquam benè accedit, nisi agendo pænitentiam de vita prissina. Tom. 10. Hemil. 27. p. 615.

oftendimus pænitentiam? Non habeo ubi meliùs oftendam, quàm in oratione Dominicà, ubi orare nos docuit: —Dimitte nobis debita nostra, sicut & non dimittimus debitoribus nostris. *Ibid.* p. 619.

St. Austin observes,
That a there is a threefold Repentance to be
found in the holy Scripture; the first, a Repentance at Baptism,
when all the Sins of
the penitent are blotted out; b the second,
a daily Repentance,
which is the continued
work of every Christian: For even after he
is baptized, through the

Temptations of the Devil, the Delusions of the World, and the Infirmities of the Flesh, he will have unavoidable Miscarriages and Defects; of which he is continually to repent, and to beg of God the Pardon of them, according to the fifth Petition of the Lord's Prayer, wherein our Saviour teacheth us to pray, Forgive us our Trespasses, as we forgive them that trespass against

e Pænitentiæ tertium genus—est pænitentia gravior atque luctuosior, in quà propriè vocantur in Ecclessa pænitentes, remoti etiam à Sacramentis altaris participandis, nè accipiendo indignè judicium sibi manducent & bibant, illa verò pænitentia luctuosa est, — adulterium fortè commissum est, fortè homicidium, &c. 1bid. p. 620.

us: 'The third a more heavy and grievous Repentance, performed in the Church by those who are called Penitents, who having committed Adultery, Murther, Sacrilege, or any other

other fandalous and beinous Crimes, are excluded from the Sacrament of the Lord's Supper, lest by eating it unworthily, they should eat and drink Judgment to themselves. Under which suspension they are continued, till they have given sufficient Evidences of their Repentance; by means whereof, they obtain a Readmission to the Communion of the Church, and unto those Rights and Privileges which by their Miscarriages they had forseited and lost.

Now the two former Kinds of Repentance the Novatians allowed, but absolutely disowned the third and last, refusing to receive again into the Communion of the Church those who had lapsed in times of Persecution, or any other fort of scandalous Sinners whatsoever, though they gave the most convincing Proofs of their Humiliation, Sorrow, and Repentance: Which cruel and unmerciful Doctrine is with good reason affirmed by Dionysius, Bishop of

Alexandria, * to be most wicked towards God, and reproachful to our most merciful Lord Christ Jelus, representing him, as one

² Περλ Ε΄ Θεῦ διδασκαλίαν 'ἀνοσιωθάτω, — κ τ χεης ότα ον Κύειον ημών 'Ίησῶν Χεις ον ὡς ἀνηλεῆ (υποφαντῶν]ι. Apud Euseb. Eccles. Hist. lib. 7. c. 8. p. 254.

that is implacable: And, by Cyprian,

a Hæreticæ præsumptionis durissimam pravitatem, ut servis Dei pænitentibus & dolentibus, & ad Ecclesiam lacrymis & gemitu & dolore pulsantibus, divinæ pietatis & lenitatis paternæ solatia & subsidia claudantur; nec ad sovenda vulnera admittantur vulnerati, sed sine spe pacis & communicationis relicti, ad luporum rapinam, & prædam diaboli projiciantur. Episs. 67. § 1. p. 198.

to be a severe Impiety of beretical Presumption; by which, the Consolations of divine Pity and fatherly Lenity are shut against the penitent and mourning Servants of God, who knock at the Church with Tears,

Sighs and Groans; so that the wounded are not admitted to have their Wounds cured; but, being left without any hope of Peace or Communion, are thrown out to the Rapine of Wolves, and the Prey of the Devil. For which reason, the said Father calls Novatian, who was the Propagator and principal Maintainer of these cruel and

b Misericordiæ hostis, interfector pænitentiæ, doctor superbiæ, veritatis corruptor, perditor caritatis. Epist. 57. \$.3. p. 159.

rigid Notions, ban Enemy of Mercy, a Murtherer of Repentance, a Doctor of Pride, a Corrupter of Truth,

and a Destroyer of Charity.

The natural consequences of this Heresy being then so dishonourable to God, so prejudicial to the Church, so contrary to the Spirit of the Gospel, and so destructive to the Souls of Men, it is

no wonder, that at the first broaching thereof by Montanus, or at least, at the revival of it with greater vigour and fuccess by Novatian, the Fathers of the Church ordained, That the Forgiveness of Sins should be constantly repeated in the Creed at Baptism; to declare thereby, that not only Sins committed before Baptism were then pardoned to the duly qualified and disposed, but that also all Sins perpetrated after Baptism, even the most scandalous and notorious, (the irremissible one against the Holy Ghost still excepted,) were pardonable and remissible upon the renewal of Repentance and Faith, both by God and the Church; that as the former would not exclude the penitent from Heaven, so neither should the latter seclude them from her Communion on Earth.

Now that this Article was thus affented to, in contradiction to these heretical Rigours of the Montanists and Novatians, is abundantly evident from the Writings of the Fathers. St. Ferom observes, That the Montanists and

the Orthodox Christans a disagreed in the Rule of Faith, or in the Creed, and particularly in the Article of the Forgiveness of Sins,

a In fidei regulà discrepamus—illi ad omne pænè delictum Ecclesiæ obserunt fores, nos quotidie legimus, malo pænitentiam peccatoris quàm mortem. Tom. 2. ad Marcel. Epist. 54. p. 193.

for they that the Church Doors for every Fault almost; but we, faith he, read every Day, I rather desire the Repentance than the Death of a Sinner.

The Author of the Explanation of the Creed to Damasus, wrongfully supposed to be St. Jerom, imagined by Bellarmin and Launoy to be Pelagius, thus explains this Clause against the

lapsus fuerit, per poenitentiam credimus posse salvari. Inter Oper. falls after Baptism, we Hieron. Tom. 9. p. 71.

forementioned Severi-Hominem si post baptismum ties, That if a Man believe that he may be saved by Repentance.

> But above all, St. Austin in fundry places thus interprets it, as in his Enchiridion to Laurentius, where, after

b Sed neque he hath mentioned the precedent pride ipfis crimary Sense thereof, he adds this seconminibus, quamlibet dary one, That b as for great Sins to magnis, remittendis in fancta Eccle-Mercy of God is not to be despaired sià, Dei des-

peranda est misericordia agentibus poenitentiam secundum modum fui cujusque peccati; in actione autem pænitentiæ, ubi tale crimen commissium est, ut is qui commissit à Christi etiam corpore separetur, non tam confideranda est mensura temporis, quam doloris; cor enim contritum & humiliatum Deus non spernit : vei um quia plerumque dolor alterius cordis occultus est alteri, neque in aliorum notitiam nisi per verba vel quæcunque alia signa procedit, - rectè constituuntur ab iis, qui Ecclesiæ præsunt, tempora pænitentiæ, ut fiat etiam satis Ecclesiæ, in qua remittuntur ipia peccata. Enchirid. ad Laurens. c. 65. p. 230.

of by those who repent according to the measure of their Sin; but, in the Action of Repentance, where such a Crime is perpetrated, as that the Com-mitter thereof is separated from the Body of Christ, the measure of Time is not so much to be considered as the measure of Sorrow; for God despiseth not a contrite and humbled Heart: But, because the Sorrow of one Man's Heart is hid from another, and cannot be known by others, except by Words and other external Signs, therefore Times of Penance are appointed by the Ecclesiastical Governours, that the Church may be satisfied, in which their Sins are remitted. And in another place, where he cautions his Readers particularly against those several Hereticks who denied the several Parts of the Creed, he directly levels this Article against the Novatians, faying

thereon, a Let us not hear those who deny, Ecclesiam Dei omnia peccata posthat the Church of se dimittere. Tom. 3. de Agono

God can forgive all Christian. p. 31. p. 1032.

Sins. And elsewhere,

where he largely profecutes this fecondary Explication of the Article before

C c us,

" Miror autem quosdam sic obstinatos esse ut dandam non putent lapsis ponitentiam, aut poenitentibus existiment veniam denegandam, cum scriptum sit, Memento unde excideris, & age pœnitentiam & fac priora opera, -& quum Dominus hortatur per opera rurfus exurgere, quia feriptum est, eleemosyna à morte liberat, & non utique ab illa morte, quam semel sanguis. Christi extinxi, & aqua nos falutaris Baptismi & Redemptoris nostri gratia liberavit, sed ab ea quæ per delictum postmodum surrepsit &c. Tom. 10. Serm. de Temp. 181. p. 538.

us, * He wonders that any should be so obstinate, as to deny Repentance to the lapsed, or Pardon to the penitent, when it is written, Remember from whence thou art fallen, and repent, and do thy first Works: And when the Lord exhorts to rise again by Works, where it is written, Charity delivereth from Death, that

is, not from that Death which the Blood of Christ hath once extinguished, and the salutary Water of Baptism, and the Grace of our Redeemer hath saved us from, but from that which afterwards crept in by Sin. From all which, it appears, That by the constant repetition of the Forgiveness of Sins, the Compilers of the Creed intended to declare thereby, in opposition to the Novatians, and others, That scandalous Sins committed after Baptism, were upon an unteigned and sincere Repentance, remissible both by God and the Church.

So that from all that hath been faid,

we

we may conclude, that by this Article of the Creed, it was intended to be professed. That all Sins committed before Baptilin, are at that time, for the lake of Christ, completely forgiven to all penitent Believers; and that all Sins committed after Baptism, though never so scandalous and offensive, are upon the renewal of Faith and Repentance, pardonable both by God and the Church. Both which Explications whereof were given by the Bilhops to Constantine the Great, as it is reported by Zozomen, who relating the History of the famous Cross, which our Saviour impressed on his Fancy, commanding him to make one in the Form and Likeness thereof; farther adds, That the next Morning the Christian Bishops expounded unto him the meaning thereof, and from thence took an occasion to recommend unto him feveral of the Articles of the Creed; the last whereof was, the Forgiveness of Sins, delivered by them in this brief Periphrasis, a That there is hope of Sal- Eiron Mirvation and Remission of Sins, to Per- TOWN TOWN WAYME

μελτικατι .Φορμίω (ωληρίας, κο καθαρμον αμαρλημάτων, άμυντοις με μύησιν κ. τ τ νόμον τ οκκλησίας, τοις η μεμυημορίας, το μη πάλιν αμαρλην επελ η τυτο παιελώς ολίων κο θείων άνδρων επι καθορέωται, εδίο απκον δού τερρη καθαρμόν τελάχθω οκ μελανοίας Οιλάνθρωπον ηδ όνια τ Θεόν. (υνώμω νέμεν τοις επλακοσίν εί μελαμεληθώσι, κο έρδοις αλαθοίς, τ μελαμέλου» βεδαιώσησι. Εκεlef. Hift. lib 1. c. 3. p. 404.

fons in this Life; to those who have not yet been initiated in the Mysteries of the Church, by receiving that faid Initiation, but to those who have been initiated, not to sin again: But, as the faid Historian continues to write, because there are but few Men, and those most Holy and Divine; who can for do, therefore the Bishops farther instructed the Emperour, that there was a second Expiation appointed by Repentance; for, God being merciful and kind, will pardon those who have sinned, provided they repent, and confirm their Repentance by good Works. The state of the same

Having thus dispatch'd the Article of the Forgiveness of Sins, the next that must be enquir'd into, is the Refurrection of the Body; which in the Creeds

Christiani dogmatis Sacramentum, carnis resurrectione con-Tom. 2. advers. Error. Johan. Hierofol. Epift. 61. c. 9. * p. 219.

of a Terom, and several In Symbolo fidei - omne others, is the last Article thereof; Life ever lasting being not expressed, but supposed in the Resurrection. But,

feeing they are in the Apostles Creed, and in feveral other ancient ones diflinctly mentioned, I shall consider them apart, and begin with the first in order, The Resurrection of the Body: In the 3:000

the Explication whereof, it must be reinembred, That whatfoever is related concerning our Refurrection, may for the most part be also applied to that of our Lord's, seeing his Resurrection was the Cause and Exemplar of ours; and both his and our Resurrection were denied by the same Persons, and in the same way and manner.

Now, as for the time of its being inferted in the Creed, it is most evident. That the Resurrection from the Dead. hath been always part of the Creed from the very beginning of Christianity; which appears not only from the ancient Creeds, but also from the weight and moment of the Doctrine its felf, it being a Point on which the whole Christian Religion

feems to depend. The second is a second in the second in t

For, if there should be no Resurrection of the Dead, the Christian Religion would be a mere Chimæra and Fable; and the grand Attractive, by which it was recommended to the World, would be no other than a mere Lye and downright Delusion: For, when the Apostles forth to convert the Heathen World, the Method which they took for that end, as we see by the Example of St. a Paul at Athens, was, to preach Jesus and the Resurrection; that is, to 18.

Cc 3 reveal reveal a Saviour to them, who might redeem them from their finful and lost condition; and then, that they might engage them to a willing and perfevening Obedience in that Saviour's fervice, notwithstanding all Oppositions and Persecutions to assure them of a Resurrection, when the eternal Majesty should by his Son Christ Jesus, whom he had as a Specimen and Pledge raised from the Dead, judge the World in Righteousness, and render unto every Man a suitable Reward according unto his Works.

Now, I fay, if there should be no Resurrection of the Dead, this grand Motive to the believing of the Golpel, would be enervated and entirely destroyed; and those who have been engaged thereby to the embracing of Christianity, would be most miserably cheated and deluded; which would be fuch an odious and blasphemous Reslection on the Christian Religion, and the Divine Author thereof, as no Christian can be imagined to entertain: From whence it comes to pass, that the Apostle Paul, disputing against some Christians in the Church of Corinth, who denied the Resurrection of the Dead, makes use of this Argument against them for the Proof thereof:

thereof; * Else what shall they do, faith to Cor. xv. he, which are baptized for the dead? 29. If the dead rife not at all, why are they then baptized? Which place of Scripture, by reason of its Obscurity, is capable of many Interpretations; but yer, I think, without any force of the words, this Explication may be naturally given thereof, viz. If there shall be no Resurrection of the Dead, what will they do? Or what Profit and Advantage will they have, who embrace Christianity, and are baptized from the Persuasion of the Resurrection? How fool: fh and ridiculous is it for them to be baptized from the consideration of the Refurrection, that they may arise amongst the number of the Just, if the Dead shall not rife at all? Which is not much different from the Æthiopick Version, wherein the Verse is thus rendered; Else, why do they baptize? Is it not, that they may arise from the dead? If therefore they shall not be raised from the dead, why then do they baptize?

But this is not the alone Inconvenience that would follow upon the Denial of the Resurrection, as is to be seen in the forementioned place, wherein the Apostle farther argues the Truth

Cc4

and Certainty thereof, from the prodigious madness and folly, which otherwife all Christians, especially in persecuting Times, as those then were, would

30, 000.

be guilty of; If the Dead, saith he,

1 Cor. xv. rise not at all, why stand we in jeopardy every Hour? That is, if there
be no Resurrection of the Dead, why then do we for the fake of Christ run Risks and Hazards, and expose our felves to all manner of Torments, Cruelties and Severities? Why do we daily encounter with Men, who are more furious and savage than wild Beasts? What doth this unnecessary hazarding of our felves advantage us? Nay rather, doth it not harm and injure us? For if the Dead rife not at all, it would be the wisest and most prudent course to eat and drink, because to morrow we shall die; to enjoy the Pleasures of Sense, and to gratify the carnal Ease of our Bodies, because we shall shortly die, and never live more to experience either Sorrow or Happiness, Misery or Felicity.

I might yet farther shew in other respects, the great weight and necessity of this Article of the Resurrection of the Body; but I shall wave them, seeing those already mentioned, sufficient-

ly prove the Belief thereof to be of the last and greatest consequence: Upon which account it is most reasonable to imagine, that the Apostles would not omit to require the affent of their Converts unto this Article at their Baptism, feeing without the ackowledgment of this, they could not well be termed Christians, or Professors of the Christian Religion.

And, besides this, there was yet fomething more, which might possibly oblige them to the constant repetition thereof, viz. the early and vigorous opposition which was made thereunto in their Days, both by Heathens and He-

reticks.

The Philosophers, who were the wifest and most thinking part amongst the Pagans, had at the best, but very obscure and uncertain Notions of a Refurrection; whence the b Epicurean and Acts xvii. Stoick Philosophers, who encountered 18. St. Paul at Athens, when they heard of the Resurrection, mocked him; some saying, that he seemed to be a setter forth of strange Gods, because he preached unto them Jesus and the Resurrection; and as for the prophaner and less considerate part of the Heathens, they jeered at it, and derided it

2 Tim. ii.

18.

as a melancholy and idle Fancy: And not only Heathens, but also several false and pretended Christians, did betimes oppugn this necessary and momentous Truth, as Hymenaus and Philetus, who erring concerning the Truth, said, That the Resurrection was past already, and so overthrew the Faith of some; affirming probably, the same with those Hereticks mentioned by Tertullian, That the Resurrection is to be understood in an Allegorical sense, and

b Resurrectionem eam vindicandam, quâ quis adità veritate redanimatus & revivificatus Deo, ignorantiæ morte discussa, velut de sepulchro veteris hominis eruperit -exinde ergo resurrectionem fide consecutos cum domino esse, cum eam in baptismate induerint. Refurrett. Carnis, p. 39.

that it is b no other than our Baptismal Renovation, wherein we shake off the Death of Ignorance, and arise from the Grave of the old Man alive unto God.

the

What trouble the Apostles met with from these fort of Men, and what difficulty they had to preserve the Churches from the Venom and Contagion of fo pestilential an Heresy, may be easily gathered from the holy Scriptures. Thef-Salonica and Corinth were two Churches founded by St. Paul; and yet we er Theff. iv, find him by e Epistle, tacitly blaming the former for their ignorance of this necessary Point, and establishing them in

13, 00.

the firm Belief thereof; and as for the latter, though he preached amongst them a Year and half, yet after he was gone from them, this Leaven so intected them, that he was obliged in his First Epistle to that Church, to make a large Diferrow, course, to prove unto them, and fix them in the Belief of this great Truth, That Christ is arisen, and that in God's appointed time we shall in the same manner likewise arise.

Wherefore this Doctrine being so effential to Christianity, and having been withal so violently stormed and attacked on every side, both by Heathens and Hereticks, to the endangering of the purest Primitive Churches, we may from thence fairly conclude, That the Apostles would not omit to require an Assent thereto at Baptism, but make use of that most sacred Tye and highest Obligation, to confirm and settle their Converts in the Belief of this necessary and fundamental Article, the Resurrection of the Dead.

But, as the Resurrection of the Dead in general was primarily intended by this Article, so also the Kind thereof is farther declared, as is to be gathered from the very manner of its Expression; which is not as in our Translation. lation, the Resurrection of the Body, but the Resurrection of the Flesh, as it is both in the Greek and Latin Dagnos avásaou, Carnis Resurrectionem, being thereing followed by the modern 2 French and

La resurrection de la chair. Dutch; in which word e De wederopstandinge des vleesches.

Flesh, lyes a particular Force and Emphasis,

which hath often made me wonder, that our English Translators should employ another more general Word in the room thereof.

Now, that which occasioned the invariable mentioning of the Term Flesh in the Primitive Creeds, was this, there were several Persons who did acknowledge the Resurrection of the or of a Body; for in the Latin and Greek, there is no difference between them; but, they would not grant, that the fame Body which now we have should be raised again, but instead thereof, they imagined, that at the Resurrection-Day, there should be framed by the Power of God, thin, subtil, aereal Bodies, whereunto human Souls shall be joined, instead of those gross, material, fleshly Bodies, which they now actuate and inform. Now against these Perfons, the Fathers and Governours of the

the Primitive Church, chose to express this Article by the Resurrection of the Flesh and not of the Body; that latter word being capable of more subterfuges and equivocating Explications than the former: Of which, St. Ferom gives us an instance in the Origenists, who

espoused this Tenet; they fay, saith he, We believe the future Resurrection of the Body; which, if it be sincerely said, is a pure Confession; but, because there are celestial and terrestrial Bodies, and the Air and Æther according to their Natures, are cal-

* Credimus, inquiunt, refurrectionem tuturam corporum, hoc si benè dicatur, pura confessio est, sed quia corpora sunt cœlestia, & terrestria, & aër iste, & aura tenuis juxta naturam fuam corpora nominantur, corpus ponunt, non carnem; ut orthodoxus corpus audiens, carnem putet, Hæreticus spiritum recognoscat. Hæc est eorum prima decipula. Tom. 2. ad Pammach. & Ocean. Epift. 65. c. 2 p. 229.

led Bodies, therefore they use the word Body, and not Flesh; that whilst the Orthodox, hearing the word Body, apprehend it to be Flesh; the Hereticks understand it to be a Spirit, which is their first Evasion: Wherefore Ruffinus, who was accused of this Heresy, in the vindication of himself therefrom, alledges, That to remove all fuspicion of his being tainted therewith, be had fre-non folum quently affirmed, that not only the corporis, in

quo calumni-

atur, sed & carnis fecimus frequenter mentionem. Invectivo. I. in Hieron, inter Oper, Hieron, Tom. 9. p. 162.

Body,

Body, but that the Flesh also should

rise again.

Various were the Perfons who embraced this Opinion: It feems that in the Days of Clemens Romanus, there were some who espoused it, as is evi-

dent from this Caution

* Mn λεγέτω τὶς ὑμῶν ὅτι αὕτη ἡ τάς ξ κείνε], κός άνιςα] — ον τείστον γος τη (αςκι κλύθη ε, κ)on The Cagni indicade. Epift. 2. ad Shall not be judged, or Corinth. c.9.

of his; 2 Let none of you say, that this Flesh rise again; for as ye were called in the Flesh,

so shall ye come again in the Flesh: Which Notion was afterwards advanced by feveral others, but with the greatest advantage by the Followers of Bardesanes and Origen, two of the greatest Wits of their Age: That the Bardesianists fell into this Opinion, may be largely feen in the Fifth Dialogue that passes under the Name of Origen, wherein this Point is closely disputed between Marinus a Bardesianist, and Adamantius an orthodox Christian; in the beginning of which Disputation, Adamantius lays down as the common

Faith of the Church,

Tro to owna onui avisad, That b this Body with which we are now S weinela. Dialog. p. 130.

cloathed, shall rife aagain: Whereunto Marinus replies, That

· it was an Opinion very easy to be confuted, φές. Ibid. p. 131.

both by Scripture and Reason. From which Foundations, he proceeds to draw feveral Arguments against it; and from the latter, he makes great use of 1 Cor. xv. 50. This I say now, that Flesh and Blood shall not inherit the Kingdom of God; and of the 38th Verse of the same Chapter, But God giveth to every one a Body as seemeth him good: Upon which he

remarks, That b it is not said, that this Body shall arise again; but it must necessarily

ο Ού τέτο το σώμα λέγο ανίσας άλλ έτερον, Δπο & λέξαν, ο ή Θεος δίδωσιν αύτιβ σώμα, καθώς ήθέλησεν. Ibid. p. 143.

be understood of ano-

ther, because it is said that God giveth that Body to every one as seemeth him good; which Body they affirmed to be a c spiritual, heavenly one, Saux void of all gross, corporeal, or fleshly wishualizer. Matter.

The Followers also of Origen were reputed to be maintainers of the same Opinion, d affirming, as St. Ferom writes, that after the Resurrection our Bodies shall be thin, airy, and subtil, losing the present

d Quosdam aëreum corpus & paulatim in aurastenues dissolvendum post Returrectionem introducere. Tom. 4. Com. in Isai. c. 66, p. 229.

fleshly Substance which now they have: A full Account of which Doctrine of Origen's, is epitomiz'd from his Wri-

origen. p. 232, 233, tings by Methodius, wherein it appears to have been this, That

the very same Bodies, Flesh and Blood wherein we now live, shall not arise and see the Kingdom of God, but that in lieu thereof the Almighty will at the Last Day give unto us other Bodies, which shall be thin, subtil, and spiritual, free from the material and slessly Substance whereof they are now composed.

Now against the Abettors of this Notion, and to prevent the equivocating Evasions, this Article was expressed by the Resurrection of the Flesh, and not of the Body; according unto which, the general Explication given by the Fathers hereof, is, That hereby is profess'd our Belief, that there shall be a a Resurrection of the same Body that now we have.

Ruffinus was accused of being too favourable to this Tenet of Origen's; upon which account, it is observable, that in his short Confession of Faith, extant in his Preface to the Apology of Eusebius Bishop of Casarea, for Ori-

gen;

gen; he thus paraphraies this Article; We do not fay, that the Resurrection of the Flesh shall be by a Trick, as some calumniate us; but we believe, that this very Flesh in which we now live, Shall rife again: We do not say one thing for another, neither any other Body besides this Flesh; whether therefore we say the Body shall rise again, we speak it ac-

a Carnis resurrectionem non per aliquas, præstigias, sicut nonnulli calumniantur, dicimus, fed hance ipfam carnem, in quâ nunc vivimus resurrecturam credimus: non aliam pro aliâ, nec corpus aliud quam hujus carnis dicimus: five ergo corpus resurrecturum dicimus, secundum Apostolum dicimus, (hoc enim nomine usus est ille) sive carnem dicimus, secundum traditionem Symboli confitemur: Stulta enim adinventio calumniæ est, corpus humanum aliud putare esse quam carnem. Sive ergo caro fecundum communem fidem, five corpus secundum Apostolum dicitur, quod resurget, ita credendum est sicut Apostolus definivit. Inter Oper. Hieron. Tom, 9. p. 134.

cording to the Apostle, who made use of this Word; or, whether we fay the Flesh, we confess it according to the Tradition of the Creed: For it is the foolish Invention of Calumny, to think an human Body to be different from Flesh; for, whether we say it is Flesh according to the common Faith, or a Body according to the Apostic, that shall rise again, so must we believe, as the Apostle bath defined it. And for the fame reason in the Creed, which he sent to Pope Anastasius, to. justify himself from the Accusation of Dd Herefy,

Herefy, he thus expresses his Assent to

• Sed & carnis nostræ resurrectionem satemur integrè & perfectè suturam, hujus ipsus carnis nostræ in qua nunc vivimus; non ut quidam calumniantur, alteram pro hac resurrecturam dicimus, sed & hanc ipsam nullam omnino ejus membro amputato, vel aliqua corporis parte desectà, sed cui nihil omnino ex omni natura sua desit, nisi sola corruptio. Inter Oper. Hieron. Tom. 9. p. 159.

this Article; * We confess the Resurrection of our Flesh shall be whole and perfect, of this our Flesh wherein we now live: For we do not say, as some do slander us, that another shall arise instead of this, but that it shall be this very same,

without the loss of any of its Members, or the defect of any part of the Body, unto which nothing of its Nature shall be wanting, except Cor-

ruption.

To the same purpose, Gennadius Massiliensis writes on this Article,

b Erit resurble There shall be a Resurrection of all rection more dead Men; and if that which falls tuorum ome is said to rise again, then our Flesh num, — & fi shall truly rise again, as it truly fell; id resurgere and it shall not be, according to Oridicitur quod gen, a Change of Bodies, that is, a ergo nostra new Body instead of the Flesh; but in veritate

resurgit, sicut in veritate cadit; & non secundum Origenem immutatio corporum erit, id est, aliud novum corpus pro carne, sed earum caro corruptibilis quæ cadit tam justorum quam injustorum incorruptibilis resurget, quæ vel pænam sufferre possit pro peccatis, vel in gloria æterna manere pro meritis. Inter Oper. August. Tom. 3.

de Ecclesiast. Dogmat. p. 260.

the

the same corruptible Flesh, which fell both of Just and Unjust, shall arise incorruptible, that it may be capable according to its Merits, either to suffer eternal Pain, or to abide in everlasting Glory.

The fame Explication is likewise

given hereof by Epiphanius, That we shall arise with this Body, and with this Soul, with our whole Man, that every Man may receive what he

2 Οτι ἀνασητόμεθα ζωὺ σώματι τέτω, ζωὺ ψυχῆ ταύτη, ζωὺ σατηὶ τιβ ἡμετέςω σκού δ, ἵνα ἕκασος ἐπιλάδη περὸς ὰ ἔπραζεν. Lib. 3. Adverf. Haref. Compend. Fid. Cathol. p. 464.

may receive what he hath done: As also by the Author of the Explanation of the Creed to Damasus, wrongfully

attributed to St. Jerom, That be we shall be raifed with the very same Members which now we have.

b In eadem, in qua nunc sumus, veritate membrorum esse reparandos. Tom. 9. Inter Hieron. Oper. p. 71.

But here it must be observed, That although the Fathers designed by this Article to declare the Resurrection of the self-same Body; yet they always understood, that the Qualities thereof should be changed and altered; that from mortal and corruptible, it should be immortal and incorruptible, and as it may be called, become a spiritual Body, that is, have no need of the Supports

ports of Meat and Drink for its Reparation and Sustenance; for at that time, saith St. Austin, the glorified Bodies,

a Non folum enim non erittale quale nunc est in quavis optima valetudine, sed nec tale quidem, quale suit in primis hominibus ante peccatum, qui licet morituri non essent, nisi peccassent,
alimentis tamen ut homines utebantur, nondum spiritualia, sed
adhuc animalia corpora terrena
gestantes. Tom. 5. de Civit. Dei,
lib. 13. c. 20. p. 30.

b Tunc jam non terrenus, sed cœlestis homo erit; non quia corpus, quod de terrà factum est, non resum erit, sed quia dono cœlesti jam tale erit, ut etiam cœlo incolendo non amissà naturà sed mutata qualitate conveniat. Ibid. c. 23. P. 35.

a Shall not only not be, fuch as they are now in their greatest Health and Vigour, but also not such as Adam's sinless Body was in Paradise; which, although it would not have died if he had not finned, yet be must have sustained it with Meat and Drink, his earthly Body being yet animal, and not spiritual; but, b then the Man shall not be earthly, but heavenly; not as if he should not have the same earthly Body, but because through the

heavenly Gift, by the change of its Qualities, not by the loss of its Nature, he shall be fitted to inhabit in Heaven: So that, although they affirmed by this Article, that the same Flesh and Blood should still remain at the Resurrection, yet they held withal, that the Properties and Qualities thereof should

should be changed, as St. Austin writes

in his Exposition hereof, that a this same visible Flesh, which is properly called Flesh, shall arise; for the Apostle Paul doth seem to point at it as it were with his Finger, when he saith, for this corruptible shall put on In-

² Hæc visibilis, quæ caro propriè dicitur, fine dubitatione credenda est resurgere, videtur enim Paulus Apostolus eam tanquam digito suo ostendere, cùm dicit, oportet corruptibile hoc induere incorruptionem, cum enim dicit hoc, in eam quasi digitum intendit, — & mortale hoc induere immortalitatem, &c. Tom, 3. de Fide & Symbol. p. 196.

corruption, and this mortal Immortality: And yet a little farther on in the same place, he saith, That those raised

Bodies b shall be simple and shining, whom the Apostle calls spiritual; or, as he expresseth it in another place, c The Bodies of the Saints shall arise without any Defect or Deformity, as well as

b Corpota simplicia & lucida, quæ appellat Apostolus spiritualia. Ibid. p. 197.

c Refurgent fanctorum corpora fine ullo vitio, fine ullà deformitate, ficut fine ullà corruptione, one:e aut difficultate. Tom. 3. Enchir. ad Laurent. 6, 91. p. 242.

without any Corruption, Heaviness, or Difficulty, being by the Change of their Properties fitted and prepared for Life Everlasting; which is the next and last Clause of the Creed to be enquired into.

Wherein it may be observed in the first place, that it was variously placed D d 3 in

in the Primitive Creeds, as in a Creed of Cyprian's it stands thus before the Et vitam Article of the Church, and a Life ever-

sanctam Ec-

meternam per lasting through the holy Church; but clesiam. Epist it is most pertinently expressed by the 76.§.6. p.248. Apostles Creed at the Conclusion thereof, because it is the end of all our Faith, and the determination of every Man to his eternal and proper Place and State; for the Dead having been railed, and both Quick and Dead having received their Sentence from the final and supreme Judge of Heaven and Earth, all Men both good and bad, shall go unto their appointed Place, from whence they shall not return, but there remain throughout Life Everlasting.

The Gnosticks, as it hath been already related in the fifth Chapter of this Treatife, unto which I refer the Reader, divided all Mankind into three Parts, earthly, animal and spiritual; the first of which and part of the fecond, they affirmed, would be annihilated, or reduced to nothing by the general Conflagration at the last Day, whilst only the spiritual, and part of the animal, should be made immortal and eternal. To obviate which Opinion, as it seems most probable, the Rulers of the Church did in those Days subjoin to the Resur-

rection

rection the Clause of Life Everlasting, that thereby that Heresy might be contradicted and warded against: From whence we find, that Ireneus a Cotemporary with these Hereticks, and their greatest Antagonist and Consuter, doth in opposition to their Heresy, thus paraphrastically express in his Creed the final Determination of every Man, that after the Resurrection Christ shall render a righteous Judgment unto all,

a wicked, unjust, ungodly and blasphemous Men, he shall send into everlasting Fire; but unto the just and righteous, and those who kept his Commandments,

The ἀσεδής κὰ ἀδίκες, κὰ ἀτόμες κὰ βλασφήμες τὰ ἀνθρώπων κἰς
τὸ αἰώνιον ωῦς ωξμή η, τοῖς ἢ ὅικαίοις κὰ ὁσίοις κὰ τὰς ἐνθολὸς αὐτἔ
τεθηρικότι, — ἀδθαρσίαν δωρέτη ἢ,
κὰ δόξαν αἰώνιον ωθειποιάση. Lib. I.
6.2 n. 36.

he shall give Immortality and eternal Glory: And in another of his Creeds he

thus words it, That Christ b shall come in Glory to be a Saviour of those who are saved, and a Judge of those who are judged, sending the Corrupters of

b Venturus salvator eorum qui salvantur, & judex eorum qui judicantur, & mittens in ignem æternum transsiguratores veritatis, & contemptores Patris sui & adventûs ejus. Lib. 3. c. 4. p. 172.

his Truth, and the Contemners of his Father, and his coming, into eternal Fire: And to the same effect, it is in a Creed of Tertullian's, which he de-

Dd4

fignedly

figuedly repeats in opposition to the Gnosticks, and other Hereticks of his time, that Christ shall come in Glory

a to receive the Saints

a Ad sumendos sanctos in vitæ æternæ - fructum, & ad prophanos judicandos igni perpetuó. De Prascript. advers. Haret. p. 73.

into the Fruit of eternal Life, and to sentence the prophane to everlasting Fire. From

all which it appears, that this Clause was levelled against the forementioned Herefy of the Gnofticks; and, that it includes the final and eternal State of every Man, of the damned in Hell, as well as of the bleffed in Heaven; that on the one hand, the wicked and miferable shall for ever suffer under the Loads of divine Vengeance; and that on the other hand, the godly and bleffed shall for ever live in the perpetual Fruition of pure and undisturbed Happiness: The Eternity of both which Persons and States, are included by St. Austin in his Explication of this Article, That after the Resurrection and universal Judg-

b Istis in æternâ vitâ verè fœliciterque viventibus, illis infœliciter in æternå morte fine moriendi potestate durantious, quoniam utrique fine fine. Tom. 3. Enchirid. ad Laurent. c. 110. p. 252.

ment, bthe Godly Shall happily live in eternal Life, but the wicked miserably, without the power of dying in eternal Death, because they

shall both be without end: Wherewith

agrees the Creed, commonly called the Creed of St. Athanasius, That at Christ's coming, all Men shall rise again with their Bodies, and shall give account for their own Works; and they that have done good, shall go into Life everlasting; and they that have done evil, into everlasting Fire.



t the Town of the party of

11.3

C.



AN

Alphabetical Catalogue

Of several

AUTHORS,

And others herein before mentioned;

Containing the feveral Times, in, or about which they are supposed to have lived.

Anno Domini.

A Gdense Concilium, or a Synod held at Agatha, or Agde, in the Lower Languedoc.

325 Alexander, Bishop of Alexandria.

370 Ambrose, Bishop of Milan.

500 Andreas Casariensis.

252 Antonius, an African Bishop.

184 Apelles, a Scholar of Marcion's.

359 Apollinarius.

280 Archelaus, Bishop of Caschara.

300 Arnobius.

315 Arius.

315 Arius.

340 Athanasius.

180 Athenagoras.

410 Augustinus.

180 Bardesanes.

34 St. Barnabas.

134 Basilides the Heretick.

477 Basiliscus the Emperour.

140 Carpocrates the Heretick.

430 Cassianus.

140 Cerdon the Heretick.

90 Cerinthus the Heretick.

204 Clemens, Bishop of Alexandria.

70 Clemens, Bishop of Rome.

381 Concilium Constantinopolitanum Universale II.

307 Constantinus Magnus.

252 Cornelius, Bishop of Rome.

250 Cyprian, Bishop of Carthage.

370 Cyril, Bishop of Jerusalem.

740 Damascen.

370 Damasus.

360 Didymus.

260 Dionysius, Bishop of Alexandria.

320 Donatus.

80 Ebion the Heretick.

431 Ephesinum Concilium UniversaleIII.

390 Epiphanius.

360 Eunomius.

525 Ferrandus Diaconus.

525 Fulgentius.

490 Gennadius Massiliensis.

370 Gregorius Nazianzenus.

380 Gregorius Nyssenus.

210 Hermogenes, an Heretick.

390 Hieronymus.

360 Hilary, Bishop of Poictiers.

105 Ignatius, Bishop of Antioch.

184 Irenæus, Bishop of Lyons.

415 Isidorus Pelusiota.

350 Julius Firmicus Maternus.

155 Justin Martyr.

303 Lactantius.

364 Laodicenum Concilium.

450 Leo Magnus.

280 Manes the Heretick.

160 Marcion the Heretick.

420 Maximus Taurinensis.

640 Maximus Monachus.

80 Menander

80 Menander the Heretick.

230 Minucius Felix.

180 Montanus, an Heretick.

325 Nicenum Concilium Universale I.

252 Novatianus.

250 Numidicus.

230 Origen.

410 Pelagius the Heretick.

440 Petrus Chrysologus.

520 Petrus Gnapheus.

410 Philostorgius. 184 Ptolemæus, a Valentinian.

140 Polycarpus.

189 Rhodon.

390 Ruffinus.

260 Sabellius.

460 Salvianus.

134 Saturnilus the Heretick.

280 Seleuciani Hæretici.

40 Simon Magus.

200 Tertullianus.

430 Theodorit.

518 Theodorus Lettor.

180 Theophilus Antiochenus.

520 Timotheus,

520 Timotheus, Archbishop of Constantinople.

589 Toletanum Concilium III.

140 Valentinus the Heretick.

484 Vigilius Tapsensis.

430 Vincentius Lirinensis.

478 Zeno the Emperour.

FINIS.



The state of the s

ine Martinent e Hardicki Az Pamaring ing Georgephy Sanga

- snorged all to be 25%

and the latest transfer to the latest transfer transfer to the latest transfer t









